

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

CASE NO.: 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JASON WEIDA,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF: ANN DALTON
DATE: TUESDAY, JANUARY 24, 2023
TIME: 10:04 A.M. - 6:05 P.M.
PLACE: AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE
ADMINISTRATION
2727 MAHAN DRIVE
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32308
STENOGRAPHICALLY
REPORTED BY: GREG T. SMITH

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:
2 KATHERINE J. DEBRIERE, ESQUIRE
OF: FLORIDA HEALTH JUSTICE PROJECT
3 3900 RICHMOND STREET
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA 32205
4 (352) 278-6059, FAX-(800) 435-7352
DEBRIERE@FLORIDAHEALTHJUSTICE.ORG
5 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
6 SIMONE M. CHRISS, ESQUIRE
OF: SOUTHERN LEGAL COUNSEL
7 1229 NORTHWEST 12TH AVENUE
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601
8 (352) 271-8890, FAX-(352) 271-8890
SIMONE.CHRISS@SOUTHERNLEGAL.ORG
9 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
10 CHELSEA L. DUNN, ESQUIRE
OF: SOUTHERN LEGAL COUNSEL
11 1229 NORTHWEST 12TH AVENUE
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601
12 (352) 271-8890, FAX-(352) 271-8890
CHELSEA.DUNN@SOUTHERNLEGAL.ORG
13 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
14 SHANI RIVAUX, ESQUIRE
OF: PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN
15 600 BRICKELL AVENUE
SUITE 3100
16 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131
(786) 913-4882, FAX-(786) 913-4901
17 SHANI.RIVAUX@PILSBURYLAW.COM
APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
18
JENNIFER ALTMAN, ESQUIRE
19 OF: PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN
600 BRICKELL AVENUE
20 SUITE 3100
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131
21 (786) 913-4882, FAX-(786) 913-4901
SHANI.RIVAUX@PILSBURYLAW.COM
22 APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
23
24
25

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:
2 CATHERINE MCKEE, ESQUIRE
OF: NATIONAL HEALTH LAW PROGRAM
3 1512 FRANKLIN STREET
SUITE 110
4 CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27514
(919) 968-6308, FAX-(919) 968-6308
5 MCKEE@HEALTHLAW.ORG
APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS

6
7 GARY V. PERKO, ESQUIRE
OF: HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK
119 SOUTH MONROE STREET
8 SUITE 500
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
9 (850) 391-0502, FAX-(850) 741-1023
GPERKO@HOLTZMANVOGEL.COM
10 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS

11 ANDREW T. SHEERAN, ESQUIRE
OF: FLORIDA AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
12 2727 MAHAN DRIVE
MS #3
13 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32308
(850) 412-3670, FAX-(850) 922-9162
14 ANDREW.SHEERAN@ACHA.MYFLORIDA.COM
APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

TESTIMONY OF ANN DALTON

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. DEBRIERE.....6

CERTIFICATE OF OATH.....176

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER.....177

ERRATA SHEET.....178

NOTIFICATION LETTER.....179

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 1 NOTICE OF DEPOSITION.....8

EXHIBIT 2 RULE 59G-1.050.....9

EXHIBIT 3 RULE 59G-1.010.....59

EXHIBIT 4 GAPMS REPORT ON CROSS-SEX HORMONE
THERAPY.....64

EXHIBIT 5 RULE 59G-1.035.....77

EXHIBIT 6 2016 GAPMS ROUTING FORM.....92

EXHIBIT 7 2022 GAPMS REPORT.....94

EXHIBIT 8 AFTER THE FACT REQUEST FORM FOR
DR. VAN METER.....103

EXHIBIT 9 GAPMS DECISION TREE CHECKLIST.....104

EXHIBIT 10 AFTER THE FACT REQUEST FORM FOR
DR. VAN MOL.....133

EXHIBIT 11 LETTER FROM SECRETARY MARSTILLER, DATED
4/10/22.....149

EXHIBIT 12 EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN AHCA AND
MAGELLAN.....166

1 EXHIBIT 13 EMAIL CHAIN BETWEEN MS. PICKLE AND
MR. WEIDA.....169

2

3 EXHIBIT 14 SMMC POLICY TRANSMITTAL RE: NON-COVERAGE
OF GENDER DYSPHORIA TREATMENTS.....170

4 EXHIBIT 15 FLORIDA MEDICAID HEALTH CARE ALERT
SIGN-OFF FORM.....170

5

6

7

S T I P U L A T I O N S

8 It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between
9 the counsel for the respective parties and the deponent
10 that the reading and signing of the deposition
11 transcript be reserved.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

P R O C E E D I N G S

THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ANN DALTON,

having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DEBRIERE:

Q. Ms. Dalton, have you ever had your deposition taken before?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So I'm just going to walk through some preliminary issues and go over some basic instructions that you've probably heard a million times, and then I'll get started with the questioning.

A. Okay.

MS. DUNN: Sorry. Before we start, can we introduce everybody who is on the phone.

MS. DEBRIERE: Absolutely. Thank you, Chelsea. Before we start, we want to introduces folks on the phone.

MS. DUNN: I think there's one person who is currently muted. Someone just joined.

1 Shani, are you there?

2 MS. RIVAUX: Good morning. This is Shani
3 Rivaux.

4 MS. DEBRIERE: Anyone else, Chelsea?

5 MS. DUNN: There is one person. I just don't
6 know who it is.

7 MS. DEBRIERE: Is anybody else there?

8 MS. DUNN: It's a 305 number. So it's Miami.

9 MS. CHRISS: That's Jennifer.

10 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Jennifer Altman is the
11 other person.

12 MS. DUNN: If folks on the line could mute
13 their phones just so we don't have any background
14 noise, that would be helpful. Thanks.

15 MS. DEBRIERE: So we're just going to mark
16 exhibits as they're discussed. I'll be showing you
17 papers to read off, and we'll just mark them as we
18 move through. As I mark those exhibits, I'm going
19 to read something called a Bates number; that just
20 helps us track what pages we're on when we discuss
21 things. If there's a Bates number, it's probably
22 going to start with "DEF," then underscore, then the
23 Bates number.

24 I'd like to go ahead and mark the notice of
25 deposition as Exhibit 1. There's no Bates number on

1 that one.

2 MS. DUNN: And Catherine McKee just joined the
3 line as well.

4 MS. DEBRIERE: It's just the notice that brings
5 you here today.

6 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
7 identification.)

8 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

9 Q. So I'm going to be using the acronym GAPMS
10 quite a bit. Do you know what that stands for?

11 A. Yes.

12 MS. DEBRIERE: And, Court Reporter, it's
13 G-A-P-M-S.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. And it stands for generally accepted
16 professional medical standards; which is set forth in
17 59G-1.035. You probably don't have that memorized.
18 That's okay.

19 I will use the term "gender dysphoria," which
20 is defined as discomfort or distress that is caused by a
21 discrepancy between a person's gender identity and that
22 person's sex assigned at birth and the associated gender
23 role and/or primary and secondary sex characteristics.
24 When I use that term, can we just agree that's the
25 definition I'm using?

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. I'm also going to be using the phrase
3 "categorical exclusion of gender affirming care." And
4 that's just the exclusion set out in 59G-1.050, Subpart
5 7. That's why we're here for today, for that exclusion
6 of gender affirming care. Do you understand what I mean
7 when I say that?

8 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to the form.
9 You can answer.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

11 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

12 Q. Well, I do want to make sure you understand
13 what I'm talking about. Would you like to see a copy of
14 the rule before we can agree on use of that phrase?
15 Because as I use it, I do want to make sure we're
16 talking the same thing.

17 A. Yeah.

18 MS. DEBRIERE: So we'll mark this as Exhibit 2.
19 It's a copy of 59G-1.050.

20 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
21 identification.)

22 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

23 Q. If you scroll down to Subpart 7 -- scroll down;
24 you're not a computer. If you follow down to Subpart
25 7 --

1 MR. PERKO: It's on the back of the page.

2 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

3 Q. So when I'm using the phrase "categorical
4 exclusion of gender affirming care," I'm referring to
5 that Subpart 7. Can we agree that that's the phrase
6 that encompasses that portion of the rule?

7 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

8 But you can answer.

9 MS. DEBRIERE: Well, I think we do need --
10 Gary, I understand where you're coming from. But I
11 think we just need to figure out a way to
12 shorthand --

13 MR. PERKO: That's fine.

14 MS. DEBRIERE: -- that reference.

15 MR. PERKO: I'm just objecting to the use of
16 "gender affirming care."

17 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. How about "treatment for
18 just gender dysphoria"? Would you --

19 MR. PERKO: That's fine.

20 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

21 Q. So we're going to use "categorical exclusion of
22 treatment for gender dysphoria." And when I use that
23 phrase -- categorical exclusion of treatment for gender
24 dysphoria -- I'm referring to that Subpart 7. Can we
25 agree to that?

1 A. Okay.

2 Q. I'm also going to use the term "EPSDT
3 services"; which is an acronym for early and periodic
4 screening, diagnostic, and treatment services. When I
5 say "EPSDT," do you know what I mean when I say that?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. So my name is Katy DeBriere. And I represent
8 the plaintiffs August Dekker, Brit Rothstein, and Susan
9 Doe and K.F.

10 I know you've been deposed before. I'm just
11 going to go over some very brief instructions, just as a
12 refresher.

13 If I ask a question ask and you don't
14 understand it, don't try to, you know, understand what
15 I'm saying and try to answer the question. Instead,
16 just stop me and tell me to rephrase so that you
17 understand the question. That's no problem at all.

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And speaking one at a time -- I have a horrible
20 habit of speaking over people. But we need to try and
21 do our best to speak one at a time, so the court
22 reporter can get down everything we say. I don't think
23 you're going to have that problem, but I will. So
24 please just let me finish my question before you answer.
25 And I will do my best to do the same when you're

1 providing an answer back to me; okay?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Verbal answers -- again, it's clear that you
4 understand. But as we move through, the court reporter
5 can't record things like "uh-huh," or "huh-uh." So if
6 you could just use "yes," or "no," or words whenever you
7 are responding to a question; okay?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. If you need to take a break for any reason,
10 please feel free to ask me. Stop me; tell me you need
11 to take a break. That's not going to be a problem at
12 all. The only thing I ask is that you finish answering
13 your question before we do.

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. Are you on any medications or other
16 substances that can impact your memory today?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Can you state your name.

19 A. Ann Dalton.

20 Q. And, Ms. Dalton, what did you do to prepare for
21 today?

22 A. I met with my attorneys.

23 Q. Okay. And how long did you meet with them for?

24 A. 45 minutes.

25 Q. Okay. Did you review any documents?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Okay. Can you describe your educational
3 background for me.

4 A. I have master's degree in music from Florida
5 State University and a bachelor's degree in music from
6 Northern Kentucky University.

7 Q. What's your current position at the Agency for
8 Health Care Administration?

9 MS. DEBRIERE: And, Court Reporter, probably
10 throughout the deposition we'll be using "AHCA";
11 which is the acronym -- AHCA. Or I might reference
12 "the agency" at times. And when I reference "the
13 agency," I mean the Agency for Health Care
14 Administration.

15 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

16 Q. So what is your current position at AHCA?

17 A. I'm the bureau chief of the Bureau of Medicaid
18 Policy.

19 Q. How long have you worked in that role?

20 A. Since -- officially, since August 2021.

21 Q. Okay. What did you do prior to that role?

22 A. I was an AHCA administrator in the Bureau of
23 Medicaid Policy.

24 Q. What does that mean to be an AHCA
25 administrator?

1 A. I was a manager of a team -- the Program
2 Authority Section in the Bureau of Medicaid Policy.

3 Q. What kind of responsibilities does that entail?

4 A. The Program Authorities Section was responsible
5 for submitting and maintaining the Medicaid waivers, the
6 Medicaid state plan with the federal partners at CMS;
7 the promulgation of administrative rules; and the PACE
8 program.

9 Q. And how long were you in that role for?

10 A. Since August 2018.

11 Q. What did you do prior to that?

12 A. I was a program administrator over a section in
13 the Bureau of Medicaid Policy.

14 Q. And what responsibilities does that entail?

15 A. That section was titled Program Policy. And it
16 was responsible for the Children's Health Insurance
17 Program or CHIP Program; the provider enrollment policy;
18 the eligibility rule; and a few other rule areas that I
19 can't remember.

20 Q. What do you mean by eligibility rule? What's
21 that?

22 A. The -- I don't remember the exact rule number.
23 But it is the rule that outlines the eligibility
24 criteria for recipients in the Medicaid program.

25 Q. Okay. Is that related to what category of

1 Medicaid someone would fall under in order to be
2 eligible for Medicaid?

3 A. I believe so.

4 Q. Okay. And how long were you in that position
5 for?

6 A. From January 2018 to August of 2018.

7 Q. And what did you do prior to that?

8 A. I worked at the Department of Elder Affairs as
9 a senior management analyst in the Long Term Services
10 and Supports Bureau.

11 Q. And how long were you in that role for?

12 A. From August 2017 to January 2018.

13 Q. Did that role require any knowledge about
14 Medicaid?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And did that role require any knowledge about
17 rulemaking?

18 A. Not the promulgation process itself, per
19 Chapter 120; but the development of rule language, yes.

20 Q. Okay. And when did you start at DOEA?

21 A. June 2012.

22 Q. Okay. And so what other positions did you hold
23 there between June 2012 and when you became the senior
24 program management analyst?

25 A. I held various analyst positions within the

1 same unit.

2 Q. Okay. And did those other positions require
3 knowledge of Medicaid?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And did those other positions require knowledge
6 about rule promulgation?

7 A. The same as the senior management analyst would
8 have.

9 Q. In your current role at AHCA, who is your
10 direct supervisor?

11 A. Currently Brian Meyer is my direct supervisor.

12 Q. And who is that person's supervisor?

13 A. Jason Weida.

14 Q. And what is Brian Meyer's position at the
15 agency?

16 A. These changes are recent. And I'm not sure of
17 the exact title of his position.

18 Q. How is his position in relation to Tom Wallace?
19 Or I should ask: What is Tom Wallace's position at the
20 agency?

21 A. He's a deputy secretary at the agency.

22 Q. Does Brian Meyer supervise him?

23 A. No, I believe they're the same position.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. But, again, these are recent changes, and I'm

1 not quite sure of the exact title.

2 Q. What was Brian Meyer's role before he changed
3 into the role he currently is in?

4 A. He was assistant deputy secretary of
5 operations.

6 Q. Okay. Is Brian within the Bureau of Medicaid
7 Policy?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Okay. Is he within any specific bureau at the
10 agency?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Describe your current role at the agency for
13 me. What are the responsibilities?

14 A. I oversee the Bureau of Medicaid Policy. The
15 Bureau of Medicaid Policy is responsible for the federal
16 authorities; which are the contracts between us and the
17 federal government that manage the Medicaid program in
18 Florida. Promulgates -- we oversee the promulgation of
19 all the rules and rule class 59G; which are the Medicaid
20 rules. Oversee the coverage policy development; those
21 coverage policies are promulgated in administrative
22 rule, but outline the specific services and the criteria
23 for reimbursement.

24 The administration of the CHIP program is also
25 part of the bureau's responsibility. And the managed

1 care plan contracts -- the drafting of those contracts
2 and policy actions related to the managed care program.

3 Q. What are coverage policies?

4 A. Coverage policies are documents that contain
5 the information needed by providers and recipients that
6 describes the service and also provides the information
7 that they would need to be reimbursed -- providers would
8 need to be reimbursed for a service. It describes who
9 can provide the service, who can receive the service,
10 and then any service criteria or details around that
11 service.

12 Q. What do you mean "service criteria"? Can you
13 explain that further.

14 A. A description of the service and then any
15 exclusions, if there are any, pertaining to that
16 service. It's different for each coverage policy.

17 Q. Okay. And what are coverage handbooks?

18 A. "Handbooks" is a term that we used to use at
19 the agency. A lot of the coverage policies were -- they
20 are now separate coverage policies, but they were
21 contained in bigger handbooks that have since been kind
22 of broken down to be more service specific. And so the
23 term that we use now to describe the information that
24 was previously contained in the handbooks is "coverage
25 policy."

1 Q. Are the handbooks promulgated into rule?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And does the agency still rely on those
4 handbooks in determining service eligibility?

5 A. If the information from a handbook was moved to
6 a coverage policy, the coverage policy would be
7 promulgated in the rule and the handbook would no longer
8 be part of that rule.

9 Q. Can you give a recent example of the handbook
10 information moving into a coverage policy rule.

11 A. It's not that recent, but it's the first one
12 that comes to my mind -- is the Home Health Handbook was
13 broken down into three coverage policies, I believe,
14 around 2016. And those three policies are the Home
15 Health Services Coverage Policy, Personal Care Services
16 Coverage Policy, and the Private Duty Nursing Services
17 Coverage Policy.

18 Q. Okay. And this will seem like a simple
19 question. But where do those coverage policies -- can
20 the public access those coverage policies?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And where would they access those coverage
23 policies?

24 A. The agency has an external web page specific to
25 all the coverage policies, fee schedules, reimbursement

1 policies.

2 Q. And the policies that are on that public facing
3 website, are they all inclusive of the policies on which
4 the agency relies for determining coverage? Strike that
5 question.

6 Is it an exhaustive -- is what is contained on
7 the agency's website, is it an exhaustive list of
8 Medicaid coverage policies?

9 A. All the policies promulgated in class 59G. And
10 the rules or links to the FAR notice are on our website,
11 yes.

12 Q. Are there any coverage policies not on the
13 website on which AHCA relies to determine coverage of
14 Medicaid services?

15 A. Not that I'm aware of.

16 Q. What is a fee schedule?

17 A. A fee schedule is the document that provides
18 information on billing codes, the description associated
19 with a code, and the amount that Medicaid will reimburse
20 for fee for service.

21 Q. What is fee for service?

22 A. Fee for service is a delivery system where the
23 State pays providers directly -- reimburses them
24 directly for the service provided.

25 Q. Is that in contrast to managed care?

1 A. It's a different delivery model.

2 Q. If a Medicaid service is listed on the fee
3 schedule, does that mean Medicaid covers it?

4 I'll strike that. I think I can ask a question
5 that will help here.

6 If a Medicaid service is on the fee schedule,
7 does that mean Medicaid does not categorically exclude
8 it?

9 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

10 MS. DEBRIERE: You can go ahead and answer if
11 you understand. If you don't understand, please
12 feel free to ask me to rephrase.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't think I understand.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. If a Medicaid service is listed on a fee
16 schedule, does that mean that Medicaid is willing to pay
17 for it if the recipient meets all eligibility criteria
18 for that service?

19 A. So the fee schedules have to be used in
20 conjunction with the coverage policy. So, like I said,
21 the fee schedule contains the coding that the provider
22 needs to use in order to get reimbursed, and, in most
23 cases, the amount and description. But the parameters
24 of who can receive the service -- what kind of providers
25 can get reimbursed for the service -- that's in the

1 coverage policy.

2 Q. Okay. What would it mean if a Medicaid service
3 was not on the fee schedule?

4 A. So the fee schedule document and the term as we
5 would use "fee schedule" does not include all of the
6 services. Some of those are going to be found in the
7 reimbursement methodology rules, if there's not a
8 specific fee equated to a specific code. So there's
9 also reimbursement methodology rules and documents as
10 well.

11 Q. Are there services -- Medicaid services on the
12 fee schedule that AHCA will not cover?

13 A. I don't know if there's any. But it would
14 be -- any information about how the services covered
15 would be included -- either on the fee schedule or in
16 the coverage policy.

17 Q. Okay. Do your responsibilities currently
18 include developing coverage policies for the Florida
19 Medicaid program?

20 A. I oversee the teams that are responsible for
21 that, yes.

22 Q. And who are those individuals? Or let's start
23 with: Who are the teams?

24 A. The team primarily responsible for the majority
25 of the coverage policies is the team managed by Jesse

1 Bottcher; he's the AHCA administrator. And he has three
2 program administrators who report directly to him.

3 Q. And who are those people?

4 A. Christine Polacheck [phonetic], and she
5 oversees the specialized services section. John Matson,
6 he's the manager over at the primary and preventative
7 services section. And then Tim Beaner is the manager
8 over the behavioral health and behavioral analysis
9 section.

10 Q. Are those the only teams over which you manage?
11 Or are there other teams?

12 A. I have five AHCA administrator direct reports.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. And then one program administrator direct
15 report. So I have six direct management team reports.

16 Q. So who are the other ones?

17 A. Catherine Mcgrath is the AHCA administrator
18 over the program authority section. Ashley Peterson is
19 the AHCA administrator over at the pharmacy policy
20 section. One of them is vacant -- the managed care
21 contract AHCA administrator position. Devona Pickle,
22 she is the AHCA administrator over the Canadian
23 Prescription Drug Importation team. And Jesse Bottcher.
24 And then Lakeva Campbell [phonetic] is a program
25 administrator over the administrative unit who does the

1 administrative functions of the bureau.

2 Q. Who works under Jesse Bottcher?

3 A. That was Christine Polacheck, John Matson, and
4 Tim Beaner.

5 Q. And do you know who Mr. Jeff English is?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And who is his supervisor?

8 A. His current supervisor is Cole Giering.

9 Q. Who is Mr. Giering's supervisor?

10 A. Catherine Mcgrath.

11 Q. And Mr. Bottcher -- does he supervise the
12 person who undertakes GAPMS analysis?

13 A. The position that is designated to do the GAPMS
14 is under Jesse Bottcher.

15 Q. Okay. And who does Ms. Peterson supervise?

16 A. The pharmacy policy team, which consists mostly
17 of pharmacists within the bureau.

18 Q. How many pharmacists are there?

19 A. In Ashley's section, there are currently three.

20 Q. Do you know the names of any of those people?

21 A. Yes. Jessica Forbes, Kelly Rubin, Susan
22 Williams.

23 Q. Are you familiar with a person named Nai Chen?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And who is his supervisor?

1 A. D.D. Pickle.

2 Q. And was Mr. Chen ever involved in the pharmacy
3 policy? Did Mr. Chen ever work for the pharmacy policy
4 unit?

5 A. No.

6 Q. How long has Mr. Chen been in that position?

7 A. I don't remember.

8 Q. More than a year?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. More than two years?

11 A. I'm not sure.

12 Q. Okay. Does Mr. Chen in his position have any
13 responsibilities over pharmacy coverage policies?

14 A. None that are currently promulgated.

15 Q. What about policies that are not promulgated?

16 A. I don't know if there's going to be the need
17 for a coverage policy or what types of administrative
18 rule we're going to need to implement the Canadian
19 Prescription Drug Importation Program once that's
20 federally approved -- which is why I answered how I did.

21 Q. Are there any other pharmacy related activities
22 that Mr. Chen engaged in the past year?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What are those?

25 A. His -- he's part of the Canadian Prescription

1 Drug Importation Program team. And there has been
2 pharmacy related activity regarding the SIP approval.

3 Q. What does SIP stand for? Or you can just
4 describe it if that's easier.

5 A. It's the proposal or the importation program
6 plan that the federal government authorized states to
7 submit or request approval of in order to develop an
8 importation program. And this was submitted to the FDA.

9 Q. What does the Canadian Prescription Drug
10 Importation unit do?

11 A. Their primary responsibility is to implement
12 the Canadian Prescription Drug Importation Program that
13 was statutorily authorized -- and I think it was in
14 2019 -- which includes seeking that federal approval
15 from the FDA and any implementation activities in
16 managing the contract with LifeScience Logistics -- the
17 agency's vendor who assists with that program.

18 Q. And did Mr. Chen over the past year have any
19 responsibilities related to pharmacy activities that did
20 not involve the Canadian Prescription Drug Importation
21 Program?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And what were those?

24 A. I can't recall all the specific assignments.
25 But he has helped with several research projects. I

1 think he has assisted Ashley's team with some questions
2 or answering questions. And he's been available to
3 assist with just different research projects.

4 Q. Was he involved at all with the categorical
5 exclusion of treatment for gender dysphoria in
6 developing the pharmacy coverage decisions related to
7 that?

8 A. So when you ask that, you're specifically
9 talking about the rule?

10 Q. I'm talking about the rule and the ways in
11 which AHCA is implementing the rule.

12 A. I don't know to the extent -- I know that he
13 assisted with research for the GAPMS report.

14 Q. Okay. And by GAPMS report, is that the report
15 that is related to the categorical exclusion for
16 treatment of gender dysphoria?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Why did Mr. Chen assist the pharmacy unit with
19 the GAPMS report instead of the other pharmacists in the
20 pharmacy policy unit? I'll strike that.

21 Why did Mr. Chen -- does the Canadian
22 Prescription Drug Importation unit focus on pharmacy
23 policies unrelated to the Canadian Prescription Drug
24 Importation Program typically?

25 A. Since there's been such a long delay with the

1 federal approval of the Canadian Prescription Drug
2 Importation Program, that team has assisted with various
3 other projects within the bureau.

4 Q. Okay. Is that why Mr. Chen assisted with the
5 GAPMS report for the exclusion of the treatment for
6 gender dysphoria?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. What types of services does AHCA develop
9 coverage policies for? Actually -- I'm sorry; strike
10 that. I apologize.

11 What does the Pharmacy Policy unit do?

12 A. Their job entails a lot of duties. Primarily
13 they host and oversee the PNT and DUR meetings -- public
14 meetings and the boards associated with that. They
15 oversee the coverage policies specific to pharmacy.
16 They assist with any contract language for the managed
17 care contracts for pharmacy. They oversee the contract
18 for our PBM contractor Magellan. Those are the primary
19 duties.

20 Q. What does PBM stand for?

21 A. Pharmacy benefits manager.

22 Q. And what is that?

23 A. PBMs can have various duties. But the contract
24 that I'm referring to is our rebate negotiation
25 contract.

1 Q. Okay. And you said that PBM contract is with
2 Magellan; is that correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. What's DUR?

5 A. Drug Utilization Review Board.

6 Q. And I think you used one other acronym when you
7 were discussing the public facing pharmacy meetings.

8 A. PNT.

9 Q. And what does that stand for?

10 A. I believe it's pharmaceuticals and
11 therapeutics.

12 Q. Okay. And what is that?

13 A. All the responsibilities of that board are
14 outlined in statute.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. I can't think off the top of my head. But they
17 meet quarterly. And we host those meetings and schedule
18 them.

19 Q. Okay. A few more questions about Mr. Chen.

20 Is Mr. Chen a pharmacist?

21 A. I believe so.

22 Q. And is he the only pharmacist in the Canadian
23 Prescription Drug Importation Program unit?

24 A. None of the other members of that team are
25 pharmacists.

1 Q. So Mr. Chen is the only one?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay. Did any other pharmacist assist with the
4 2022 GAPMS relating to exclusion of treatment for gender
5 dysphoria?

6 A. I don't know.

7 Q. What types of services does AHCA develop
8 coverage policies for?

9 A. The coverage policies are -- outline the
10 services that the State covers through the state plan --
11 Medicaid state plan or Medicaid waivers. So those are
12 just any Medicaid related service.

13 Q. Does AHCA develop coverage policies for
14 surgeries?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. How about for prescription drugs?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Does AHCA develop coverage policies for every
19 Medicaid service?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Have you ever had a situation where a Medicaid
22 recipient requests coverage for a service and there is
23 no policy?

24 A. I personally have not, no.

25 Q. Okay. And what process does AHCA use to decide

1 whether to provide coverage of a Medicaid service?

2 A. That really depends on the specifics of what
3 that service is.

4 Q. Does every service have a different process?

5 A. The process could vary based on what the
6 service is that we are determining coverage for.

7 Q. Do you use the same process for developing
8 pharmacy policy coverage?

9 A. I can't speak to the process or approach of the
10 analysts. The process of promulgating the coverage
11 policies into rule is always going to be in accordance
12 with Chapter 120.

13 Q. During your time at AHCA, have you developed --
14 have you been involved in developing or has your team --
15 those you supervise -- been involved in developing new
16 coverage policies to cover services?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Can you remember a specific service that you
19 did that for?

20 A. Yes. We are currently in the process with
21 promulgating the iBudget Waiver handbook. And as part
22 of the updates to the handbook, one of those is to
23 develop a new life skills development for Level 4
24 service. As part of that process, we also worked with
25 our federal partners at CMS to get a waiver amendment

1 approved. That's a very recent example of a new service
2 being developed.

3 Q. Do you have an example of a state plan service
4 that you developed coverage for that's under current
5 development?

6 A. Yes. We recently added some Puro Meno products
7 to the DME fee schedule.

8 Q. And so in that instance, did you establish a
9 coverage policy for those specific items of DME?

10 A. We did a coverage determination to determine if
11 and how they could be included as a covered service as
12 part of the DME service.

13 Q. And what is DME?

14 A. Durable medical equipment.

15 Q. And that includes medical supplies?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And Puro Meno would be a medical supply?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And you, to cover that service, incorporated it
20 onto the fee schedule?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Did you do --

23 Okay. How did you assess whether to decide to
24 incorporate Puro Meno into the fee schedule?

25 A. So I can't speak to all the steps that the

1 analyst -- the specific steps that they took. But just
2 speaking overall, determined if we had the legislative
3 and state plan authority to cover it; determined if it
4 was -- if there would be a fiscal impact.

5 And we approach coverage like that example to,
6 you know, try and make sure it's budget neutral since we
7 are -- our coverage is driven by our general
8 appropriations and our state general appropriations act.
9 And then determined if and what types of updates would
10 be needed to any of the Medicaid rules. That's the
11 general process for determining that kind of coverage.

12 Q. So to make a coverage determination you look at
13 your legislative authority -- authority under the state
14 plan -- and you do a fiscal analysis and hope for budget
15 neutrality. You check to see if there's any updates to
16 Medicaid rules. Anything else?

17 A. Making sure that it's an allowable service
18 under Medicaid, as well; which would entail that it
19 meets all federal, state rules and regulations for
20 coverage. But, like I said, all the details of the
21 research that the team does -- I can't speak to exactly
22 everything that they read or looked at.

23 Q. And if in that coverage determination you
24 decide to cover that service, do you then incorporate it
25 into the fee schedule?

1 A. In the example I gave, that's what we did, yes.

2 Q. Are there any situations where you would not
3 incorporate it into the fee schedule?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. What are those circumstances?

6 A. That would vary depending on what the actual
7 request or coverage benefit is that we're looking.

8 Q. Can you think of an example?

9 A. Yes. Last legislative session, I believe it
10 was, there was a specific language regarding the
11 coverage of human donor milk and milk derivatives for
12 inpatient use. Because it was under inpatient, that is
13 a -- the reimbursement for that is different and isn't
14 included in a fee schedule.

15 Q. Okay. That makes sense.

16 Once this coverage determination is made, do
17 your responsibilities include reviewing that to
18 determine whether to approve the decision?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And how do you go about doing that?

21 A. We usually meet with the team. We do a
22 walkthrough, have discussions around the proposal and
23 the recommendation. And then we put together --
24 depending on what the change is, put together a document
25 to get approval from management -- upper management.

1 Q. Does that document have a specific title -- the
2 same title every time?

3 A. No.

4 Q. How would you identify that document?

5 A. So if a fee schedule change was needed, there
6 is a formal routing process for the rule promulgation
7 process that would be routed through management and
8 signed off on.

9 Q. Okay. Are there other documents that would be
10 routed through management to be signed off on?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And what are the titles of those documents?

13 A. It depends on the situation. For example, we
14 also have a steering committee at the agency for the
15 division of Medicaid. And we call that a decision point
16 that would be to the steering committee.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. And the Medicaid director or agency leadership
19 is part of that committee. And so that is also a way
20 for us to get approval.

21 Q. For those coverage determinations that you
22 reviewed and put together in a document for
23 administrative review, who in the administration reviews
24 that document?

25 A. Depends on what that is. So for administrative

1 rule -- that needs to be signed off by several agency
2 leadership; including the general counsel, the agency
3 secretary for a proposed rule. So it would depend on
4 what the final document is who the final signatory would
5 be.

6 Q. Distinct from implementation of the coverage
7 determination, is there a review by the administration
8 of just whether to cover the Medicaid service?

9 A. It depends on what the specific circumstances
10 are.

11 Q. Okay. Can you think of an example of the
12 administration reviewing a determination of whether to
13 cover a service?

14 A. Can you be more specific? So the waiver
15 example I used a while back would be signed to submit
16 the waiver -- the iBudget waiver -- with the changes.
17 That would have been signed by the Medicaid director
18 prior to submission to federal CMS.

19 Q. How long have you been involved in the process
20 of doing coverage determination?

21 A. Since my time at AHCA.

22 Q. Okay. So since -- I'm trying to take notes
23 here. So since August of 2018?

24 A. January of 2018.

25 Q. January of 2018. Thank you.

1 And when you're making coverage determinations,
2 you coordinate with AHCA rules unit if a rule change is
3 needed; is that right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. Under what bureau does the AHCA rules
6 unit fall?

7 A. Under the Bureau of Medicaid Policy.

8 Q. Okay. So under your unit?

9 A. In the bureau.

10 Q. I'm sorry. Under you're bureau?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And you coordinate with AHCA's pharmacy policy
13 unit; which falls under your -- the pharmacy policy unit
14 falls under your bureau as well; is that right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Do you coordinate with other bureaus in
17 developing coverage determinations?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Which ones?

20 A. All the bureaus in the division work closely
21 together. And there have been some recent changes with
22 that structure. But speaking prior to those changes,
23 the Bureau of Medicaid Program Finance would be probably
24 be the primary bureau; because they assist with
25 determining or setting our fee schedules and our rates

1 and the methodologies and doing fiscal impact
2 analyses -- data analytics -- Medicaid data analytics.

3 As part of the whole development package, we
4 talk to all the bureaus because plan management
5 operations can be affected if there is an update to the
6 contracts. The Bureau of Medicaid Quality who monitors
7 and oversees the provision of services through those
8 contracts -- and they have various other duties. But
9 depending on what the change is, we would communicate
10 with most of the bureaus within the division.

11 Q. Okay. You just mentioned some recent changes
12 in terms of that structure. What are those recent
13 changes?

14 A. The Bureau of Medicaid Finance and Medicaid
15 Data Analytics are reporting directly to Tom. And Plan
16 Management Operations, Quality, and Policy are reporting
17 directly to Brian Meyer.

18 Q. And why is that a change?

19 A. Previously I had been reporting directly to Tom
20 Wallace.

21 Q. Is Brian Meyer's position a new one?

22 A. I don't know all the details of those changes.

23 Q. Okay. Who made the decision to make those
24 changes?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Okay. Who oversees the rules unit?

2 A. Cole Giering is program administrator of the
3 rules unit.

4 Q. How long has he been in that position?

5 A. I'm not sure exactly. But it was since I've
6 been bureau chief.

7 Q. Okay. So --

8 A. August of 2021.

9 Q. Thank you.

10 Do you coordinate -- in making coverage
11 determinations, do you coordinate with the chief medical
12 officer for AHCA?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Who is that?

15 A. Dr. Christopher Cogal.

16 Q. Can you describe how you coordinate with him,
17 what that process looks like.

18 A. Again, it really depends on the specific
19 question or policy we're reviewing. But it would
20 consist of meetings or discussions.

21 Q. What types of things would you discuss?

22 A. So, for example -- I'm going to go back to the
23 two examples of recent activity. So he wasn't involved
24 in the iBudget Waiver changes at all. But for the human
25 donor milk, he assisted when we had originally done the

1 legislative bill analysis when the legislation was first
2 proposed. And so for the development of how to
3 implement the changes, he was consulted. I don't know
4 the specific conversation, but I do know that he was
5 involved in that process.

6 Q. On what kind of expertise do you rely on him
7 for? What kind of input does he provide in the process?
8 Is it medical in nature?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. To the extent -- I know he's an available
12 resource for the team. But I don't know to the extent
13 that -- of his involvement.

14 Q. When he gets involved, is it through a formal
15 process? Or is it just a decision to reach out and ask
16 him for advice? How would you characterize it?

17 A. From my experience at the bureau level, it's
18 been more informal. I know that there have been -- he's
19 been formally asked to review bill analysis or -- but
20 how that process works, I don't know.

21 Q. Okay. Are there people under you who are more
22 likely to communicate with Dr. Cogal?

23 A. I believe there's staff that communicate with
24 him more than others, yes.

25 Q. What staff are those?

1 A. Ashley Peterson has been meeting with him on
2 some projects lately. Again, it really depends on the
3 project. But we are working with him on continuous
4 glucose monitoring -- questions around coverage there.
5 And Jesse Bottcher and his team.

6 Q. When you say Jesse Bottcher and his team, would
7 that include the GAPMS process?

8 A. His team is responsible for it.

9 Q. In coordinating with Dr. Cogal -- in the
10 coordination between Mr. Bottcher's team and Dr. Cogal,
11 would that include the GAPMS process?

12 A. I don't know the extent to which he is involved
13 in that.

14 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, has he ever been
15 involved in that?

16 A. I don't know specifically.

17 Q. Have you and Dr. Cogal and anyone from
18 Mr. Bottcher's team ever met to discuss the GAPMS
19 process?

20 A. The process, yes. When I first took the role,
21 we had met to talk through the process. But I can't
22 remember the specific conversation.

23 Q. Okay. Switching gears a bit. When I use the
24 term "Florida Medicaid managed care plan," do you know
25 what it means?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. What does that term mean?

3 A. Those are the managed care plans that the
4 agency contracts with to provide the services through
5 the managed care delivery model.

6 Q. Do Medicaid managed care plans have their own
7 coverage policies?

8 A. The agency's coverage policies are incorporated
9 into the managed care plan contracts by reference. And
10 there are requirements outlined in the contract with how
11 the managed care plans have to provide services.

12 Q. Are you aware of managed care plans having
13 their own policies that incorporate Florida Medicaid's
14 policies?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. Have you ever seen a copy of a Florida Medicare
17 managed care plan document that discusses the coverage
18 of a Florida Medicaid service?

19 A. I reviewed the plans' member handbooks or
20 enrollee handbooks. And I've seen their resources
21 available on their websites that weigh out what they
22 cover. I can't remember if I've ever seen an official
23 document titled "Coverage Policy."

24 Q. So my question is: Have you ever seen a
25 document from a Medicaid managed care plan -- formal or

1 informal, it doesn't matter -- with information that
2 contains the criteria used to determine if Florida
3 Medicaid will cover a service?

4 A. I believe that information is in the handbooks.
5 But I can't recall any specific documents drafted by the
6 plans.

7 Q. What unit would be responsible for
8 communicating with managed care plans about their
9 coverage of Florida Medicaid services?

10 A. That would depend if they had a question for
11 the agency on the agency's coverage of a covered service
12 or a contractually required service. Those most likely
13 would be sent to Medicaid policy.

14 Q. Okay.

15 A. To review.

16 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Yes. Definitely. Just a
17 couple more questions, if that's okay.

18 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

19 Q. Are you okay Ms. Dalton?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Who would review those questions? Who
22 specific -- like, what specific individuals?

23 A. It would depend on what the question was.

24 Q. Okay. If the managed care plan doesn't have a
25 question, is there any process that exists that just

1 involves overseeing whether a Medicaid managed care plan
2 is covering a Florida Medicaid service?

3 A. The Bureau of Plan Management Operations is the
4 bureau that oversees the adherence to the contract. All
5 the contract managers for the individual plans are
6 housed there. So if it was a compliance question on if
7 the managed care plan was following the requirements in
8 the contract, that would be Plan Management Operations
9 most likely who would be the first point of contact for
10 the plans.

11 Q. Okay. Can MCOs create their own guidelines for
12 implementing AHCA coverage policies?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. Who would know that?

15 A. It would be in the contracts.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. The parameters around what their materials are
18 allowed to contain and if the materials have to be
19 reviewed and approved by the agency.

20 Q. Okay. And that would be the Bureau of Planned
21 Management Operations who does that -- takes on that
22 role? And if not, then who?

23 A. I believe it would depend on what the materials
24 being reviewed are. Just like with reporting -- there
25 are different report owners in different bureaus within

1 the division of Medicaid that review compliance with
2 the -- the plan's compliance with the contracts. But
3 the first point of contact for submitting those
4 materials and making sure that they're submitted would
5 be through Plan Management Operations.

6 Q. And who is that bureau chief? Remind me.

7 A. Pam Hall.

8 Q. Okay. One last question. Are you aware that
9 MCOs have their own guidelines for specific types of
10 Medicaid services?

11 A. I can't speak to that. I don't know.

12 Q. Do you know who would know?

13 A. Are you asking if it's a required -- or if
14 they're allowed to --

15 Q. No. I'm just asking if you're aware. So are
16 you aware that they have their own --

17 MR. PERKO: Asked and answered.

18 MS. DEBRIERE: -- criteria guidelines?

19 THE WITNESS: I would have to review the
20 contract.

21 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

22 Q. Okay. So is that a no, you are not aware as we
23 sit here today without having anything in front of you?

24 A. Correct. I don't know without seeing a
25 specific example or reviewing the contract.

1 Q. Okay. Do you want to take a break?

2 A. Yes.

3 (Brief recess.)

4 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

5 Q. Ms. Dalton, just briefly -- when we took a
6 break, did you discuss this deposition with anyone?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Did you discuss it with your attorneys?

9 A. Just briefly.

10 Q. Okay. When I use the term "quality improvement
11 organizations" or QIOs, do you know what I mean?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. What does that term mean?

14 A. Quality improvement organization.

15 Q. Yeah. Is eQHealth a QIO?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what do they do?

18 A. I don't know the whole scope. But their main
19 function in their contract with the agency is the -- to
20 do prior authorization for fee for service services.

21 Q. Okay. What does prior authorization mean?

22 A. It's a utilization management tool to ensure
23 that the services are in their scope, authorized, and
24 appropriate.

25 Q. By "appropriate," what do you mean?

1 A. That the service that's being requested is
2 allowable and delivered within the parameters of the
3 Medicaid program.

4 Q. Who makes the request for prior authorization?

5 A. I don't know the details of how the process
6 works.

7 Q. Okay. By parameters, do you mean the
8 parameters set by AHCA's coverage policies?

9 A. Yes. And administrative rule.

10 Q. Okay. Is administrative rule distinct from a
11 coverage policy?

12 A. Yes. Not all of the administrative rules
13 incorporate a coverage policy by reference.

14 Q. Okay. So an example of that would be the
15 definition of medical necessity -- would be an
16 administrative rule that sets out the parameters for
17 coverage but does not include a specific coverage
18 policy?

19 A. The definition of medical necessity is actually
20 in the definitions policy -- which is a document
21 incorporated by reference into the text of the
22 administrative rule.

23 Q. Okay. Do QIOs like eQHealth -- do they have
24 their own coverage criteria they rely on?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Do you coordinate with QIOs regarding those
2 coverage criteria?

3 A. I personally do not.

4 Q. Does anybody on your team?

5 A. The eQHealth contract is housed in the Bureau
6 of Medicaid Quality.

7 Q. Okay.

8 A. So they would be a lead in managing of that
9 contract and communicating with the vendor. But I do
10 know that we have communicated with them in the past --
11 the Bureau of Medicaid Policy has.

12 Q. What types of things have you communicated
13 about in the past?

14 A. The first example that comes to mind is
15 recently the agency opened the definitions rule policy
16 and did communicate that that rule was being opened with
17 eQHealth.

18 Q. Okay. Are MCOs and QIOs bound by AHCA's
19 coverage policies?

20 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

21 You can answer.

22 THE WITNESS: As I stated before, the contract
23 for the managed care plans incorporates the coverage
24 policies by reference. And the plans are not
25 allowed to be more restrictive than the coverage

1 policies. I don't know the specific language off
2 the top of my head with the requirements of how they
3 adhere to the policies. But that is in the
4 contract.

5 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

6 Q. Okay. So the MCO's obligation to adhere to
7 AHCA's coverage policies is set forth in the contract?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. What about QIOs?

10 A. I don't know the specific language off the top
11 of my head. But that information is also in the
12 contracts on how the managed care plans' contracted QIO
13 vendors are expected to operate.

14 Q. Okay. Is there a formal approval process for
15 the QIO's coverage criteria?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. Is Magellan a QIO?

18 A. I don't know.

19 Q. Okay. Does Magellan conduct prior
20 authorization of Florida Medicaid services?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Does Magellan review the request of a Medicaid
23 recipient to authorize prescription drug services in the
24 Fee for Service program?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Do you know what -- do you know if Magellan
2 plays any role in determining coverage of pharmacy
3 services under Florida Medicaid?

4 A. I believe the agency has a contract with them
5 to adjudicate the claims. But I don't know the scope of
6 that contract.

7 Q. What do you mean by adjudicate the claims?

8 A. I don't know the whole scope of that process or
9 the contract.

10 Q. When you just use that phrase, what did you
11 mean by that?

12 A. That they're involved in the reimbursement
13 process.

14 Q. Okay. And would the reimbursement process
15 involve determining the eligibility for the service
16 itself?

17 A. I don't know the extent of that process.

18 Q. Would anybody at AHCA know or be able to answer
19 that question?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Moving back to coverage determinations
22 undertaken by your bureau, who is the final
23 decisionmaker as to whether AHCA will adopt that
24 coverage determination?

25 A. Can you repeat the question.

1 Q. So earlier we were talking about your bureau
2 undertaking coverage determinations of Florida Medicaid
3 services; correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Who is -- before AHCA or anyone at AHCA can act
6 on that determination, who is the final decisionmaker?

7 A. Again, it depends on the circumstances. And I
8 can only speak to the signatory of who needs to be -- to
9 officially sign off. But the example I used before for
10 a federal authority submission, that would be whoever
11 was designated from the agency as the Medicaid director
12 or the Medicaid state plan approver.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. And then administrative rule to actually
15 complete the promulgation process. That's actually
16 signed off by the head of the agency, which here would
17 be our secretary.

18 Q. Okay. When coverage policies are promulgated,
19 are there multiple drafts of those policies? Are there
20 ever multiple drafts of those policies?

21 A. Can you repeat the question.

22 Q. When you're developing a coverage policy, are
23 there multiple drafts?

24 A. It would it depend on what the change was.

25 Q. So there are times when coverage policies have

1 multiple drafts?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And how do you track any changes to those
4 policies during the drafting process?

5 A. So specific to the coverage policy, we
6 typically use a document called a revisions template;
7 which tracks the changes being proposed.

8 Q. Okay. Is there a limit to the people who can
9 make changes to the revisions document?

10 I'm sorry; the revision just tracks who has
11 made the changes; is that right?

12 A. So it tracks what the old policy said, what the
13 new changes are, if there's a reason for the change.
14 I'm not sure if it includes who the requester of the
15 change is.

16 Q. Okay. Does it record who is making the change?

17 A. I can't recall if that's on the template.

18 Q. Is anybody at AHCA allowed to make a change?

19 A. So for most of the coverage policies, there's a
20 subject matter expert assigned to that program area who
21 any changes would filter through. And then they have to
22 work with the rules unit who is actually making the
23 changes to the coverage policy and promulgating that
24 through the rulemaking process.

25 Q. Okay. Just switching quickly to some specific

1 Medicaid services. Are coverage policies regarding
2 surgery adopted into rule?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And are they in handbooks or a handbook?

5 A. I don't believe it's one specific handbook.

6 Q. Do you remember the names of any of the
7 handbooks they are contained in?

8 A. We have a transplant services coverage policy.

9 Q. Okay.

10 A. Which I would consider inclusive of surgical.
11 We have an inpatient services coverage policy. Without
12 seeing the list of policies, I can't recall off the top
13 of my head.

14 Q. Give me one second.

15 Would coverage policies about surgeries be in
16 the Ambulatory and Surgical Center Services Policy?

17 A. I don't know the content of that policy off the
18 top of my head.

19 Q. Okay. You said inpatient hospital services
20 would contain surgery policies?

21 A. I don't know all the content in the policy
22 without looking at it. But it...

23 Q. If it mentions surgery in the handbook, is it
24 going to have a coverage policy related to it?

25 How would you know if a handbook covered

1 surgery or contained a surgery coverage policy in it?

2 A. I would have to read the handbook. Depending
3 on what the specific question was, what type of surgery.

4 Q. Okay. What about prescription drug coverage
5 policies? Are those adopted into rule?

6 A. I believe there is a rule specific to pharmacy
7 policies and prescription drugs, yes.

8 Q. Okay. And then I'm just going to flip my
9 computer around here and go to this page. We're looking
10 at what's titled Agency for Health Care Administration
11 Drug Criteria.

12 [AHCA.myFlorida.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug_criteria.](https://www.fhca.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug_criteria.shtml)
13 [shtml.](https://www.fhca.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug_criteria.shtml)

14 And I assume, Ms. Dalton, I'm seeing here --
15 are you just seeing a list of drug criteria?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Is this an exhaustive list of the drug criteria
18 that AHCA relies on?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. Who would know that?

21 A. Ashley Peterson and her team may be able to
22 confirm.

23 Q. Okay. And why wouldn't this be an exhaustive
24 list?

25 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm not personally very familiar
2 with this page.

3 MR. PERKO: Counsel, for the record, can we
4 read the URL.

5 MS. DEBRIERE: Absolutely. Well, I think I --
6 Gary, do I not know what a URL is?

7 MR. PERKO: The website address.

8 MS. DEBRIERE: So I think we read most of it.
9 But I can start with
10 [https://AHCA.myFlorida.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug/
11 drug_criteria.shtml](https://AHCA.myFlorida.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug/drug_criteria.shtml).

12 MR. PERKO: Thank you.

13 MS. DEBRIERE: Absolutely.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. Do you know what categorical exclusion means?

16 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form. I
17 guess I'm a bit confused, Counsel. You already
18 defined what categorical exclusion means at the
19 beginning of this deposition.

20 MS. DEBRIERE: Well, that's categorical
21 exclusion -- you're right, Counsel. It contained
22 the statement "categorical exclusion"; just
23 categorical exclusion of a very specific set of
24 services. The treatment for --

25 MR. PERKO: That wasn't the definition at the

1 beginning. But go ahead.

2 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

3 Q. How about this, Ms. Dalton: Can you provide an
4 example of a categorical exclusion under Medicaid?

5 A. I can't think of an example. I'm familiar with
6 the term. I cannot think of an example.

7 Q. Okay. I'm trying to think of one too.

8 Does AHCA -- does Florida Medicaid cover
9 private duty nursing service for individuals over the
10 age of 21?

11 A. Not through the state plan.

12 Q. Okay. Do they cover it through home and
13 community based services with a Medicaid waiver?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. And if Florida Medicaid does not cover
16 private duty nursing services for individuals over 21
17 under the Medicaid state plan, is that a categorical
18 exclusion?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And does the agency categorically exclude any
21 Medicaid service for beneficiaries under the age of 21?

22 A. Can you repeat the question.

23 Q. I'm sorry. Bear with me one second,
24 Ms. Dalton. I'll come back to that.

25 Do your responsibilities include ensuring that

1 coverage policies meet the standards under EPSDT?

2 A. The Bureau of Medicaid Policy doesn't oversee
3 the monitoring of the adherence to the policies or the
4 provision of services. In terms of ensuring that the
5 policy language complies with the federal EPSDT
6 requirements, yes.

7 Q. And how do you ensure that compliance when
8 developing coverage policies?

9 A. It depends on the specific coverage policy.
10 But the majority of the service specific coverage
11 policies include language incorporating EPSDT by
12 reference and language from the federal regulation.

13 Q. Generally speaking, what is that EPSDT
14 requirement?

15 A. That the State must provide all medically
16 necessary services to children ages under 21.

17 Q. Does the State have to provide a service under
18 EPSDT to a Medicaid recipient under 21 if that service
19 is experimental?

20 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

21 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

22 Q. Do you know what I mean when I say
23 experimental?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So same question. Does the State have to

1 provide coverage to children under age 21 if that health
2 service is considered experimental?

3 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

4 THE WITNESS: The State is allowed to develop
5 its own definition of medically necessary or medical
6 necessity; which Florida has done and promulgated in
7 administrative rule. And part of that definition
8 does include the parameters by which a service would
9 not be determined medically necessary; and,
10 therefore, not required under the EPSDT.

11 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

12 Q. Okay. And that definition of medical necessity
13 includes the requirement that the service not be
14 experimental; correct?

15 A. I cannot recall the exact definition off the
16 top of my head. But that is in -- promulgated in the
17 definition coverage policy.

18 Q. When you say that is --

19 A. The definition of medical necessity.

20 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. We can mark -- I have a
21 copy of the rule so you can reference it. We can
22 mark that as Exhibit 3. And that's 59G-1.010.

23 We might have forgotten to put a copy in. If
24 we did, it's my fault.

25 MS. DUNN: I have a copy right here.

1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was marked for
2 identification.)

3 MS. DUNN: Yeah. It's right there. Last
4 definition on that page.

5 THE WITNESS: It doesn't seem to be the
6 whole --

7 MS. DUNN: It's not.

8 MS. DEBRIERE: It's not. We ended it at "N,"
9 because it's a very large coverage policy and we are
10 trying to save some trees.

11 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

12 Q. So if you look at the definition of "medically
13 necessary" or "medical necessity," does that contain a
14 requirement that the service not be experimental?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And so under EPSDT, can the agency deny a
17 medical service to a child under 21 if they deem it to
18 be experimental?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Who is responsible for compliance with
21 EPSDT? Is it a specific person?

22 A. I don't know who is responsible.

23 Q. Is it someone within your bureau regarding
24 EPSDT as it relates to the development of coverage
25 policies?

1 A. There isn't a specific person in my bureau, no.

2 Q. Are there any written guidelines about ensuring
3 compliance with EPSDT with developing coverage policies?

4 A. Can you repeat.

5 Q. Are there any written guidelines relied on to
6 determine whether a coverage policy complies with EPSDT,
7 other than that contained in the Federal Medicaid Act?

8 A. I don't know specific -- all the specific
9 documents that the analysts rely on when developing the
10 coverage policy. But as part of that process, the
11 expectation is to review the federal guidelines and
12 statute and other rules and regulations of governing the
13 Medicaid program to ensure that the coverage policy
14 adheres to the Medicaid program federally and state.

15 Q. And that's an expectation of the staff within
16 your bureau?

17 A. Yes. It's the common practice when approaching
18 research regarding changes to the policy -- a policy.

19 Q. Okay. When I use the term "comparability," do
20 you know what I mean as it's laid out in regulations
21 implemented in the Federal Medicaid Act?

22 A. You may have to give me some more context.

23 Q. So under the Federal Medicaid Act, there is a
24 requirement that state agencies who administer Medicaid
25 do so in a way that all Medicaid recipients receive

1 comparable services. Are you familiar with that
2 requirement?

3 A. Vaguely sounds familiar.

4 Q. Is your bureau required to be familiar with
5 that requirement in developing coverage policies?

6 A. I can't speak to that without more information.

7 Q. Okay. Is there anyone who can speak to the
8 requirement -- is there anyone who can speak to ensuring
9 that the policy comply with comparability under the
10 Federal Medicaid Act?

11 A. So, again, I think it really would depend on
12 what the specific question is regarding or which
13 specific coverage policy. As I said before, a lot of
14 the coverage policies have a specific subject matter
15 expert with knowledge of that service area. So it just
16 really would depend.

17 Q. Okay. I'm just going to make myself a note.

18 What is the purpose -- turning back to Exhibit
19 3 and the definition of medical necessity -- what's the
20 purpose of AHCA's medical necessity standard?

21 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

22 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

23 Q. Does AHCA's medical necessity standard have a
24 purpose?

25 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean.

2 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

3 Q. What is the purpose of the definition of
4 medical necessity?

5 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

6 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

7 Q. What do you use it for?

8 A. The definition is relied on a lot. Most of the
9 service specific coverage policies refer and incorporate
10 by reference the definitions policy and make a statement
11 that the service must be medically necessary as part of
12 the requirement for reimbursement.

13 Q. If a Medicaid recipient makes a request for a
14 Medicaid service, in order for that service to be
15 authorized, does it have to be medically necessary?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Do managed care plans rely on AHCA's medical
18 necessity standard in their prior authorization process?

19 A. I can't recall the exact contract language.
20 But, yes.

21 Q. And what about QIOs?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. Regardless of the method in which Medicaid is
24 delivering the service -- fee for service or managed
25 care -- in order for that surface to be authorized, does

1 it have to be medically necessary?

2 A. I don't know the details of the actual
3 authorization process. I do know that the expectation
4 from policy prospective is that the services have to be
5 provided in accordance with the agency's coverage
6 policies and administrative rules.

7 Q. And that includes the definition of medical
8 necessity?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. If AHCA finds that a Medicaid service is
11 experimental, would AHCA or a contractor or managed care
12 plan still review whether service meets other portions
13 of AHCA's medical necessity definition?

14 A. I don't know the extent of their review.

15 Q. What about your review at AHCA for fee service?

16 A. Again, I don't know eQHealth or QIO vendors'
17 process.

18 Q. Do all Florida Medicaid services require prior
19 authorization?

20 A. I don't know. I don't believe so.

21 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Can I have what we'll
22 mark as Exhibit 4, which is the GAPMS Report on
23 Cross-Sex Hormone Therapy, dated May -- I believe we
24 did the May version.

25 So what I'm showing you is Bates stamped

1 beginning at Defendant 00126105. I should pull out
2 my own copy.

3 And that continues through, Court Reporter --
4 this one is not Bates stamped. It's weird. This
5 one doesn't have a copy. This copy is not Bates
6 stamped. But it is entitled Cross-Sex Hormone
7 Therapy GAPMS Determination Report With
8 Recommendation.

9 That's very odd. Very odd. I don't think it's
10 a huge deal.

11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was marked for
12 identification.)

13 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

14 Q. So on the last two pages, Ms. Dalton, starting
15 at "Coverage policy" -- and it starts, "Federal
16 regulations."

17 "Federal regulations for Medicaid..." and
18 continues on through the definition of medical
19 necessity --

20 MR. PERKO: Can you give a page number.

21 MS. DEBRIERE: Oh, yes. Thank you, Gary.

22 So page 8, 9, and a tiny bit of the top of 10.

23 THE WITNESS: I'm there.

24 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

25 Q. Take all the time you need to read it. And

1 afterwards, if you can tell me if this is an accurate
2 portrayal of the standard used to determine Florida
3 Medicaid coverage for prescription drugs.

4 MR. PERKO: Do you have another copy?

5 Thank you.

6 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

7 Q. I think it starts at the top of page 8 --
8 middle of page 8. So reviewing that standard, is that
9 what's used to determine whether Florida Medicaid will
10 cover a prescription drug?

11 A. Can you direct me more to where you're
12 referring. I read both pages 8 and 9, and I don't think
13 I can speak to the specifics of all this information.

14 Q. Okay. When reviewing whether to cover a
15 prescription drug, does AHCA look at -- here on page 8
16 it says AHCA is -- "The program is required to asses
17 data on drug use against predetermined standards
18 consistent with the following compendia." And then it
19 lists three types of compendia and the peer reviewed
20 medical literature. Is that an accurate statement of
21 AHCA policy?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. Who would know that?

24 A. I don't know if I can speak for them. But I
25 would ask one of the pharmacists.

1 Q. Would you ask Ashley Peterson? Or would you
2 ask one of the pharmacists that works under her?

3 A. I specifically would go to Ashley, as she's my
4 direct report. And then she would research the question
5 for me.

6 Q. Okay. Would research involve asking one of her
7 pharmacists?

8 A. I don't know. I can't speak for her process.

9 Q. So going to page 9, top of the page says, "In
10 order to be reimbursed by Medicaid, a drug must be
11 medically necessary."

12 Is that the same as the definition contained in
13 the 59G-1.010 that we just reviewed -- Exhibit 3?

14 A. I don't understand what you mean by the same.

15 Q. Does medically necessary mean the same as the
16 definition in the definitions policy?

17 A. I would think so.

18 Q. Okay. And it is, "Either prescribed for
19 medically accepted indications and dosages found in the
20 drug labeling or drug compendia in the Medicaid Act or
21 prior authorized by a qualified clinical specialist
22 approved by that agency."

23 Is this an accurate recitation of the standard
24 AHCA uses to authorize prescription drug coverage?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Would Ashley Peterson know that information --
2 her or her team?

3 A. I would think so, yes.

4 Q. Okay. The next thing it says, "The criteria
5 that are utilized under the Florida Medicaid program in
6 the authorization of drugs for off-label purposes are as
7 follows." And then it lists three criteria.

8 Reading over that statement, are these
9 currently the criteria AHCA uses in authorizing drugs
10 for off label purposes?

11 A. Again, I don't know.

12 Q. Would Ashley Peterson know the answer to that
13 question?

14 A. I would think her team would, yes.

15 Q. Is this the type of information -- looking at
16 this, is this the type of information that would be
17 contained in a coverage policy adopted in rule?

18 A. I'm not sure.

19 Q. Why aren't you sure? What's throwing you about
20 it?

21 A. I don't know the content of the rules off the
22 top of my head.

23 Q. But I think my question is a little different.
24 So does this appear to be the type of information that
25 would be contained in a coverage policy adopted into

1 rule?

2 A. I can't speak to that. I don't know because of
3 the reason I stated. I will say the coverage policies
4 traditionally do not repeat regulation or requirements
5 or information that are found elsewhere; for example, in
6 Florida statute or in federal regulation. And each
7 coverage policy is structured somewhat similarly, but
8 does contain very different information. So I don't
9 know if this is information that's found off the top of
10 my head in one of our policies.

11 Q. Okay. I think you -- do all prescription drugs
12 require prior authorization to be reimbursed by
13 Medicaid?

14 A. I don't know.

15 Q. Who would know that?

16 A. I would think Ashley Peterson and her team. Or
17 it might be available on the information on our website
18 regarding pharmacy policy and authorization criteria.

19 Q. Okay. So Ms. Peterson would be familiar with
20 authorization criteria for prescription drugs?

21 A. Yes. Or she would know where to look.

22 Q. Okay. Specifically related to pharmacy
23 coverage policies, how are they developed?

24 A. The coverage of the pharmacy services is a
25 little different than the other coverage policies. I

1 don't know all the details that go from the analysts
2 into the developments. But because there is different
3 statutory requirements -- Florida statutory requirements
4 around pharmacy services, including the PNT and DUR
5 board -- the process for overseeing the coverage of
6 pharmacy services is a little different.

7 Q. In reviewing whether a prescription drug
8 requires a coverage policy -- strike that.

9 Do you use the GAPMS process to determine
10 pharmacy coverage -- to determine whether coverage of a
11 prescription drug is experimental?

12 A. I don't know specifically for determining if a
13 prescription drug is experimental. I don't know.

14 Q. When you develop coverage policies in your
15 bureau, does that include a determination as to whether
16 a service is experimental?

17 A. So the coverage policies are drafted specific
18 to the covered services that we've been approved to
19 provide.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. By the federal government. So that is the
22 driving factor on how we would initially approach the
23 coverage and organize or draft a coverage policy
24 asserting a service that we are authorized to provide.

25 Q. So separate and apart from developing coverage

1 policies, the responsibilities of your bureau also
2 include determining whether a service is experimental;
3 is that correct?

4 A. So that would be part of the GAPMS process that
5 is outlined in administrative rule.

6 Q. Okay. Do you use the GAPMS process for
7 prescription drugs?

8 A. Without researching or consulting others on the
9 team for a specific example, I don't know the interplay
10 between the different authorities and how that works.

11 Q. Which team is responsible for the GAPMS
12 process?

13 A. That position is within the Medicaid -- Bureau
14 of Medicaid Policy.

15 Q. Earlier speaking about teams under the bureau,
16 which teams is responsible for the GAPMS process?

17 A. Jesse Bottcher is the manager over the position
18 that is primarily responsible for the GAPMS process.

19 Q. Are there any other teams that are primarily
20 responsible for the GAPMS process? Or is it only
21 Jesse's team?

22 A. So in terms of listing that as a primary
23 responsibility on a job description, that would be
24 Jesse's team.

25 Q. Should the people on Jesse's team be aware of

1 every GAPMS process that's undertaken?

2 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

3 You can answer.

4 THE WITNESS: So as the bureau chief of Policy,
5 I do try to keep staff within the bureau aware of
6 everything that's happening within the bureau --
7 especially when a determination has been made.
8 Jesse's team would definitely need to be aware,
9 because there could be potential impacts with a
10 specific service coverage policy. But I do think
11 every circumstance is different. So I can't say
12 just in a general statement to your question.

13 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

14 Q. Would it be typical for Jesse's team to not be
15 aware of a GAPMS report being developed?

16 A. I can't say if it would be typical. I have not
17 overseen very many GAPMS in my time as bureau chief.

18 Q. So as the bureau chief with Jesse's team being
19 primarily responsible for GAPMS, would you as that chief
20 endeavor to ensure that Jesse's team was aware of all
21 GAPMS reports being written?

22 A. Yes. We meet the managers on -- my direct
23 reports and I meet regularly at least twice a week for
24 an hour and discuss projects that are going on with each
25 team and provide updates. So the ongoing bureau

1 activities are regularly discussed with the management
2 team.

3 Q. Okay. Do you know what a drug compendium is?

4 A. I recognize the term, but don't think I can
5 define it.

6 Q. Do you know which compendia are listed in the
7 Federal Medicaid Act?

8 A. No.

9 Q. I'm just going to screen share again. I'm
10 showing right now on my screen -- the URL is
11 [https://AHCA.myFlorida.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug/
12 pharm_thera/pdf/PDL.pdf](https://AHCA.myFlorida.com/Medicaid/prescribed_drug/pharm_thera/pdf/PDL.pdf). The title of this document is
13 Preferred Drug List, Effective January 21st, 2023.

14 Do you know what the preferred drug list is?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. What is it?

17 A. It's list of drugs developed that the managed
18 care plans must adhere to. And it has to do with rebate
19 negotiations and is recommended by the PMT committee.

20 Q. Perhaps you just answered this. But who
21 develops the PDL?

22 A. The agency.

23 Q. What is the PMT committee's role in it?

24 A. Per statute, they make recommendations to the
25 agency.

1 Q. Okay. Does the DUR have any role in developing
2 the PDL?

3 A. I don't know. I don't believe so.

4 Q. And this PDL applies to managed care plans; is
5 that correct?

6 A. And fee for service.

7 Q. Okay. So on here -- I'm going to have to do
8 Control+F. Pardon; one second.

9 It's very small. So tell me if you need to
10 make it any bigger.

11 Okay. On here you will see the drug
12 estradiol -- e-s-t-r-a-d-i-o-l -- listed. And there is
13 many versions here starting at it looks like this line
14 continuing all the way down until we hit norethindrone
15 AC. So the fact that estradiol is listed on the PDL, does
16 that mean Florida Medicaid will cover it if the
17 eligibility criteria are met? Excuse me. Scratch that.

18 Since estradiol is listed on this PDL, does it
19 mean that Florida Medicare will cover it?

20 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

22 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

23 Q. If any drug is listed on the PDL, does that
24 mean Florida Medicaid will cover it?

25 A. I don't know the interplay between the PDL and

1 the other rules and regulations covering pharmacy
2 services.

3 Q. Okay. Over in this column at the top of page,
4 it reads "Clinical PA required." And it also has a
5 column for a minimum and a maximum age. What does
6 clinical PA required mean?

7 A. Operationally, I don't know.

8 Q. Do you know it in any other version?

9 A. I understand the words. But I don't know in
10 the context of the program or the PA process what that
11 means.

12 Q. What does "PA" stand for?

13 A. Prior authorization.

14 Q. Okay. Is it possible that clinical PA -- so if
15 we scroll down to estradiol -- this version with a
16 minimum of an age of zero, maximum age of 999 -- and it
17 says "no" under the column of clinical PA required, do
18 you know what that means?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Who would know that?

21 A. Ashley Peterson and her team are lead on this.

22 Q. Do you know what it means to have a minimum age
23 column? Why that's significant or why it's on there?

24 A. Specific to this document, no.

25 Q. Same with maximum age?

1 A. No, I don't know the reason why it's on there.

2 Q. Since you've been at the agency -- January

3 2018?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. How many GAPMS processes have you been involved
6 in?

7 A. Two completed. And maybe one or two
8 discussions.

9 Q. How many pending?

10 A. I don't know.

11 Q. Do you know currently how many GAPMS are
12 pending?

13 A. Clarify "pending."

14 Q. Why don't you tell me what you meant by
15 completed.

16 A. Two that have been signed by agency leadership.

17 Q. Okay. And how many reports are in the stage of
18 being written and not yet signed?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. To be clear, though, as bureau chief you meet
21 weekly with Jesse Bottcher and his team who are
22 primarily responsible for GAPMS.

23 A. I meet weekly with Jesse Bottcher and my team.

24 Q. Okay.

25 A. I don't regularly meet with the individual

1 teams, but with the managers.

2 Q. When you meet with Jesse, do you discuss GAPMS?

3 A. Not routinely. We have before.

4 Q. What are the other responsibilities of Jesse's
5 team?

6 A. The three managers under Jesse each have units
7 that are responsible for the developments of the service
8 specific coverage policies. His team also oversees the
9 eligibility policy and the provider enrollment policy,
10 updates all the fee schedules -- so works closely with
11 fiscal agent operations to ensure updates are made to
12 the MMIS system and with Medicaid program financing the
13 development of fee schedules. And that's the bulk of
14 their responsibilities.

15 Q. So when you're meeting with Jesse weekly, what
16 are you discussing about his team?

17 A. It depends on what -- the highest priority
18 assignments are usually up first; things that are due
19 that week.

20 Q. Okay. So you do not routinely discuss GAPMS --
21 that was your testimony just a second ago?

22 A. Yes. I wouldn't say that it's a subject that
23 we discuss at every meeting or routinely at our
24 individual meetings, no.

25 Q. And you organize what you discuss based on what

1 has the highest priority?

2 A. Yes, typically.

3 Q. Okay. How familiar with you with the GAPMS
4 process?

5 A. In terms of all the research and everything
6 that goes into developing, I'm not as familiar. But I
7 am familiar with the routing process, the rule, the
8 authority for that process.

9 Q. Okay. So just generally, what does AHCA use
10 the GAPMS process for?

11 A. So if the agency receives a request for
12 coverage -- typically that's how the process would be
13 initiated. If the coverage was determined to not be
14 something that the agency could proceed with -- possibly
15 adding to the fee schedule or incorporating into a
16 service definition -- then the GAPMS process would be
17 used.

18 Q. Okay. How is the GAPMS process initiated?

19 A. I believe it's a rule how to.

20 Q. Would it be helpful if you had the rule in
21 front of you?

22 A. Yes.

23 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Let's mark that as
24 Exhibit 5. That's Rule 59G-1.035.

25 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was marked for

1 identification.)

2 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

3 Q. So how is GAPMS initiated?

4 A. A request is submitted to the health services
5 research inbox in the Medicaid Policy Bureau.

6 Q. Who can submit a request to that inbox?

7 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

8 THE WITNESS: I believe anyone can.

9 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

10 Q. Okay. Is that the only way that a request is
11 submitted for AHCA to undertake a GAPMS?

12 A. No.

13 Q. What are other ways?

14 A. So in the contracts with the plans, there's
15 also language on how a managed care plan can submit a
16 request to the agency for review -- not necessarily
17 through the health services inbox. I can't recall the
18 exact direction. But there's also the opportunity for
19 the clients to request a review.

20 Q. When that review is requested, is it -- is the
21 standard process used? Is the standard GAPMS process
22 used?

23 A. I'm not sure. I believe it may be expedited.
24 But I'm not sure to the specifics of the process.

25 Q. Who would be most familiar with that process?

1 A. Either Jesse Bottcher or Jeffrey English.

2 Q. Okay. So you mentioned managed care plans can
3 submit a request -- or anyone can submit a request
4 through the health services inbox. Are there any other
5 ways that a request can be submitted to the agency to
6 undertake a GAPMS?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And what are those ways?

9 A. I don't know all the ways. But I can't think
10 of us not approaching the process if we received a
11 request outside of getting it specifically through the
12 health services research inbox.

13 Q. How often --

14 A. Which is -- I'm hesitating because I couldn't
15 see us not -- like, refusing to complete the process if
16 it was received another way.

17 Q. How often does that happen?

18 A. So, like I said before, in my time as bureau
19 chief, there haven't very many finalized GAPMS. Or that
20 process has not been a part of my day-to-day work. So
21 I'm not sure.

22 Q. Okay. So you cannot recall another way that a
23 GAPMS request came to the agency, other than through a
24 managed care plan or the health services inbox?

25 A. So for the most recent GAPMS report, that was a

1 request from -- I believe it was the secretary. But I
2 don't know if it went through the inbox specifically or
3 not.

4 Q. Okay. So that's another way that the GAPMS
5 process can be requested -- is through the secretary?

6 A. That's the way that it has been.

7 Q. Okay. How many times?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. And when you say the most recent GAPMS report,
10 do you mean the GAPMS report related to gender
11 dysphoria?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. When that request came in through the
14 secretary, did the secretary identify why she was making
15 that request?

16 And, I'm sorry, do you mean Secretary
17 Marstiller?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. Did she identify why she was making that
20 request?

21 A. I can't recall the contents of the specific
22 request.

23 Q. Did the request come -- who did the request
24 from Marstiller go to?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. How did you find out about it?

2 A. I just can't remember if I was sent the letter
3 in an email. But it was then discussed by my manager.

4 Q. And that manager was? Is?

5 A. At the time was Jason Weida, who is the
6 assistant deputy secretary.

7 Q. And did you receive the letter from Secretary
8 Marstiller before that discussion occurred?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And how long between receiving the letter and
11 having -- how long past between receiving that letter
12 and having that conversation with Mr. Weida?

13 A. I don't remember.

14 Q. Was it, like, hours? A day? Several days?
15 Within the same week?

16 A. I don't remember.

17 Q. Okay. Was that discussion just between you and
18 Mr. Weida? Or were there other people?

19 A. I don't remember in the initial conversation if
20 there was anybody with me.

21 Q. Okay. Was it -- where did it take place?

22 A. I believe it was in Jason's office.

23 Q. Okay. Did Jason ask you to come to his office
24 to have the conversation? How were you notified of the
25 meeting?

1 A. I don't remember. We had standing meetings in
2 his office; he was my -- or I was his direct report. So
3 I don't remember if it was part of that when we were
4 talking about assignments and priorities or separate. I
5 can't remember.

6 Q. What was Mr. Weida's position at the time at
7 the agency?

8 A. He was the assistant deputy secretary for
9 Medicaid policy and quality.

10 Q. And then who is in that position prior to him?

11 A. I think Shevaun Harris.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. There was a gap in between. But I think she
14 was the last person.

15 Q. Okay. And who took that position after
16 Mr. Weida?

17 A. That position is currently vacant.

18 Q. Okay. And has Brian Meyer ever held that
19 position?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Okay. Prior to your meeting with Mr. Weida but
22 after you received the request from Secretary
23 Marstiller, did you communicate with anybody else about
24 the request?

25 A. Can you repeat the question.

1 Q. Between the time that you received the request
2 from Secretary Marstiller -- the letter -- and meeting
3 with Mr. Weida, did you have a conversation with anyone
4 else about the request?

5 A. I don't believe so.

6 Q. Okay. Were you surprised to see the request?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Why not?

9 A. Medicaid Policy -- I think we're unique in that
10 bureau because no one day is exactly the same. There's
11 always something new coming out from the federal
12 government, from legislative action, from leadership.
13 So I think that's kind of part of the job of being the
14 bureau chief of Medicaid policy.

15 Q. Okay. What was -- when you met with Mr. Weida,
16 did you develop a plan about how to honor the
17 Secretary's request?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And what was that plan?

20 A. The team that was going to work on it was the
21 Canadian Prescription Drug Importation Plan team;
22 following the regular GAPMS process in terms of research
23 and report and development.

24 Q. Did you identify who was going to be on that
25 team?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And who did you identify?

3 A. Matt Brackett, Nai Chen, and D.D. Pickle.

4 Q. As part of that plan -- and to be clear, the
5 secretary's request was specifically a request to
6 undertake a GAPMS investigation?

7 A. Yes; to review through that process.

8 Q. Okay. And the team identified was Brackett,
9 Chen -- and I forgot the --

10 A. Their manager, D.D. Pickle.

11 Q. D.D. Pickle. Thank you.

12 So you previously testified that the team
13 primarily responsible for GAPMS was led by Jesse
14 Bottcher. Why was Jesse Bottcher not part of the team
15 to undertake this GAPMS?

16 A. So there was several factors considered. Matt
17 Brackett has worked with the bureau a long time and
18 previously had the position responsible for -- primarily
19 responsible for the GAPMS. D.D. Pickle has also been
20 with the bureau and agency a very long time. So I would
21 say that the historical knowledge, the bandwidth --
22 having bandwidth to focus on completing the GAPMS --
23 were probably the two biggest factors.

24 Q. When you say bandwidth, what do you mean?

25 A. So that team -- their primary responsibility is

1 the Canadian Prescription Drug Importation Program,
2 which is not approved federally. So our ability to move
3 forward with the day-to-day operations and
4 implementation of that program is stalled. Due to that,
5 that team has been available to assist in other areas
6 within the bureau when needed.

7 Q. Was the team that's primarily responsible for
8 GAPMS -- were they overwhelmed with doing GAPMS at the
9 time?

10 A. I don't know.

11 Q. But you used the fact that Mr. Brackett and
12 D.D.'s team generally would have a lot of time to work
13 on GAPMS as a deciding factor to pick the team for this
14 report; is that right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. But you didn't first check whether the team
17 that's primarily responsible for GAPMS would have the
18 time to do the report?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Okay. How long has Mr. Chen been with the
21 agency?

22 A. I don't remember.

23 Q. Would you classify him -- as you did Ms. Pickle
24 and Mr. Brackett -- as being with the agency for a long
25 time?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So he did not have that historical knowledge
3 that Mr. Brackett and Ms. Pickle have with the agency?

4 A. No.

5 Q. And that was a deciding factor in picking the
6 team?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. When you met with Mr. Weida to pick this team,
9 did Mr. Weida suggest the names or did you?

10 A. I believe I did.

11 Q. Okay. Other than the length of time at the
12 agency and bandwidth, what criteria -- did Mr. Weida
13 give you any criteria in terms of picking the team?

14 A. I don't think so, no.

15 Q. Did you use any other factors other than the
16 length of time at the agency and bandwidth to select
17 this team?

18 A. I think it's still the same as historical
19 knowledge. But I have worked very closely with D.D.
20 and Matt in my various positions. I knew Matt had some
21 knowledge of previous similar requests, as well
22 extensive knowledge of the standard GAPMS process. And
23 it was a team of three that was available. So I think
24 that still kind of historical knowledge and bandwidth
25 were really the biggest factors.

1 Q. You said Mr. Brackett had experience with
2 previous similar requests. What were those previous
3 similar requests?

4 A. I believe there was a GAPMS request in the past
5 before my time with the agency that had to do with
6 hormone treatment.

7 Q. Would it be -- and it was hormone treatment.
8 When you say a similar request, was it for GAPMS?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Would it have been the cross-sex hormone
11 therapy GAPMS that is Exhibit 4?

12 A. No.

13 Q. How do you know?

14 A. The date on this. The one I was thinking of
15 was much earlier before my time.

16 Q. Before your time -- do you have any sense of
17 when that might be?

18 A. Maybe 2016 or 2017.

19 Q. Do you know who the Governor of Florida was in
20 2016 or 2017? I'm sorry. It's not a test, I promise.

21 Was it Rick Scott?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. And was the interim secretary at the
24 time at AHCA, was it Justin Senior?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And was Beth Kidder there at that time at AHCA?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And all of those people are listed on this
4 Exhibit 4 --

5 A. So my document has Beth Kidder crossed out and
6 looks to be a draft document from May 20th, 2022.

7 Q. Is there a name that replaced Beth Kidder on
8 that?

9 A. Ashley Peterson.

10 Q. Okay. Do you know when Ashley Peterson joined
11 AHCA?

12 A. I believe it was 2021.

13 Q. Okay. And is it --

14 MR. PERKO: Counsel, it's 1:30. Are we going
15 to stop for lunch?

16 MS. DEBRIERE: We can if you want to.

17 MR. PERKO: Do you want to? It's up to you.

18 THE WITNESS: At some point.

19 MS. DEBRIERE: That's fine. Can I just finish
20 up here real quick.

21 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

22 Q. So is it possible that this document was
23 created in 2017?

24 A. I'm looking at a document that has track
25 changes that appear to be since then. But I don't know.

1 Q. Why do those track changes appear to be since
2 then?

3 A. Since the date was updated to May 20th, 2022.

4 Q. Okay. There's some editing in the column.
5 It's very faint. Can you see it?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And the initials of editor appear to be GS.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Do you have any idea who that would be?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you know anybody here with the initials GS?

12 A. I'm sure somebody here has those initials, but
13 I don't know off the top of my head.

14 Q. So Mr. Brackett was involved with a GAPMS
15 related to cross-sex hormone therapy, but it wasn't
16 necessarily this one; is that right?

17 A. I don't know the level of his involvement, but
18 I know that he had some knowledge or knew about it.

19 Q. Okay. Did he do any other GAPMS related to the
20 treatment of gender dysphoria?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. Mr. Chen -- did he have any previous experience
23 with GAPMS?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. Ms. Pickle -- has she had any previous

1 experience with GAPMS?

2 A. I don't know.

3 Q. And you've explained why Mr. Brackett,
4 Ms. Pickle, and Mr. Chen were selected for the team.
5 Why was Mr. Bottcher not selected?

6 A. I can't recall all the details of the decision.
7 But Jesse Bottcher's team is one of the busiest in the
8 bureau, and has a lot of time sensitive work that they
9 are constantly working on. So I think that had
10 something to do with it, since he is the manager of an
11 entire section.

12 Q. I think you had previously testified there
13 weren't a lot of GAPMS pending at the time this request
14 come through; is that right?

15 A. I didn't know the bandwidth or the workload.

16 Q. Okay. You didn't know the bandwidth. So you
17 didn't know if, for example, Mr. English had the
18 bandwidth to handle the GAPMS report?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Do you want to take a break?

21 A. Yes.

22 (Brief recess.)

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Previously before break we were talking about
25 the selection of Mr. Brackett to be on the GAPMS report

1 team for gender dysphoria. And you mentioned that he
2 had drafted previous similar GAPMS in the past. And I
3 believe you used the example of cross-sex hormones.

4 Were there any other similar requests that he
5 drafted related to gender dysphoria in the past?

6 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

7 THE WITNESS: Just to clarify, I'm not sure if
8 he drafted it.

9 MS. DEBRIERE: I'm sorry; yes.

10 THE WITNESS: I know he had some historical
11 knowledge of previous GAPMS.

12 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay.

13 THE WITNESS: So can you repeat your question.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. Did he have hysterical knowledge of previous
16 GAPMS related to gender dysphoria?

17 A. Outside of the one that I referred to earlier?

18 Q. No, including that one.

19 A. Yes, I believe he had some historical knowledge
20 of previous GAPMS.

21 Q. Other than the one you referenced earlier, are
22 you aware of any other GAPMS that he was involved in
23 related to gender dysphoria?

24 A. I don't know the extent of all the GAPMS he was
25 involved in.

1 Q. Also earlier when you were discussing your
2 responsibilities under GAPMS, you mentioned routing.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Can you describe that a little bit.

5 A. As the bureau chief of Bureau of Medicaid
6 Policy, any official documents that leave the bureau are
7 usually reviewed by me. And so routing process is the
8 hierarchy of reviewers through wherever the final
9 reviewer or signatory or approver. That's what I was
10 referring to by routing process.

11 Q. Okay. Does every GAPMS report have a routing
12 process?

13 A. Yes.

14 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Can I have the 2016 GAPMS
15 routing form. And we'll mark it as Exhibit 6.

16 MS. DUNN: I can tell from this exhibit that
17 when we printed these the Bates numbering got cut
18 off. So I will look it up and read --

19 MS. DEBRIERE: That's a bummer.

20 MS. DUNN: I know.

21 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
22 identification.)

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Okay. So do you recognize this document?

25 A. Not this specific document. But this appears

1 to be a policy routing and tracking form.

2 Q. And is that form the same as the form you
3 currently use to track -- to route and track?

4 A. Sometimes.

5 Q. What other forms do you use?

6 A. Prior to the pandemic, we used this form
7 primarily. Since returning to the office there have
8 been different variations of routing and tracking forms
9 developed for different teams or documents -- types of
10 documents.

11 Q. Do you use the same routing and tracking form
12 for GAPMS?

13 A. So I've only approved two GAPMS in my time.
14 And I can't remember if this was the -- this format was
15 what was used to route it to me.

16 Q. Okay. But there was a form used to route it to
17 you when you approved -- when you approved your two
18 GAPMS?

19 A. I believe so.

20 Q. Okay. And on this GAPMS form, it says prepared
21 by Monique Johnson. What does it mean to be prepared
22 by? Was the form prepared by Ms. Johnson? Or was the
23 GAPMS report prepared by Ms. Johnson?

24 A. I don't know.

25 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Could I see the 2022

1 GAPMS. This will be Exhibit 7.

2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was marked for
3 identification.)

4 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

5 Q. So I'm handing you -- and Gary will want to
6 take a look at it too -- again, the first page of the
7 document is entitled "Medicaid Policy Routing and
8 Tracking Form." If you go through the entire document,
9 it should also include the June 20, 2022, GAPMS report
10 on treatment of gender dysphoria.

11 MR. PERKO: I believe it was June 2nd.

12 MS. DEBRIERE: June 2nd. Excuse me.

13 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

14 Q. So looking at the document -- the first page,
15 is this the Medicaid Policy Routing and Tracking Form
16 that was associated with the GAPMS report on the
17 treatment of gender dysphoria?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. How do you know?

20 A. These are my initials.

21 Q. Okay. So you've seen this before?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. I do want to point out "prepared by" here.
24 What does that mean?

25 A. That Matt Brackett prepared the routing

1 package.

2 Q. Okay. Did he also prepare the GAPMS report
3 itself?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you know if the person who prepares the
6 routing and tracking form -- if they are the person who
7 also prepares the GAPMS report?

8 A. Can you repeat the question.

9 Q. The person who prepares the Medicaid Policy
10 Routing and Tracking Form, do they also prepare the
11 GAPMS report itself?

12 A. I don't know how all the team members are
13 instructed to fill out the report or -- I'm sorry --
14 fill out the tracking form.

15 Q. Is there any other way to determine who has
16 prepared a GAPMS report?

17 A. I don't know. But speaking in general
18 assignments -- these forms are used for other
19 assignments. And there are a lot of assignments that
20 are done collaboratively. So, yeah. I don't know
21 specifically how else you would know just looking at
22 documentation.

23 Q. Would that information be contained on an AHCA
24 shared drive?

25 A. It's possible.

1 Q. Okay. Is there a reason the GAPMS report
2 doesn't identify an author on the report?

3 A. I don't know.

4 Q. Okay. A couple other things. On the section
5 line here, it says Canadian Prescription Drug
6 Importation Program. But we have established this was
7 the routing and tracking form for the GAPMS report
8 related to the treatment of gender dysphoria. Are those
9 two things related?

10 A. So the Canadian Prescription Drug Importation
11 Program is the section of who developed the report. And
12 it lets us know how the hierarchy of the routing should
13 go through the management levels within the bureau and
14 outside.

15 Q. So it was the Canadian Prescription Drug
16 Importation unit who prepared the GAPMS report on the
17 treatment for gender dysphoria?

18 A. So that's what I would interpret this
19 section -- why it's listed there next to this section.
20 It's the section responsible for routing and lets us
21 know the hierarchy of the management.

22 Q. Okay. And then just looking down at the
23 "Reviewed by and Routing Timelines," the start date is
24 June 1st, 2022, for everybody except Mr. Wallace; who
25 has a date of June 2nd, 2022. And the end date is June

1 1st, 2022, except for Mr. Wallace. Does that indicate
2 that you Mr. Weida and Ms. Pickle all reviewed the
3 report and signed off on it on the same day?

4 A. That the official routing and the signature
5 occurred on the same day, yes.

6 Q. What do you mean by official routing?

7 A. So the date that this form and the final
8 routing package was ready for signature.

9 Q. And what was contained in the final routing
10 package?

11 A. I believe it was just the report.

12 Q. Okay. So the final report -- what was being
13 tracked through this routing and tracking form?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Were there any attachments to the final report
16 that were also reviewed?

17 A. The expert witness reports were also reviewed.
18 But I can't remember if they were included in this
19 routing package at the same time.

20 Q. Who reviewed those final expert reports?

21 A. I don't remember.

22 Q. Did you review them?

23 A. I don't remember if I reviewed them all. But I
24 had seen them -- at least some of them. I can't
25 remember if I reviewed them all formally.

1 Q. Okay. Turning just back to the general GAPMS
2 process. Is the GAPMS process ever initiated to assess
3 existing coverage of Medicaid services?

4 A. Can you repeat the question.

5 Q. Is the GAPMS process ever used to assess
6 existing coverage of Medicaid services?

7 A. I don't know specifically.

8 Q. Okay. Who would know that?

9 A. Are you asking if it ever has or ever would?

10 Q. Ever would.

11 Would Ms. Pickle know that?

12 A. So my personal experience with the GAPMS
13 process is somewhat limited. But it is such a unique
14 process. I feel it's hard to answer that without each
15 situation or each request that we would get would be
16 unique, because that process is dealing with questions
17 that fall outside of something that's easily answered
18 policy question.

19 MS. DEBRIERE: Have we entered the GAPMS rule
20 into evidence yet? Can we do that now. And that's
21 to be 59G-1.0 -- I thought we had. Oh, it's 5.

22 Okay. Sorry. That's my fault.

23 MR. PERKO: That's fine.

24 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

25 Q. So a couple questions about the language of the

1 rule. First under (1)(b), "health services" is defined
2 as diagnostic tests, therapeutic procedures, or medical
3 devices or technologies.

4 Under what category would prescription drugs
5 fall in this definition?

6 A. I don't know.

7 Q. You are familiar with the GAPMS rule, though;
8 correct?

9 A. Yes. I've read the GAPMS rule.

10 Q. Would prescription drugs fall under any of
11 these categories?

12 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I wasn't part of
14 the original drafting of this rule text. So in
15 order to interpret the policy, I would need to do
16 research.

17 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

18 Q. Who would you ask?

19 A. I would probably start with Ashley Peterson.

20 Q. Okay. And going down to 3, the second
21 sentence -- "The public may request that a health
22 service be considered for coverage under the Florida
23 Medicaid program by submitting a request."

24 What does this sentence mean to you?

25 A. There's much room for interpretation. It says

1 the public may request a public health service be
2 considered for coverage.

3 Q. Does this sentence mean that the public may
4 request that Florida Medicaid consider whether to
5 exclude a service previously covered?

6 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

7 THE WITNESS: So I think it could. Not only do
8 we update the coverage policies to include new
9 services, but we do change the scope of a service as
10 part of that process. So if there was a question
11 that was not clear within the scope of the service,
12 I can see how that might apply.

13 Or the example that you used earlier with a
14 service that's only provided to under 21. If that
15 service was -- if we received a request to make that
16 service available for over 21. So I can think of
17 examples where it wouldn't have to be a new service.

18 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

19 Q. Does this rule cover a public's request to take
20 a service away?

21 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

22 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Okay. Who would know?

25 A. Public -- that would be a legal interpretation

1 or policy interpretation that would need consultation
2 with the agency for me to answer.

3 Q. As the bureau chief of Medicaid Policy, you're
4 responsible for developing coverage policies; correct?

5 A. I oversee the teams that develop coverage
6 policies, yes.

7 Q. And you are responsible for overseeing the
8 teams that develop administrative rules to implement
9 those coverage policies; correct?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. So you would be responsible for understanding
12 how rules that implement coverage policies should be
13 interpreted.

14 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

15 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

16 Q. Is it your responsibility to understand the
17 content of this rule?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. But you can't tell me how to interpret
20 that second sentence in Subpart 3?

21 A. So if we received a request and I wasn't clear
22 on the authority, there's several steps I would take to
23 confirm that the agency's position is we have
24 authority -- which would be to review any other
25 applicable laws or regulations; would be to consult with

1 my team and with agency management and perhaps with
2 legal if I was not sure whether a specific question or
3 scenario that was received. We may not have the
4 authority to take an action.

5 Q. So when reading the second sentence in Subpart
6 3 -- "The public may request a health service be
7 considered for coverage" -- in order to understand what
8 that sentence means, would you undertake any of the
9 steps you just described?

10 A. It would depend on the exact question. If I
11 wasn't clear with what the request was and how that
12 authority applied, then I would take further steps to
13 make sure that I understood how the rule applied to the
14 request.

15 Q. Did you do that for -- okay. Okay. Let me
16 make a note.

17 In the legal consultation part, it triggered me
18 to remember just a housekeeping question. At lunch did
19 you speak with your attorneys --

20 A. No.

21 Q. -- about the deposition?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Okay. Does the GAPMS process typically look at
24 an individual service when you're undertaking analysis?

25 A. I don't know.

1 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Can I have either the
2 Van Mol or Van Meter ATF. It doesn't matter. And
3 we'll mark that as Exhibit 8.

4 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 8 was marked for
5 identification.)

6 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

7 Q. So at the top of the page you have a -- did you
8 approve this document?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. So under "Reason for Occurrence," it
11 says, "On April 20th, 2022, the Bureau of Medicaid
12 Policy received a request for a time-sensitive analysis
13 of service coverage. While such requests are typically
14 for a single service or good --" Is that a correct
15 statement?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. But you wrote this?

18 A. No. I signed this.

19 Q. Okay. Were you the one making the request?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Who was making the request?

22 A. Devona Pickle.

23 Q. Okay. Before you sign something, do you have
24 to agree with the language contained therein?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So at the time you signed this, you agreed with
2 the statement that such requests are typically for a
3 single service or good?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. But now you don't know if GAPMS are
6 typically used for a single service or good?

7 A. My experience with GAPMS is limited. And I
8 trust the expertise of my staff. And one of the reasons
9 I asked or had recommended that this team be responsible
10 was because of their historic knowledge of the GAPMS
11 process.

12 Q. And when you say that, that includes D.D.
13 Pickle; correct? You trust her expertise on the GAPMS
14 process?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a standard operating
17 procedure used for the GAPMS process?

18 A. I've heard mention of it. But I don't believe
19 I've ever seen it.

20 Q. Who did you hear mention of it from?

21 A. I can't remember. Either Matt or Jesse.

22 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Can I have what we'll
23 mark as Exhibit 9, which is the GAPMS Decision Tree
24 Checklist.

25 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 9 was marked for

1 identification.)

2 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

3 Q. Do you recognize this document, Ms. Dalton?

4 A. I believe I've seen this before.

5 Q. Do you know what it's used for?

6 A. I believe this was developed to determine if a
7 request just goes through the coverage determination
8 process or should be handled as a GAPMS.

9 Q. Okay. And tell me the difference between a
10 coverage determination and something that needs to go
11 through the GAPMS.

12 A. I don't know everything that goes into how that
13 decision is concluded. But in general, a coverage
14 determination is when it's very clear that the agency
15 has the authority to add a service and that it meets all
16 of the agency's rules and -- for example, an optional
17 state plan service that the agency currently doesn't
18 cover but is clearly allowed through federal CMS would
19 be a coverage determination. Where the GAPMS process is
20 driven by the rule you referenced earlier that describes
21 when it's not clearly meeting all the requirements and
22 laid out in the current coverage policies.

23 Q. So much earlier in the deposition you gave an
24 example of a coverage determination of a medical supply
25 for -- was it Amino Foods?

1 A. Puro Meno.

2 Q. Puro Meno Foods. Why didn't you use the GAPMS
3 process for that? Did you use the GAPMS process for
4 that?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Why not?

7 A. Because the agency already covered similar
8 products.

9 Q. Okay. Was that the only factor in determining
10 whether to assess it using GAPMS?

11 A. I don't remember the conversations with the
12 team when I was briefed on the recommendation.

13 Q. Was a GAPMS Decision Tree Checklist done for
14 Puro Meno Foods?

15 A. I don't believe so. I never saw one, no.

16 Q. Okay. Who undertakes the process to fill out
17 the decision tree?

18 A. I don't know.

19 MS. DEBRIERE: I apologize. Can we take just a
20 two-minute break.

21 MR. PERKO: Sure.

22 (Brief recess.)

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Do you know how to interpret the answers on a
25 decision tree checklist?

1 A. No, I don't believe I've ever seen one filled
2 out.

3 Q. Okay. There's a space here that says "GAPMS
4 Topic." What would go in that space? Do you know?

5 A. I don't know.

6 Q. Would a decision tree checklist be generated
7 for every GAPMS request that comes in?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. Who would know that?

10 A. I don't know. I don't know if this is still
11 the internal process. I don't know.

12 Q. Who would know whether it was still the
13 internal process?

14 A. Jesse Bottcher.

15 Q. Okay. Would the members of Jesse Bottcher's
16 team also know?

17 A. No, I don't think anyone currently on his team
18 would know.

19 Q. How about anybody previously on his team -- I'm
20 sorry; back up.

21 So no one on Jesse Bottcher's team is in charge
22 of the GAPMS process?

23 A. The GAPMS position is currently vacant.

24 Q. Would anybody who was in charge of the GAPMS
25 process at some point know whether the decision tree

1 checklist is used in the GAPMS process?

2 A. I don't know.

3 Q. And there's only one position that would know
4 that, and that is currently vacant; correct?

5 A. I believe so, yes.

6 Q. And what is that position called?

7 A. I believe it's a Government Analyst II.

8 Q. And so there's just that one position in charge
9 of knowing the GAPMS process?

10 A. As far as I know, yes.

11 Q. Okay. We touched on this a bit earlier. Does
12 AHCA use the GAPMS process for prescription drugs?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. When you were giving an example of similar
15 requests that Mr. Brackett handled for GAPMS, the
16 example you gave was cross hormone therapy; correct?

17 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: I believe that was the example I
19 gave.

20 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

21 Q. And what is cross-sex hormone? What is a
22 hormone?

23 A. I don't think I can recite the clinical
24 definition.

25 Q. Is the hormone a prescribed drug?

1 A. I believe so.

2 Q. So then you're aware of one instance in which
3 GAPMS was used for determining -- for assessing a
4 prescription drug?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. But you don't know generally if GAPMS is used
7 to assess prescription drugs?

8 A. My knowledge of GAPMS is limited. So to speak
9 in generalities -- but I do see where in 2016 there was
10 the GAPMS on hormone suppression.

11 Q. Okay. Is GAPMS the only method AHCA relies on
12 to determine whether a Medicaid service is experimental?

13 A. I don't know. I know we have a clinical trials
14 coverage policy. So there may be circumstances where
15 it's clear that coverage would be -- that coverage
16 policy or the clinical trials rule would apply. And I
17 don't know all the details of how the QIO vendors --
18 what that process, all that entails.

19 Q. Whether the QIO vendors would determine whether
20 something is experimental?

21 A. Or if it was clear the clinical trial policy
22 would apply instead. So I don't know to the extent of
23 if there could possibly be.

24 Q. What is the clinical trials policy?

25 A. It's a rule that outlines the agency's coverage

1 for recipients participating in a clinical trial.

2 Q. And what does that type of authorization
3 entail?

4 A. I don't know the specifics.

5 Q. Is GAPMS the only method that AHCA relies on to
6 determine whether a Medicaid service is experimental and
7 therefore should be excluded?

8 A. Can you repeat the question.

9 Q. Is GAPMS the only method that AHCA relies on to
10 determine whether a Medicaid service is experimental and
11 therefore should not be covered?

12 A. I don't know the specifics. But if, for
13 example, a pharmaceutical is not FDA approved, there
14 would be perhaps, like, a different process where it
15 wouldn't have to go through the process.

16 Q. What is the significance of a drug being FDA
17 approved for the purposes of coverage?

18 A. I don't know the details.

19 Q. What do you know about it?

20 A. I believe there's federal requirements on if a
21 drug is not FDA approved -- there is certain coverage
22 requirements.

23 Q. Do you know if that relates to the compendia we
24 were earlier talking about?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Okay. If AHCA is determining whether a
2 production drug is experimental, does AHCA consider
3 whether the drug is FDA approved?

4 A. I believe so.

5 Q. If a particular use for a drug has been FDA
6 approved, can AHCA deem the drug experimental for that
7 use?

8 A. Can you repeat the question.

9 Q. If a particular use for a drug has been FDA
10 approved, can AHCA deem that drug experimental for that
11 use?

12 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

13 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. But FDA approval bears on a determination as to
16 whether AHCA will cover a drug; is that correct?

17 A. Yes, I think it's considered.

18 Q. If it's not -- if a drug is not FDA approved,
19 are there circumstances under which AHCA will still
20 cover the drug?

21 A. I don't know. But I think there is federal
22 regulations around what's allowable.

23 Q. In the Federal Medicaid Act?

24 A. I believe.

25 Q. You mentioned just a second ago, a clinical

1 trials coverage policy. Where does that policy live?

2 A. In Rule Class 59G on our website.

3 Q. If it's not there where would we find it?

4 A. In the Florida Administrative Code.

5 Q. It should be in Chapter 59G?

6 A. But it should be on our website.

7 Q. Okay. And it is adopted as a rule?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Once AHCA reaches a decision through the
10 GAPMS process, describe the implementation of that
11 decision.

12 A. So, again, in my experience -- I've only been
13 bureau chief for two finalized decisions that were
14 different. And I can't remember all the steps to
15 implementation. But once a determination of any
16 coverage is made, then there's a process of how to
17 notify the public. There's a process for notifying the
18 plans of changes if it affects the plans. There's a
19 process of making sure that the -- any other associated
20 rules that may be impacted are updated.

21 Q. Anything else?

22 A. If a training is needed, it depends on what it
23 is. But there could be other.

24 Q. Who would you train?

25 A. So, again, just speaking generally -- the

1 managed care plans; the public; if it's fee for service,
2 the providers; especially if it has to do with submitted
3 claims.

4 Q. What are the two final reports that you have
5 overseen as bureau chief?

6 A. So it was the GAPMS that we're discussing
7 today.

8 Q. And, again, that's the one that relates to
9 treatment of gender dysphoria?

10 A. Yes. And then the -- I can't remember the
11 exact name of the other GAPMS. But it was through a
12 managed care plan request.

13 Q. Was it an expedited GAPMS?

14 A. I don't believe so.

15 Q. Do you remember what the service was at issue?

16 A. I do not.

17 Q. Okay. And the process for an expedited GAPMS,
18 that's different from the traditional GAPMS process?

19 A. I'm not sure of the differences outside of the
20 timeframe.

21 Q. Is it different as to how you would inform the
22 public about it?

23 A. I don't know. I can't recall what steps we
24 took after notifying the plans of the final decision.

25 Q. Okay. Through the traditional GAPMS process --

1 do you have any GAPMS right now that are in the final
2 stages?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you don't know how many requests are
5 currently pending?

6 A. I don't know.

7 Q. So the last GAPMS that was finalized was in
8 June of 2022?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. And now we're in February of 2023. And
11 there's no GAPMS that are ready for finalization at this
12 point?

13 A. I don't know what stages of development they
14 are.

15 Q. Okay. Is there anything on your desk to
16 review?

17 A. I don't know. I don't remember if I have
18 anything pending.

19 Q. Okay. When you were meeting with Mr. Weida
20 about the June 2022 GAPMS report related to the
21 treatment for gender dysphoria, that report had not been
22 drafted; correct?

23 A. Sorry. Can you repeat that.

24 Q. Yeah. Absolutely. So earlier you spoke to
25 meeting with Mr. Weida once you received the request

1 from the secretary to undertake the GAPMS for treatment
2 of gender dysphoria; do you remember?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. During that meeting had the GAPMS report been
5 drafted yet? I know it seems like a silly question.
6 But I'm asking at face value.

7 At the time you met with Mr. Weida, had the
8 GAPMS report been drafted yet?

9 A. The GAPMS report I was discussing with him?
10 No.

11 Q. Okay. But you have a good memory of that
12 report before it was even drafted; is that right? You
13 were able to recount details to me about discussing that
14 report about before it had been drafted; is that right?

15 A. Throughout the process there had been
16 discussions. But I don't know if I remember all the
17 details.

18 Q. What I'm wondering is just why that report
19 sticks out in your mind, but now you can't recount any
20 other GAPMS reports that are pending. Is there a reason
21 for that?

22 A. I have a lot of documents in my queue at any
23 one time. And it's really on the onus of the analyst --
24 part of their job responsibilities -- to make sure
25 assignments are completed and finalized and routed and

1 closed. So because there was discussion and updates on
2 the status and progress of the report -- and it was not
3 that long ago -- I remember having conversations about
4 the report.

5 Q. There are GAPMS reports pending right now,
6 though; right?

7 A. I don't know. I don't know what the GAPMS
8 queue is right now.

9 Q. Okay. So you don't know if there's anything in
10 the queue right now?

11 A. Correct.

12 Q. But you do remember details about the GAPMS
13 report related to treatment of gender dysphoria?

14 A. Details on the process?

15 Q. Yeah.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. When I say "rulemaking process," do you
18 understand what I'm referring to?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And do your current responsibilities at AHCA
21 include the rulemaking process?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Can you describe those responsibilities.

24 A. I review drafts of the coverage policy and the
25 documents that go along with the rule promulgation

1 process. I sometimes participate in the public meetings
2 and review provider alerts or other notices associated
3 with the process.

4 Q. Anything else?

5 A. Not that I can think of.

6 Q. Okay. Do you ever review public comment
7 associated with the rule?

8 A. It depends.

9 Q. So you have before?

10 A. More in my old role as the AHCA administrator.

11 Q. Okay. Can you remind me the dates you were in
12 that role.

13 A. August 2018 to August 2021.

14 Q. And in your previous roles at AHCA as well as
15 DOEA, you had rulemaking responsibilities; is that
16 right?

17 A. DOEA was more of the drafting of the policy and
18 not the promulgation process.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. And then AHCA has been more on the promulgation
21 process -- administrative process.

22 Q. So you'd say you had experience with Florida
23 agency rulemaking?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. When I say "rule workshop," do you understand

1 what I'm referring to?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. When I say "rule hearing," do you understand
4 what I'm referring to?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. What is the difference?

7 A. Chapter 120 has different public meetings
8 outlined in different stages of the process. The
9 workshop as we use it here is primarily for the rule
10 development stage of the administrative process. And
11 the hearing occurs at the proposed rule stage.

12 Q. Okay. When you say the development of the
13 rule, does that mean generally the rule language itself
14 has not yet been drafted or proposed?

15 A. It depends.

16 Q. Okay. So is there a difference between
17 workshop and hearing?

18 A. They're both public meetings meant to garner
19 input from the public and make the public aware of the
20 changes. But per Chapter 120, there are differences
21 because of the different stages of the process.

22 Q. Okay. Why was there no public workshop held
23 for the rule development of the change to Rule 1.050
24 excluding the treatment for gender dysphoria?

25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Were you here were when that happened?

2 You were?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. While here, have you had public comment
5 on rule workshops for other rules?

6 A. Can you repeat the question.

7 Q. Since you've been here at AHCA, have you -- let
8 me ask this question: When the rule was developed to
9 exclude treatment of gender dysphoria per 1.050, were
10 the you bureau chief for Medicaid Policy?

11 A. When the rule was promulgated?

12 Q. Well, when you were having the -- when you
13 noticed the proposed rule and had the rule hearing.

14 A. For this specific rule?

15 Q. Yes.

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Okay. In your role as bureau chief, have you
18 ever -- in your role as bureau chief, have you been
19 involved in rule workshops for other rules?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So why weren't you involved in the rule
22 workshop for the exclusion of treatment for gender
23 dysphoria; do you know?

24 A. I can't remember. I believe I was out of town.

25 Q. Okay. If you weren't out of town, would you

1 have been involved in it?

2 A. I don't remember the discussion around that.
3 But I'm not always involved in the workshops or rules.

4 Q. How is that determined?

5 A. It depends on the circumstances and the content
6 of the rule. But I can't remember the specific
7 conversation when that was determined.

8 Q. Was there a public workshop for the exclusion
9 of the treatment for gender dysphoria? There was only a
10 public hearing; correct?

11 A. I know there was only one public meeting. I
12 can't remember.

13 Q. Generally what's the process for planning a
14 rule hearing?

15 A. We determine a date, a location, and who will
16 be in attendance. And the date and location is included
17 in the notice.

18 Q. And when you say who will be in attendance, who
19 does that mean?

20 A. Who the subject matter experts or other agency
21 staff will conduct the public meeting.

22 Q. Okay. And what do you mean by subject matter
23 expert?

24 A. So I think I described it a little before how
25 for most of the coverage areas there is a specific

1 analyst responsible for the development of that policy.
2 So, for example, if there was a change to respiratory
3 services, whoever that suggest matter expert or analyst
4 is would typically be present at the workshop since they
5 have the in-depth knowledge on the changes being
6 proposed.

7 Q. Is that person always a person employed by the
8 agency?

9 A. The subject matter expert for all our coverage
10 policies are individuals employed with the agency.

11 Q. Okay. Are there any written protocols
12 regarding the planning of a rule hearing?

13 A. I know we've developed process maps and
14 procedures. But I don't know the details of planning a
15 hearing specifically and how detailed those documents
16 are on that process.

17 Q. What's a process map? What does that entail or
18 detail?

19 A. There's a graphic that was created before my
20 time that -- it's a real nice layout of the
21 administrative rulemaking process.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. And so it has -- it's a graphic, and it's one
24 page. So it's easy to put on your wall.

25 Q. And your responsibilities include sometimes

1 attending rule hearings?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Since you've been the bureau chief, how many
4 rule hearings have you attended?

5 A. I don't think I've attended any hearings.

6 Q. As a State agency employee -- either at DOEA or
7 AHCA -- how many rule hearings have you attended?

8 A. So at DOEA I attended several AHCA rule
9 hearings in the audience. In my previous position with
10 the agency, I think it was only a handful.

11 Q. Does that mean five?

12 A. Yes; I'd say five or less.

13 Q. Okay. Who else from AHCA attends rule
14 hearings? Let me ask this: Are there AHCA staff who
15 attend rule hearings as part of their job description --
16 they have to be at every rule hearing?

17 A. I don't know if that's actually in the job
18 descriptions. But Cole and his team -- since they set
19 up the workshop or hearing or the public meeting --
20 their responsibilities include making sure they have the
21 speaker list, making sure that everybody is escorted
22 into the building, that the speakers can be heard. So
23 they're in attendance for all of the public meetings.

24 Q. Okay. And do you know if they have any
25 protocol off which they operate -- written protocol for

1 conducting the hearing?

2 A. I believe there's an internal process and
3 process map. But I don't know the details off the top
4 of my head what's included in that document.

5 Q. Is it the rules unit that is in possession of
6 that document?

7 A. I would think so, yes.

8 Q. Okay. In your experience, aside from the
9 agency who attends the hearing?

10 A. From the public?

11 Q. I mean, I think that would be the only other
12 option; right?

13 What types of people from the public?

14 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

15 THE WITNESS: That would really depend on what
16 the change is and who is impacted.

17 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

18 Q. In your experience attending public hearings --
19 rule hearings -- are there typically more than 25 people
20 from the public that show up at the rule hearing?

21 A. I would say yes. Especially since the hearings
22 are now -- have a virtual option. The majority of them
23 are virtual and in person.

24 Q. Are there typically more than 25 people who
25 show up in person?

1 A. So I haven't participated in all of them. In
2 the last few that I participated in, there was not 25.

3 Q. In the last one you participated in how many
4 were there?

5 A. Less than ten.

6 Q. Does AHCA ever invite specific persons from the
7 public to attend the rule hearings?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And how do they do that invite?

10 A. A provider alert is sent out to the providers.
11 Usually that goes along with the FAR notice that was
12 posted and the public was noticed. If it's a sister
13 agency, it might be by email. So if we believe a rule
14 might impact a sister agency, we might reach out
15 specifically.

16 Q. So other than posting the public notice and the
17 FAR provider alerts and emails to potentially impacted
18 sister agencies, is there any other way the agency
19 invites specific people to attend the hearing?

20 A. I believe we sent calendar invites before.

21 Q. To what people? How did you decide on sending
22 calendar invites?

23 A. The specific example I'm thinking of is a
24 sister agency for the iBudget handbook. We invited ADP
25 to participate and sent them a meeting invite so they

1 can block that time.

2 Q. Okay. Have you ever invited Medicaid
3 recipients other than through the public notice to
4 attend a rule hearing?

5 A. I don't know, outside of the public notice
6 process.

7 Q. In your experience?

8 A. I personally have not.

9 Q. Okay. Do any State agencies in hosting a rule
10 hearing, do they arrange for transportation for
11 individuals from the public to attend that hearing?

12 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

13 THE WITNESS: I can't speak for any other
14 agency. I don't know.

15 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

16 Q. What about at DOEA? Did that ever happen?

17 A. I don't believe I ever participated in an
18 actual public meeting hosted by DOEA.

19 Q. That's right. You said that.

20 What about AHCA? Are you aware of AHCA ever
21 arranging transportation for individuals from the public
22 to attend a hearing?

23 A. Not that I'm aware of.

24 Q. Are you aware of anyone from the public being
25 paid to attend a hearing?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Are you aware of anyone who is a subject matter
3 expert being paid to attend a hearing?

4 A. I know we've reimbursed the subject matter
5 experts. But I'm not sure if that was specifically --
6 attending the hearing was specifically included.

7 Q. And these are subject matter experts that are
8 employed with the agency?

9 A. I don't know how that process works. But
10 they're not full-time employees with the agency. I
11 believe it's like consultants.

12 Q. Okay. What's the average length of a hearing?

13 A. I don't know the average. I know our public
14 meetings typically range between 30 minutes and two
15 hours.

16 Q. Okay. On average how many comments do agencies
17 receive for a rule hearing? Is there an average?

18 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

20 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

21 Q. Do you think 100 comments is a lot of public
22 comments to receive at a hearing?

23 MR. PERKO: Same objection.

24 THE WITNESS: I really don't know.

25 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

1 Q. In your experience, does a State agency ask
2 outside legal counsel to attend and perhaps in rule
3 hearings?

4 A. Can you repeat the question.

5 Q. In your experience, does a State agency
6 normally ask that outside legal counsel attend a rule
7 hearing?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. When you planned this last rule hearing, did
10 you ask outside legal counsel to attend?

11 A. Can you specify which hearing.

12 Q. Yeah. There was a hearing a couple of weeks
13 ago on the change to the medical necessity definition.

14 A. Yes. The workshop.

15 Q. Workshop. Did you ask outside legal counsel to
16 attend that workshop?

17 A. I personally did not.

18 Q. Did outside legal counsel attend that workshop?

19 A. I don't believe so.

20 Q. And have you ever attended a rule hearing where
21 outside legal counsel was asked to participate in?

22 A. I can't recall if that circumstance has ever
23 happened.

24 Q. So it's not usually -- it's not the standard
25 course of things for outside legal counsel to attend?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. All right. Turning to the exclusion for
3 treatment of gender dysphoria under Rule 59G-1.050.
4 Prior to the adoption of this exclusion, did any
5 coverage policies regarding any of the services listed
6 there -- sorry. Strike that.

7 Prior to the adoption of the exclusions set
8 forth -- I'm not sure you're looking at the right rule.
9 59G-1.050. Exhibit 2. It would help me to tell you the
10 exhibit number. And then it's Subpart 7.

11 So prior to the adoption of that rule -- that
12 Subpart 7 -- did any coverage policies exist regarding
13 the services that are now subject to that exclusion?

14 A. Can you repeat that question.

15 MS. DEBRIERE: Court Reporter, can you read
16 back that last question.

17 (The preceding question was read back by the
18 reporter.)

19 THE WITNESS: There was not a specific coverage
20 policy for services for the treatment of gender
21 dysphoria.

22 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

23 Q. Does that mean those services were never
24 covered to treat gender dysphoria by Florida Medicaid?

25 A. I don't believe there was any policy language

1 that specifically outlined coverage of the services
2 listed in this section.

3 Q. If there was no specific policy language, does
4 that then mean those services were not covered to treat
5 gender dysphoria by Florida Medicaid?

6 A. I don't know the extent to what providers were
7 reimbursed for providing the services.

8 Q. So even if there wasn't a coverage policy
9 specifically related to these services, it's possible
10 that Florida Medicaid was covering the services for the
11 treatment of gender dysphoria?

12 A. It's possible Florida Medicaid reimbursed for
13 these.

14 Q. Are there circumstances in which AHCA might not
15 have an explicit or affirmative coverage policy, but
16 would consider a request for a service on a case-by-case
17 basis?

18 A. Can you repeat the question.

19 Q. Are there circumstance in which AHCA might not
20 have an explicit coverage policy regarding those
21 services -- or any service -- but would consider a
22 request for a service on a case-by-case basis?

23 A. I don't know specifically if it's case-by-case
24 basis. But I believe that the plans -- that some of the
25 request from the managed care plans may be specific to a

1 request for a specific coverage. So when plans request
2 for a GAPMS to be provided, it could be being driven by
3 a specific case.

4 Q. Okay. So even though a coverage policy does
5 not exist regarding the coverage of a specific service,
6 there are circumstances in which AHCA might still cover
7 that service?

8 A. Yes.

9 And I apologize. On your last question I think
10 I heard you specific about GAPMS, which is what I
11 answered. So I apologize.

12 Q. That's okay. No, that's fine. You're
13 referring to not the last question, but the question
14 before that; is that right?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay. But your response on that last question,
17 you understood the question?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Okay. Will Florida Medicaid cover an EPSDT
20 service if that service is experimental?

21 A. So in order for an EPSDT service to be covered,
22 it has to meet the definition of medical necessity.

23 Q. And that medical necessity definition includes
24 the requirement that the service not be experimental?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. So you received a request from Secretary
2 Marstiller via email to engage in a GAPMS regarding
3 treatment for gender dysphoria; correct?

4 A. I can't remember if it was email.

5 Q. Right. But you received the request somehow?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And roughly when was that; do you remember?

8 A. I don't remember.

9 Q. And then the next step was speaking with
10 Mr. Weida about the letter?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And developing the plan as to who was going
13 to --

14 A. Yes. Developing how the process would work.

15 Q. Were all the decisions reached in that one
16 meeting with Mr. Weida?

17 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: No.

19 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

20 Q. Okay. So after that meeting with Mr. Weida,
21 what happened next?

22 A. I can't remember the exact timeline of events.
23 I know we met at some point with the Canadian
24 Prescription Drug Importation team.

25 Q. And they were the ones who were put in charge

1 of doing this GAPMS?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Okay.

4 A. And there was several conversations following
5 that.

6 Q. Were those conversations limited to yourself,
7 Mr. Brackett, Mr. Chen, and Ms. Pickle? Or were there
8 other people involved?

9 A. I can't remember the chronology. I know after
10 the report and then into the rulemaking Cole Giering was
11 brought into the conversation. Legal counsel -- there
12 was conversations with the experts.

13 Q. Who were the experts?

14 A. I can't remember all their names. I don't know
15 if we have that list here.

16 Q. Did you ever personally speak with any of the
17 experts?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Are all the experts listed here on what would
20 be will be your Exhibit 7 on page 45?

21 A. I believe so, yes.

22 Q. Was a Dr. Von Mol ever involved as an expert?

23 A. I believe so.

24 MS. DEBRIERE: And let me just mark this as
25 Exhibit 10.

1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10 was marked for
2 identification.)

3 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

4 Q. And this is a document -- an After the Fact
5 Request Form Under 35K. This form is indicating what?

6 A. Consultant services for vendor name Andre
7 Van Mol.

8 Q. And what kind of consulting services did
9 Dr. Van Mol provide?

10 A. I don't know all the details of that -- what
11 the contractor provided. But it was as part of the
12 GAPMS process.

13 Q. Okay. Why was it time sensitive? It indicates
14 on that form it was time sensitive. Why?

15 A. I don't know why the request was time
16 sensitive.

17 Q. Who would know that?

18 A. I don't know.

19 Q. Okay. At any time throughout the process did
20 you feel like there was an urgency to the development of
21 the report and rule?

22 A. Yes. The time sensitive nature was
23 communicated.

24 Q. By?

25 A. I don't know remember if it was in the original

1 request or if it was later in conversations with
2 leadership. I can't remember exactly who. But I think
3 the expectation to follow the process but work as
4 quickly as possible was apparent.

5 Q. Okay. But you cannot provide me an explanation
6 as to why it was identified as time sensitive?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. I believe we already marked ATF to
9 Dr. Van Meter as Exhibit 8.

10 Dr. Van Mol -- do you know if he attended the
11 rule hearing for the exclusion of treatment for gender
12 dysphoria?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. Okay. What does this document, Exhibit 8,
15 indicate to you?

16 A. An approval for consultant services for vendor
17 named Quintan Van Meter.

18 Q. Okay. And what kind of services did he provide
19 in exchange for that reimbursement?

20 A. Consultant services.

21 Q. Consulting on what?

22 A. As part of the GAPMS process.

23 Q. Do you know what specific stages he provided
24 consultation on?

25 A. I don't.

1 Q. Do you know whose idea it was to use him?

2 A. I don't.

3 Q. Do you know whose idea it was to retain any of
4 the outside experts?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Was it internal to AHCA, that decision? Did
7 someone at AHCA decide to retain outside experts?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. Who would have made that decision?

10 MR. PERKO: Asked and answered.

11 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

12 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

13 Q. Are you aware of AHCA retaining outside experts
14 for any other GAPMS report?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. Other than Dr. Van Meter and Dr. Van Moll --
17 I'm sorry.

18 Was there a Dr. Grossman involved in the
19 process?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And what was Dr. Grossman's role?

22 A. I believe it was the same -- consultant
23 services.

24 Q. For the development of the report?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Do you know if they were reimbursed to
2 participate in the hearing?

3 A. I don't know.

4 Q. Okay. Were any of the -- other than
5 Dr. Van Mol and Dr. Van Meter -- was
6 Dr. Brignardello-Petersen reimbursed by AHCA for
7 consultant services related to the development of the
8 exclusion of treatment for gender dysphoria?

9 A. I don't know off the top of my head.

10 Q. What about Dr. James Cantor?

11 A. I don't know off the top of my head without
12 consulting if there was an invoice.

13 Q. Is that true for all the experts?

14 A. I can't remember how exactly the contracts --
15 the contracted services were reimbursed.

16 Q. Were they reimbursed?

17 A. They were.

18 Q. Looking at Van Meter's form -- why did you sign
19 that form for a \$34,000 reimbursement if you didn't know
20 what Van Meter was doing?

21 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object to form.

22 THE WITNESS: So I know that Van Meter was
23 consulting as part of the project. I just don't
24 know throughout the process all the specific details
25 of that consultation.

1 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

2 Q. Would you assume each expert listed was
3 similarly compensated for the amount that Dr. Van Meter
4 and Van Mol were compensated?

5 A. I'm not going to assume. Just looking at the
6 two invoices, they are very different.

7 Q. In what ways?

8 A. This one has a not to exceed amount. And then
9 this one has as dollar amount.

10 Q. Okay. Is that the only way they're different?

11 A. No.

12 Q. How else are they different?

13 A. The one for Quinton Van Meter has specific
14 information regarding his MFMP registration.

15 Q. What is MFMP?

16 A. My Florida Market Place.

17 Q. Okay. Any other ways that they're different?

18 A. Some of the other language is different. The
19 dates are different. But aside from that, no.

20 Q. How often do you approve an After the Fact
21 Request Form for reimbursement of outside expertise?

22 A. Not often.

23 Q. How many times have you done it for expertise
24 not related to the treatment of gender dysphoria?

25 A. I can't recall if I actually approved the

1 invoice; but I believe there was a consultant for the
2 Canadian Prescription Drug Importation Program at one
3 point. And I just can't remember the time.

4 Q. Is that the only time you can remember?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Okay. So when you were approving these forms
7 that don't come across your desk often, do they strike
8 you as something that needed careful review?

9 A. The invoice itself?

10 Q. The reason for reimbursement.

11 A. Yes. But the invoice itself seems pretty
12 straightforward that a reimbursement based on services
13 provided -- that had already been provided would be
14 signed.

15 Q. Did you do a careful review of the reason for
16 reimbursement?

17 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: I guess I'm not sure what you
19 mean by careful review. I personally was not
20 involved in all of the consultation services
21 provided. But I did meet with the team and knew
22 that services were provided.

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Prior to you receiving this request for
25 reimbursement, did you know these experts were being

1 relied on for consultation?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Did you have to approve that request?

4 A. I don't know if there was a request initiating
5 the services. I don't remember.

6 Q. Was there a need to approve the decision to
7 rely on outside experts?

8 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

9 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

10 Q. Was there a requirement that consulting with
11 outside experts be approved prior to the consultation?

12 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

13 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that question.

14 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

15 Q. Was there -- who consulted with the outside
16 experts?

17 A. Again, I don't know the extent of what the
18 consultation services were or who all was part of that.

19 Q. In order for them to -- in order for the team
20 to develop the GAPMS report -- who wrote it -- in order
21 for them to consult with outside experts, did it require
22 your approval?

23 A. I don't recall ever approving them.

24 Q. And the team relying on outside experts to
25 write the GAPMS report on gender dysphoria, did it

1 require the approval of D.D. Pickle?

2 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

3 THE WITNESS: I can't recall how the formal
4 process was initiated.

5 And I do want to say relying on experts --
6 there was a lot of additional research done as well
7 as part of the GAPMS process. So I wanted to
8 clarify that.

9 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

10 Q. But part of writing the report was consulting
11 with these outside experts; correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And you don't know who made the decision to
14 consult with those experts; is that right?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Whoever made the decision -- we don't know who
17 that is. But whoever made the decision, did they
18 require approval before they could implement that
19 decision?

20 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

21 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

22 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

23 Q. Okay. As the bureau chief who oversees the
24 team who wrote this GAPMS report, did you have an
25 expectation that they would come to you for approval to

1 consult with outside experts that would then be paid?

2 A. Can you repeat that.

3 Q. As the bureau chief, the person who oversees
4 the team that wrote the GAPMS report on treatment for
5 gender dysphoria, did you have an expectation that they
6 first ask you permission before they consulted with
7 outside experts who charged for their services?

8 A. No.

9 Q. Why didn't you have that expectation?

10 A. I can't really answer that, as I was not part
11 of the decision to consult with the experts.

12 Q. Who was part of the decision?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. But you know you were not part of it. Okay.

15 At the bottom of the After the Request Form, it
16 states -- for Dr. Van Mol, which is Exhibit 10 -- it
17 states supervisor approval is required. What does that
18 mean?

19 A. In the routing hierarchy for approval.

20 Q. Approval of what?

21 A. For invoices for My Florida Marketplace. I'm
22 the direct supervisor of D.D. Pickle.

23 Q. So your approval is required for D.D. Pickle to
24 pay this bill?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. But your approval was not required for
2 D.D. Pickle to incur this bill?

3 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

4 THE WITNESS: I don't remember if there was a
5 formal approval to initiate the services.

6 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

7 Q. Did you have to have approval to authorize this
8 payment to Dr. Van Mol?

9 A. I can't remember. I don't know where this goes
10 next in the routing.

11 Q. Okay. Did you ask permission to approve this
12 from anyone?

13 A. I can't remember a specific conversation. But
14 I knew it was approved by the agency to consult with --
15 to have the consultant services.

16 Q. Okay. Related to that, the last sentence is --
17 how did you know that?

18 A. How did I know what? Can you repeat that.

19 Q. I think you had responded that you knew the
20 agency had approved it. And so my question was: How
21 did you know that?

22 A. I don't remember the specific conversation.
23 But I do know that it was approved by leadership.

24 Q. And how do you know that?

25 A. There must have been a conversation. I just

1 can't remember an exact -- if there was an exact
2 conversation or a document I signed. I can't remember.

3 Q. Okay. Do you remember who you had the
4 conversation with or had the document signed by?

5 A. I don't remember.

6 Q. The last sentence under that first paragraph,
7 it says, "Verification of the availability of funding
8 and approval from executive leadership was obtained
9 prior to any work being conducted for this project."

10 Who was that executive leadership?

11 A. The majority of my discussions were with my
12 direct supervisor. But Tom Wallace ultimately signed
13 the report. And I don't know outside of that who all
14 was involved.

15 Q. Do you need a break?

16 A. Yeah.

17 (Brief recess.)

18 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

19 Q. Who decided the amount in those forms?

20 A. I don't know how the amount was negotiated.

21 Q. Did you follow up on the amount being
22 requested -- ask any questions about it?

23 A. I can't remember if I asked any questions.
24 But, again, as it states on the form -- the availability
25 of funding approval for leadership.

1 Q. So you think whoever that leadership was had
2 approved that amount?

3 A. I don't know how the reimbursement for the
4 services was negotiated.

5 Q. Okay. So you didn't ask any questions about
6 the amount or what it was being used for?

7 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

8 THE WITNESS: I knew what it was being used
9 for. But I can't remember if I asked any questions
10 about the amount.

11 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay.

12 THE WITNESS: I can't recall any.

13 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

14 Q. Are there any subject matter experts for the
15 services listed in that exclusion that are full-time
16 employees with the agency?

17 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so, since the
19 services outlined in the policy were not clearly
20 outlined in any existing coverage policy that would
21 have had any subject matter expert assigned to the
22 coverage policy.

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. Do you have a subject matter expert in surgery?

25 A. I don't know if it's one person or more than

1 one. We have an area that's responsible for the
2 coverage policies we talked about earlier that contain
3 coverage for surgical procedures.

4 Q. So you have a subject matter expert for
5 outpatient hospital services?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And do you have a subject matter expert for
8 inpatient hospital services?

9 A. I don't know if it's the same person.

10 Q. Okay. But do you have a subject matter expert
11 in inpatient, it just might be the same person?

12 A. There's a team responsible for oversight of
13 those policies, yes.

14 Q. Was that team involved in the development of
15 this GAPMS report?

16 A. Not to my knowledge. But I can't speak to all
17 of the research and activities that were part of the
18 completion of the project.

19 Q. Who is that team -- that team that are the
20 suggest matter experts in inpatient and outpatient
21 hospital services?

22 A. That would be John Matson under Jesse Bottcher
23 who is responsible for primary and preventive surgeries,
24 including dental.

25 Q. Okay. You had mentioned before the break that

1 you had communications about the development of the
2 GAPMS report with legal counsel; is that correct?

3 A. I believe so. I can't remember if it was part
4 of the report or part of the rule. I know for sure with
5 the rulemaking process that legal is involved in that
6 process normally. And they were in this instance as
7 well.

8 Q. Did that legal include outside counsel?

9 A. I don't know. I don't remember meeting with
10 outside counsel.

11 Q. Okay. You don't remember with meeting with
12 Holtzman & Vogel, the law firm?

13 A. No.

14 Q. Did you communicate with any other State
15 agencies like the Florida Department of Health about the
16 GAPMS report?

17 A. I personally did not.

18 Q. Did anybody at the Agency for Health Care
19 Administration?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Did you communicate -- were there any
22 communications between AHCA and the Governor about the
23 development of this report?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. Did you personally communicate with the

1 Governor's office about the development of this report?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Did you personally communicate with the
4 Governor's office about the exclusion of treatment for
5 gender dysphoria?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Were there any communications between AHCA and
8 people that provided public comment at the hearing?

9 A. I'm sorry; can you repeat the question.

10 Q. Were there any communications between AHCA --
11 prior to the hearing, were there any communications
12 between AHCA and the people who provided public comment
13 at the hearing?

14 A. I don't know.

15 Q. Did you personally communicate with anyone who
16 provided public content at the hearing prior to the
17 hearing?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Was anyone at AHCA aware that specific people
20 would provide public content at the hearing prior to the
21 hearing?

22 A. I don't know.

23 Q. Were you aware that there were any specific
24 members of the public who would provide public comment
25 at the hearing prior to the hearing?

1 A. No.

2 Q. The person who is identified as authoring the
3 GAPMS report on gender dysphoria is Matt Brackett;
4 correct?

5 A. Yes, he was the primary author.

6 Q. Do you recall a meeting between you, Mr. Weida,
7 and Mr. Bottcher discussing who the author of the report
8 would be?

9 A. I don't remember if Jesse was in any of the
10 conversations.

11 Q. Okay. Did Jesse ever express a concern to you
12 about someone -- anyone on his team drafting the GAPMS
13 report on gender dysphoria treatment?

14 A. Prior to?

15 Q. At any time.

16 A. Can you say that again.

17 Q. Did Mr. Bottcher ever express to you concerns
18 over someone on his team drafting the GAPMS report on
19 the treatment for gender dysphoria?

20 A. Not that I can recall.

21 Q. Was the GAPMS decision tree used before you
22 decided to undertake the GAPMS analysis that is
23 contained in the June 2022 report?

24 A. I don't know.

25 Q. Who would have that information?

1 Did Secretary Marstiller in her letter to Tom
2 Wallace -- did she direct Tom Wallace to undertake the
3 GAPMS process?

4 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

5 THE WITNESS: I can't recall the details of the
6 letter.

7 MS. DEBRIERE: Me neither. Do we have a copy?

8 MS. CHRISS: It's the last page right there.

9 It's Attachment A.

10 MS. DEBRIERE: Oh. It's the very back of
11 Exhibit --

12 MR. PERKO: It's not attached to ours.

13 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay.

14 MS. DUNN: Why don't you pull it off and mark
15 it as a separate exhibit.

16 MS. DEBRIERE: So we'll mark the letter from
17 Simone Marstiller dated April 10th, 2022, as Exhibit
18 11. And that's Attachment A to the June 2022, GAPMS
19 report related to the treatment for gender
20 dysphoria.

21 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11 was marked for
22 identification.)

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. So in this letter is Secretary Marstiller
25 directing Mr. Wallace to undertake the GAPMS process?

1 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

4 Q. Do you think that Secretary Marstiller
5 undertook a decision tree prior to writing this letter
6 and sending it to Mr. Wallace?

7 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

8 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

9 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

10 Q. Has the secretary of AHCA ever personally
11 completed a decision tree on the GAPMS process?

12 A. I don't know.

13 Q. Would it be unusual if the secretary of AHCA
14 completed a decision tree on the GAPMS process?

15 A. I don't know.

16 Q. Looking at the GAPMS report itself, does it
17 contain a fiscal analysis?

18 A. I don't know off the top of my head.

19 Q. Yeah. No, take your time.

20 A. No, I do not see a fiscal analysis.

21 Q. Do you see anything related to cost
22 effectiveness?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Do you know why that was not included?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Is budget neutrality in reaching a GAPMS
2 decision important?

3 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

4 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I know that that's
5 something when determining a coverage determination
6 that is taken into consideration. But specific to
7 the GAPMS process, I don't know.

8 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

9 Q. Okay. Who would know that? Would the person
10 responsible for writing GAPMS reports know that?

11 A. Yes. Or Jesse Bottcher or Matt Brackett.

12 Q. Or Jeff English?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Who decided which services would be assessed in
15 the GAPMS report?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. So typically a request comes in from the public
18 for a specific service. In this instance, the request
19 came from the secretary; correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So would it have been the secretary who decided
22 which services should be assessed?

23 A. I can't recall how the decision was made. I do
24 know that that was part of conversations we had during
25 this process. But I can't recall exactly how the

1 decision was finalized.

2 Q. Was there ever a discussion about narrowing the
3 types of services to be included?

4 A. I don't recall specifically. I know that the
5 coverage of behavioral health services was something
6 that was always covered. But outside of that
7 specifically, I can't remember.

8 Q. Was there ever any discussion about undertaking
9 the GAPMS process for a set of services simultaneously
10 as opposed to a single service?

11 A. Can you clarify.

12 Q. In the discussions about writing the report or
13 assessing the services, were there ever any concerns
14 raised about undertaking the process for a set of
15 services as opposed to a single one?

16 A. I don't recall specifically.

17 Q. Was there any discussion about EPSDT?

18 A. I can't remember if it was specific to the
19 development of the report or the rulemaking more
20 specifically. But I believe there was.

21 Q. And what was discussed?

22 MR. PERKO: I'm going to object for a second.
23 Did that include counsel? Did those discussions
24 include counsel?

25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 MR. PERKO: And who was that?

2 THE WITNESS: I don't remember.

3 MR. PERKO: But it did include counsel?

4 THE WITNESS: I believe it was a discussion on
5 the rulemaking with counsel.

6 MR. PERKO: I'm going to instruct the witness
7 not to answer.

8 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

9 Q. Were all discussions had in front of counsel
10 about EPSDT?

11 A. I don't remember.

12 Q. How about comparability?

13 MR. PERKO: I'll ask you the same thing.

14 THE WITNESS: Can you remind me what you're
15 referencing when you say comparability. I think you
16 mentioned that at the very beginning of the day.

17 MS. DEBRIERE: Comparability is a requirement
18 under the Federal Medicaid Act in the administration
19 of the coverage of the Medicaid services.

20 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

21 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

22 Q. Were there communications with the Centers for
23 Medicare and Medicaid Services about AHCA's decision to
24 assess whether the services listed in the exclusion were
25 experimental?

1 A. I don't know. I personally did not have any
2 conversations.

3 Q. Who communicates with CMS about those kinds of
4 things?

5 A. Those kinds of things, you mean changes in
6 coverage?

7 Q. Does CMS ever reach out to AHCA about concerns
8 they have about an action that they're taking related to
9 Medicaid coverage?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Who would be the point person at AHCA to have
12 those conversations?

13 A. So if an update to a federal authority were
14 needed, that would be either Catherine Mcgrath or
15 myself.

16 Q. Okay. You would not have had -- have you had
17 any conversations with CMS about the GAPMS report
18 related to the treatment of gender dysphoria?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Has Catherine?

21 A. Not to my knowledge.

22 Q. Have you had any conversations with CMS about
23 the exclusion of the treatment for gender dysphoria as
24 contained in Rule 59G-1.050?

25 A. I have not.

1 Q. Has Catherine?

2 A. Not to my knowledge.

3 Q. Has anybody else at AHCA?

4 A. I don't know.

5 Q. Okay. You mentioned a second ago that you
6 weren't sure if you were talking about EPSDTs as it
7 related to the report or the rulemaking. When you make
8 that distinction, are you referring the writing of the
9 report versus the adoption of the rule?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Okay. How was it decided that the conclusions
12 from the GAPMS report should be adopted into rule?

13 A. I'm trying to remember the specific
14 conversations. But I do believe those were
15 conversations with counsel as well.

16 Q. Okay. The expedited GAPMS that you were
17 involved in from start to finish, was that decision
18 adopted into rule?

19 A. It was just one other GAPMS. And I don't
20 believe any rule update was needed for that one.

21 Q. Why was a rule update needed for this GAPMS
22 report?

23 MR. PERKO: If that's discussion with counsel,
24 I will instruct you not to answer.

25 THE WITNESS: Because there was not any policy

1 language that clearly explained the coverage, it was
2 determined that developing policy language was the
3 best approach. Anything past that was -- how that
4 process went was conversation with counsel.

5 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

6 Q. How often in your day-to-day in making
7 decisions in your job do you have to consult with legal
8 counsel?

9 A. Often.

10 Q. Okay. So does that mean -- okay. Like, every
11 day?

12 A. I would say the majority of days.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. And I'll just specify. I have some sort of
15 contact or interaction with legal counsel.

16 Q. On most days?

17 A. Yes. And, again, because the rule promulgation
18 does require review and some other documents we route
19 are managed care contracts also route through legal.
20 Just to give you examples of why it's quite often.

21 Q. They're all contacts with legal counsel about
22 things related to the doing of your job?

23 A. The development of policy and -- yes.

24 Q. Okay. So there was -- you said there was --
25 the reason that it needed to be adopted into rule is

1 because there was no clear coverage policy on the
2 services at issue; is that correct?

3 A. I can't remember all the factors that went into
4 the decision. But I believe that was one of the factors
5 when it was assessed that there was no coverage policy
6 specific to the treatment of gender dysphoria.

7 Q. Were there existing coverage guidelines?

8 A. Not to my knowledge.

9 Q. At the time were you aware of existing pharmacy
10 policies related to the treatment of gender dysphoria?

11 A. At what time? Can you specify.

12 Q. It was 2017/2016.

13 A. I was not with the agency in 2016. So I would
14 not have been part of any development of policy at that
15 time.

16 Q. But when you were deciding whether to adopt
17 this exclusion into the rule, did you do any review of
18 existing coverage guidelines or past coverage decisions?

19 A. I believe we did. But I can't recall the
20 specifics.

21 Q. Did you review past GAPMS reports regarding the
22 treatment of gender dysphoria?

23 A. I believe we did.

24 Q. And why weren't they enough to establish the
25 coverage policy?

1 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

3 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

4 Q. 59G-1.050, Subpart 7 -- it bans Medicaid
5 coverage for puberty blockers, hormones and surgery if
6 done so to treat gender dysphoria; correct?

7 A. It covers that Medicaid does not cover those
8 services for the treatment of gender dysphoria; correct.

9 Q. Does it distinguish between adults and
10 children?

11 A. No.

12 Q. So the exclusion applies equally to both
13 children and adults; is that correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Okay. And it excludes Medicaid coverage for
16 puberty blockers and hormones and surgery to treat
17 gender dysphoria, but it does not exclude Medicaid
18 coverage for those services to treat other diagnoses; is
19 that correct?

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. And I just forgot your answer; I apologize.
22 Were you involved in the rule hearing held on July 8th
23 regarding the exclusion set forth in 1.050?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Were you aware that outside legal counsel

1 participated in that hearing?

2 A. I don't know if I was made aware prior to
3 today. I can't remember.

4 Q. At rule hearings you've been in in the past, do
5 the State agencies have a panel of subject matter
6 experts who respond to public comment during the
7 hearing?

8 A. I can't cite the specific language, but it's
9 actually required per Chapter 120 that the agency has
10 subject matter experts who can speak to the contents of
11 whatever is being discussed at a public meeting
12 available.

13 Q. Other than the July 8th hearing, are you aware
14 of any time that any agency has retained outside subject
15 matter experts to participate on that panel?

16 A. I'm not aware of any.

17 Q. To your knowledge is this the only time AHCA
18 has created a slogan to advertise the conclusion in its
19 GAPMS memo?

20 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

21 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

22 Q. Are you aware of the slogan "Let kids be kids"?

23 A. I've seen the website, yes.

24 Q. In your experience has AHCA ever designed a
25 website page for any other rule adoption?

1 A. I can't remember if it was specific to rule
2 adoption. But I can think of a couple of examples where
3 we created web pages for policy updates; for example,
4 for home and community based settings rule that was an
5 administrative rule as well as a federal rule. There's
6 a specific external web page for updates regarding that
7 and information on that rule.

8 When we received the American Rescue Act
9 funding approval, we created a web page with information
10 on that funding and what those funding could be used
11 for. So I feel like it's pretty common for us to update
12 our external website when there's important information
13 to communicate.

14 Q. In those other examples, did AHCA ever develop
15 a slogan to go along with those web pages?

16 A. Not in the examples that I used, I don't think.

17 Q. Did they issue press releases?

18 A. The American Rescue Act funding may have had
19 one. But I can't remember.

20 Q. Okay. Just going back quickly. My co-counsel
21 has pointed out to me that in Chapter 120 it says that
22 at the rule hearing agency staff must be available but
23 not an expert. Do you think maybe you were confusing
24 that requirement that an expert needs to be available
25 under 120?

1 A. I think it says an agency staff with knowledge.

2 Q. Okay. "Ensure that staff are available to
3 explain the agency's proposal and to respond to
4 questions or comments regarding the rule." Is that the
5 provision you were --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- thinking of? Okay.

8 Typically when AHCA decides not to cover a
9 particular service, where is that information included?

10 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

11 THE WITNESS: I think it depends on the policy.

12 Each policy has different exclusions, if there are
13 any, with the service. Or most of the coverage
14 policies include a section specific to exclusions.

15 MS. DEBRIERE: Most of the policies? Is that
16 what you said? I apologize.

17 THE WITNESS: Most of the coverage policies.

18 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

19 Q. Okay. And those coverage policies are service
20 specific policies?

21 A. The examples I was thinking of, yes, were
22 service specific coverage policies and include -- I
23 can't remember exactly what section in the example of
24 where to find that in the coverage policy. But, yes, it
25 would include exclusion specific to the coverage that's

1 being described in the policy.

2 Q. Okay. The exclusion on the treatment of gender
3 dysphoria, is it in a service specific coverage policy?

4 A. No. This is a general Medicaid policy. But it
5 does include coverage information including what Florida
6 Medicaid reimburses for and what it does not.

7 Q. Does it speak to the exclusion of any other
8 services under Florida Medicaid but those services
9 excluded for the treatment of gender dysphoria?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Which ones?

12 A. No. 4 is an example. (4)(b), that speaks to
13 that Florida Medicaid does not cover continuous services
14 after the emergency has been alleviated.

15 Q. Is that a specific service? Or is that the
16 length of time for any service?

17 A. I apologize. It's emergency service. It's
18 under the section for emergency Medicaid.

19 Q. But, again, is that speaking to the coverage of
20 any service deemed emergency?

21 A. It's specific to emergency services provided to
22 aliens who meet all Florida Medicaid eligibility
23 requirements except for citizenship.

24 Q. It says an exclusion under Subpart 7 speaks
25 specifically to the exclusion of sex reassignment

1 surgeries; correct?

2 A. Services for the treatment of gender dysphoria.

3 Q. But only three services.

4 A. Four.

5 Q. What are examples of procedures that alter
6 primary or secondary sexual characteristics that are not
7 related to surgery?

8 A. I don't know.

9 Q. Just going back to the surgery, why not include
10 that in service specific policies that discuss surgery?

11 A. Can you repeat the question.

12 Q. Looking at the exclusion of sex reassignment
13 surgeries, why was that not included in the coverage
14 policies related to surgeries that we discussed earlier?

15 A. I don't recall the specific conversation on how
16 it was decided that this was the most appropriate
17 policy. And I do believe that most of that conversation
18 was with counsel.

19 Q. So same question for puberty blockers. Why
20 wouldn't you include that in a pharmacy coverage policy?

21 A. I don't know.

22 Q. And Subpart 7's subject line is "Gender
23 Dysphoria"; correct?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And that's a diagnosis?

1 A. I don't know clinically the definition.

2 Q. We've been talking about the treatment of
3 gender dysphoria; right?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So in order to exclude treatment of gender
6 dysphoria, it would be the exclusion of a treatment for
7 a diagnosis; correct?

8 A. Yes. But I can't speak to the specifics of the
9 diagnosis or what that means in clinical terms.

10 Q. Okay. For the July 8th hearing, do you know
11 how many public comments were submitted?

12 A. I don't know.

13 Q. Do you know if it was more than 100?

14 MR. PERKO: Asked and answered.

15 THE WITNESS: I know it was a lot.

16 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

17 Q. Okay. And do you know how long it took AHCA to
18 review and consider the comments before adopting the
19 final rule?

20 A. I don't know the length of time. But I know
21 that all the public comments were reviewed.

22 Q. Who reviewed them?

23 A. I know Cole Giering did. I don't know if
24 anybody else -- if anybody else did.

25 Q. Okay. So after the July 8th hearing up until

1 the final adoption of the rule, other than reviewing and
2 considering public comment, what else did AHCA do before
3 adopting the rule?

4 A. Can you repeat the question.

5 Q. So after the July 8th hearing up until the
6 final adoption of the rule, other than reviewing public
7 comment, what other activities did AHCA undertake in
8 deciding to adopt the rule?

9 A. I don't know. I can't remember specific to
10 this rule. But after it's been determined there's no
11 changes needed to the rule, the filing for adoption
12 would be the next step.

13 Q. How do you reach that decision that no changes
14 should be made?

15 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

16 THE WITNESS: There's various factors involved
17 in that decision. And it really depends on the
18 specific circumstances.

19 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. I don't know what it
20 would be labeled, but do you have an exhibit -- it's
21 an email from Ms. McGriff to Magellan.

22 MS. CHRISS: Yes. The email exchange between
23 Magellan and AHCA.

24 MS. DEBRIERE: Thank you.

25 Court Reporter, just for your reference what we

1 just marked as Exhibit 12 is Bates stamped
2 DEF_00288753 to 000288756.

3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 12 was marked for
4 identification.)

5 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

6 Q. So Magellan is emailing several people at AHCA.
7 And she says, "Attached are the internal criteria not
8 publicly posted."

9 What are the internal criteria?

10 A. I don't know.

11 Q. Does Magellan rely on internal criteria for the
12 coverage of Medicaid services?

13 A. I don't know.

14 Q. What does "CCM" mean? It's right after that
15 sentence. "Attached are the internal criteria 'not
16 publicly posted' CCM."

17 A. I don't know.

18 Q. What does gender code mean?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. Do you know hot had significance of "B for
21 both" is?

22 A. I do not.

23 Q. Who is Linda Simone Moore?

24 A. Who?

25 Q. So there's a sender up top here -- I'm sorry.

1 Leslie.

2 A. Moore-Simons.

3 Q. I need reading glasses. Leslie Moore-Simons.
4 That's exactly right.

5 A. I don't know.

6 Q. Okay. Who is Susan Williams?

7 A. She works for Ashley Peterson in the pharmacy
8 unit in the Bureau of Medicaid Policy.

9 Q. Okay. And who is Arlene Elliott? I'll just
10 note the date that Arlene's email was sent was
11 8/21/2017.

12 A. Currently Arlene Elliott is in a different
13 division at the Agency for Health Care Administration.
14 But at this time, she was the AHCA administrator over
15 the pharmacy policy section of the Bureau of Medicaid
16 Policy.

17 Q. And what unit is she in now?

18 A. I don't know. She's no longer in the division
19 of Medicaid.

20 Q. What division is she in?

21 A. I believe it's Health Quality Assurance.

22 Q. Do you know when she left her position in the
23 Bureau of Medicaid Policy?

24 A. I believe it was spring or summer 2021. I'm
25 not sure the exact date.

1 Q. Okay. Earlier in the exchange -- and yet dated
2 later -- is the email dated April 20th, 2022, from Elica
3 King-Wilson at Magellan. And she's included some
4 language which she underlined and bolded. And it says,
5 "All requests require vetting by AHCA before a final
6 determination is made."

7 And it appears this is related to a final
8 determination as to whether -- well, it says -- Leslie
9 noted, "MMA does have an internal gender dysphoria
10 criteria, which is attached."

11 MMA stands for?

12 A. I don't know in what context she's using it.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. But to me, MMA would normally stand for managed
15 medical assistance.

16 Q. I assume you're confused because this is coming
17 from Magellan which is not a managed medical assistance
18 program; is that right?

19 A. Yes. So I don't know if that's what she's
20 referring to.

21 Q. And it says, "This internal document serves for
22 GnRH analog use to delay puberty in adolescents with
23 gender dysphoria." This document was provided by AHCA
24 due to a fair hearing request received for Lupron for a
25 recipient with this diagnosis" -- meaning gender

1 dysphoria. And it goes on with the underlying language
2 that all of those requests -- coverage of Lupron for
3 gender dysphoria -- need to be vetted by AHCA before a
4 final determination is made.

5 Were you familiar with that process at all?

6 A. No. I don't know what process they were
7 referring to.

8 Q. Would Ashley Peterson know?

9 A. I don't know. But she does work closely with
10 Magellan.

11 Q. Okay. Did AHCA work with managed care plans to
12 implement the exclusion in 1.050?

13 A. They were notified. But the specifics of how
14 that communication happened, I can't recall.

15 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. Can I have the SMMC
16 Policy Transmittal relating to the Non-Coverage of
17 Gender Dysphoria Treatment.

18 MS. DUNN: Do you want the policy or the
19 emails?

20 MS. DEBRIERE: Could you do both.

21 MS. DUNN: Do you want them together?

22 MS. DEBRIERE: That would be great. But
23 separate exhibits.

24 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 13 was marked for
25 identification.)

1 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 14 was marked for
2 identification.)

3 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

4 Q. So right now we're looking at an email that's
5 Bates stamped DEF_000258835 to 000258838. It's an email
6 from D.D. Pickle CC-ing you. And it's to Jason Weida.

7 In this -- I'm sorry. Looking specifically at
8 an email dated August 22, 2022, from D.D. to Ashley
9 Peterson and Matt Brackett. It states, "Ashley, Ann
10 wants to include the 60-day language in the alert?"

11 What alert is D.D. Pickle referring to?

12 A. I believe it was the provider alert.

13 Q. And what's a provider alert?

14 A. It's the main way -- one of the main ways we
15 communicate information to our providers and external
16 stakeholders.

17 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15 was marked for
18 identification.)

19 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

20 Q. I'm handing you a document that's marked as
21 Exhibit 15, called Florida Medicaid Health Care Alert
22 Sign-Off Form, starting at Bates stamp DEF_000258839.

23 Is this the provider alert you were referring
24 to?

25 A. Yes. It looks to be a provider alert regarding

1 the coverage of treatment for gender dysphoria.

2 MS. DEBRIERE: Okay. And then what was the
3 transmittal?

4 MS. DUNN: It was 14.

5 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

6 Q. No. 14 -- can you look at that document. And
7 that's Bates stamped DEF_000258833.

8 What is this document?

9 A. It looks to be a draft -- a policy transmittal.

10 Q. And who does that go to?

11 A. This specific one is marked to be sent to the
12 medical assistance and specialty plans.

13 Q. Is that the final that was sent?

14 A. It does not appear so, no.

15 Q. Okay. How do you know that?

16 A. The policy transmittal number is not completed
17 and it's not signed.

18 Q. Okay. Going back to the provider alert, was
19 that the final that was sent?

20 A. I can't tell from this document if this was the
21 final that was sent.

22 Q. Okay. Would you be able to tell from any of
23 the versions whether it was the final?

24 A. Seeing the actual email alert would be how I
25 would make sure. My team actually does not send out the

1 final provider alerts. So that's typically how I would
2 look at the final version.

3 Q. Okay. And the policy transmittals and the
4 provider alerts -- are those available on the agency's
5 website? The finals?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Okay. So turning back to that email exchange
8 where D.D. mentions you by name.

9 What is 60-day language?

10 A. I believe she's referring to the continuity of
11 care.

12 Q. What is continuity of care?

13 A. It's a contract requirement for the plans to
14 provide services for a period of time. I don't know if
15 it's specific to when they change plans. I can't recall
16 the exact contract language, but it's a contract
17 provision.

18 Q. And are services previously being covered
19 supposed to be continue being covered for 60 days
20 according to the 60-day language?

21 A. I can't recall the exact parameters of the
22 requirement.

23 Q. Do you recall why --

24 MR. PERKO: Counsel, we're getting on seven
25 hours here.

1 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

2 Q. Do you recall why the 60-day language -- you
3 wanted the 60-day language included in this alert?

4 A. I can't remember the conversation around this.
5 And I can't speak for D.D.

6 Q. Well, D.D. is speaking for you; right?
7 The subject is "GD Policy Transmittal";
8 correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And what does "GD" stand for?

11 A. Based on the attachments, I would conclude that
12 it is for gender dysphoria.

13 Q. Okay. And this would be discussion had after
14 the rule was adopted excluding coverage of services for
15 the treatment of gender dysphoria; correct?

16 A. Can you repeat that question.

17 Q. The date of this email is after the rule was
18 adopted to exclude coverage of services for treatment of
19 gender dysphoria.

20 A. I believe so.

21 Q. You don't recall why you thought it was
22 important to have the 60-day language included in the
23 alert?

24 A. I don't recall the specifics of the
25 conversation. But I believe it was to ensure if there

1 was any current reimbursement or authorization that
2 would apply.

3 Q. Current authorization of treatment of gender
4 dysphoria?

5 A. Of the services listed in Rule 1.050, No. 7.

6 Q. Did any plans state to AHCA that they would
7 continue coverage of the services excluded in the rule
8 even though that rule had been adopted?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. Who would know that?

11 A. I don't know who it would have gone to. If
12 there was a question, the communications typically go
13 through the contract managers.

14 Q. Okay. Do you know if all plans have
15 implemented the exclusion contained in the rule?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. Are you familiar with the variance and waiver
18 process under Chapter 120?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. What is the purpose of that statute?

21 MR. PERKO: Object to form; calls for a legal
22 conclusion.

23 BY MS. DEBRIERE:

24 Q. What is the purpose of the variance and waiver
25 process?

1 MR. PERKO: Object to form.

2 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

3 MR. PERKO: Counsel, we're getting on seven
4 hours here.

5 MS. DEBRIERE: All right. Let me just consult
6 with my team for just a second.

7 (Brief recess.)

8 MS. DEBRIERE: We'll all set with direct.
9 Thank you for your time, Ms. Dalton.

10 MR. PERKO: I don't have any questions.

11 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like to read or
12 waive?

13 THE WITNESS: Read.

14 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like to order at
15 this time?

16 MS. DEBRIERE: Yes.

17 THE COURT REPORTER: Would anybody like to
18 order a copy?

19 MR. PERKO: Yes.

20 (This deposition was concluded at 6:05 p.m.)

21 - - -

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF OATH

STATE OF FLORIDA:

COUNTY OF LEON:

I, GREG T. SMITH, Notary Public, State of Florida,
do hereby certify that ANN DALTON personally appeared
before me on January 24, 2023 and was duly sworn and
produced her ID badge as identification.

Signed this 30TH day of JANUARY, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Greg T. Smith". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large "G" and a long horizontal stroke at the end.

GREG T. SMITH

Notary Public, State of Florida

My Commission No.: GG933698

Expires: March 21, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA:

COUNTY OF LEON:

I, GREG T. SMITH, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of ANN DALTON; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the foregoing transcript, pages 6 through 175, is a true and accurate record of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 30TH day of JANUARY, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Greg T. Smith". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

GREG T. SMITH

1 KATHERINE J. DEBRIERE, ESQUIRE
DEBRIERE@FLORIDAHEALTHJUSTICE.ORG

2

3

January 30, 2023

4

RE: Dekker, August v Marstiller, Simone
1-24-23 Ann Dalton, Job# 5662663

5

6

The above-referenced transcript is available for
7 review.

7

8

(The witness/You) should read the testimony to
9 verify its accuracy. If there are any changes,

9

10

(the witness/you) should note those with the reason
11 on the attached Errata Sheet.

11

12

(The witness/You) should, please, date and sign the
13 Errata Sheet and email to the deposing attorney as well as
14 to Veritext at Transcripts-fl@veritext.com and copies will
15 be emailed to all ordering parties.

15

16

It is suggested that the completed errata be returned 30
17 days from receipt of testimony, as considered reasonable
18 under Federal rules*, however, there is no Florida statute
19 to this regard.

19

20

If the witness fails to do so, the transcript may be used
21 as if signed.

21

22

Yours,

23

Veritext Legal Solutions

24

25

*Federal Civil Procedure Rule 30(e)/Florida Civil Procedure
Rule 1.310(e).

1 Dekker, August v Marstiller, Simone
1-24-23 Ann Dalton, Job# 5662663

2

3 E R R A T A S H E E T

4 PAGE _____ LINE _____ CHANGE _____

5 _____

6 REASON _____

7 PAGE _____ LINE _____ CHANGE _____

8 _____

9 REASON _____

10 PAGE _____ LINE _____ CHANGE _____

11 _____

12 REASON _____

13 PAGE _____ LINE _____ CHANGE _____

14 _____

15 REASON _____

16 PAGE _____ LINE _____ CHANGE _____

17 _____

18 REASON _____

19

20 Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have
21 read the foregoing document and that the facts
22 stated in it are true.

23

(WITNESS NAME)

DATE

24

25

[& - 30th]

Page 180

&	1.050. 9:19	170 5:3,4	2023 1:11 72:13
& 3:7 146:12	128:3,9	175 177:9	114:10 176:8,10
0	1.050	176 4:4	177:17 178:3
000258833	4:12	177 4:5	2024 176:17
171:7	1.310 178:25	178 4:6	20th 88:6 89:3
000258835	10 4:21 64:22	179 4:7	103:11 168:2
170:5	132:25 133:1	1:30 88:14	21 56:10,16,21
000258838	141:16	1st 96:24 97:1	57:16,18 58:1
170:5	100 126:21	2	59:17 100:14,16
000258839	164:13	2 4:12 9:18,20	176:17
170:22	103 4:19	128:9	21st 72:13
000288756	104 4:20	20 94:9	22 170:8
166:2	10:04 1:12	2012 15:21,23	22779 176:15
00126105 64:1	10th 149:17	2016 4:16 19:14	177:20
00288753 166:2	11 4:22 149:18	87:18,20 92:14	24 1:11 176:8
00325 1:3	149:21	109:9 157:13	25 123:19,24
1	110 3:3	2017 15:12	124:2
1 4:11 7:25 8:6	119 3:7	87:18,20 88:23	271-8890 2:8,8
99:1	12 4:24 166:1,3	2017/2016	2:12,12
1-24-23 178:4	120 15:19 31:12	157:12	2727 1:14 3:12
179:1	118:7,20 159:9	2018 14:10 15:6	27514 3:4
1.0 98:21	160:21,25	15:6,12 36:23	278-6059 2:4
1.010 66:13	174:18	36:24,25 75:3	2nd 94:11,12
1.010. 58:22	1229 2:7,11	117:13	96:25
1.010	12th 2:7,11	2019 26:14	3
4:13	13 5:1 169:24	2021 13:20 39:8	3 3:12 4:13
1.035. 8:17	133 4:21	88:12 117:13	58:22 59:1
77:24	14 5:2 170:1	167:24	61:19 66:13
1.035	171:4,6	2022 4:17 30:4	99:20 101:20
4:15	149 4:23	88:6 89:3 93:25	102:6
1.050 9:4 118:23	15 5:4 170:17,21	94:9 96:24,25	30 126:14 178:3
119:9 154:24	1512 3:3	97:1 103:11	178:16,24
158:4,23 169:12	166 4:24	114:8,20 148:23	305 7:8
174:5	169 5:1	149:17,18 168:2	30th 176:10
		170:8	177:17

[3100 - adheres]

Page 181

3100 2:15,20	154:24 158:4	9	acronym 8:9
32205 2:3	6	9 4:20 64:22	11:3 13:11 29:6
32301 3:8	6 4:3,16 92:15	65:12 66:9	act 33:8 51:5
32308 1:15 3:13	92:21 177:9	104:23,25	60:7,21,23
32601 2:7,11	60 170:10 172:9	913-4882 2:16	61:10 66:20
33131 2:16,20	172:19,20 173:2	2:21	72:7 111:23
34,000 136:19	173:3,22	913-4901 2:16	153:18 160:8,18
352 2:4,8,8,12	600 2:15,19	2:21	action 83:12
2:12	64 4:14	919 3:4,4	102:4 154:8
35k 133:5	6:05 1:12	92 4:16	177:14,15
3900 2:3	175:20	922-9162 3:13	actions 18:2
391-0502 3:9	7	94 4:17	activities 25:21
4	7 4:17 9:5,23,25	968-6308 3:4,4	26:15,19 72:1
4 4:14 31:23	10:5,24 94:1,2	999 74:16	145:17 165:7
63:22 64:11	128:10,12	a	activity 26:2
87:11 88:4	132:20 158:4	a.m. 1:12	39:23
162:12,12	162:24 174:5	ability 85:2	actual 34:6 63:2
4/10/22 4:23	7's 163:22	able 50:18 54:21	125:18 171:24
412-3670 3:13	741-1023 3:9	115:13 171:22	actually 28:9
435-7352 2:4	786 2:16,16,21	above 178:6	47:19 51:14,15
45 12:24 132:20	2:21	absolutely 6:21	52:22 122:17
4:22 1:3	8	55:5,13 114:24	137:25 159:9
5	8 4:11,18 64:22	ac 73:15	171:25
5 4:15 77:24,25	65:7,8,12,15	accepted 8:15	add 105:15
98:21	103:3,4 134:9	66:19	added 32:6
500 3:8	134:14	access 19:20,22	adding 77:15
5662663 178:4	8/21/2017	accordance	additional
179:1	167:11	31:11 63:5	140:6
59g 4:12,13,15	800 2:4	accuracy 178:9	address 55:7
8:17 9:4,19	850 3:9,9,13,13	accurate 65:1	adhere 49:3,6
17:19 20:9	8th 158:22	65:20 66:23	72:18
58:22 66:13	159:13 164:10	177:10	adherence 44:4
77:24 98:21	164:25 165:5	acha.myflorid...	57:3
112:2,5 128:3,9		3:14	adheres 60:14

adjudicate 50:5 50:7	156:25 173:14 173:18 174:8	43:11 44:19 46:19 48:15	agree 8:24 9:14 10:5,25 103:24
administer 60:24	adopting 164:18 165:3	50:4 51:11,16 54:10 56:20	agreed 5:8 104:1
administration 1:14 3:11 13:8 13:14 17:24 35:23 36:7,12 54:10 146:19 153:18 167:13	adoption 128:4 128:7,11 155:9 159:25 160:2 165:1,6,11	59:16 66:22 72:22,25 75:2 75:16 77:11,14 78:16 79:5,23 82:7 84:20 85:21,24 86:3 86:12,16 87:5 101:2 102:1 105:14,17 106:7 117:23 120:20 121:8,10 122:6 122:10 123:9 124:13,14,18,24 125:14 126:8,10 127:1,5 142:14 142:20 144:16 146:18 157:13 159:9,14 160:22 161:1 167:13	ahca 4:24 13:10 13:11,16,22,24 16:9 20:13 22:12 23:1,12 23:17,19,21,22 27:11 28:8 30:7 30:13,18,25 31:13 36:21 37:2,5 39:12 44:12 50:18,23 51:5,5 52:18 54:18 56:8 63:10,11,15 65:15,16,21 66:24 67:9 77:9 78:11 87:24 88:1,11 95:23 108:12 109:11 110:5,9 111:1,2 111:6,10,16,19 112:9 116:20 117:10,14,20 119:7 122:7,8 122:13,14 124:6 125:20,20 129:14,19 130:6 135:6,7,13 136:6 146:22 147:7,10,12,19 150:10,13 154:7 154:11 155:3
administrative 14:7 17:21 23:25 24:1 25:17 35:23,25 47:9,10,12,16 47:22 51:14 58:7 63:6 70:5 101:8 112:4 117:21 118:10 121:21 160:5	adp 124:24 adults 158:9,13 advertise 159:18 advice 40:16 affairs 15:8 affected 38:5 affects 112:18 affirm 6:2 affirmative 129:15 affirming 9:3,6 10:4,16	agency's 20:7 26:17 42:8 43:11 63:5 101:23 105:16 109:25 161:3 172:4	
administrator 13:22,25 14:12 23:1,12,14,17 23:19,21,22,25 39:2 117:10 167:14	age 56:10,21 58:1 74:5,16,16 74:22,25		
administrators 23:2	agencies 60:24 124:18 125:9 126:16 146:15 159:5		
adolescents 168:22	agency 1:13 3:11 13:7,12,13 13:13 16:15,20 16:21 17:10,12 18:19 19:3,24 20:4 35:14,18 36:1,2 42:4	agent 76:11 ages 57:16 ago 76:21 111:25 116:3 127:13 155:5	
adopt 50:23 157:16 165:8			
adopted 53:2 54:5 67:17,25 112:7 155:12,18			

[ahca - approve]

Page 183

159:17,24 160:14 161:8 164:17 165:2,7 165:23 166:6 167:14 168:5,23 169:3,11 174:6 ahca's 37:12 47:8 48:18 49:7 61:20,23 62:17 63:13 153:23 ahca.myflorid... 54:12 55:10 72:11 ahead 7:24 21:10 56:1 al 1:4 alert 5:4 124:10 170:10,11,12,13 170:21,23,25 171:18,24 173:3 173:23 alerts 117:2 124:17 172:1,4 aliens 162:22 alleviated 162:14 allowable 33:17 47:2 111:22 allowed 44:18 45:14 48:25 52:18 58:4 105:18 alter 163:5 altman 2:18 7:10	ambulatory 53:16 amendment 31:25 american 160:8 160:18 amino 105:25 amount 20:19 21:23 137:3,8,9 143:19,20,21 144:2,6,10 analog 168:22 analyses 38:2 analysis 23:8 24:12 33:14 40:1,19 102:24 103:12 148:22 150:17,20 analyst 15:9,24 15:25 16:7 33:1 108:7 115:23 121:1,3 analysts 31:10 60:9 69:1 analytics 38:2,2 38:15 andre 133:6 andrew 3:11 andrew.sheeran 3:14 ann 1:10 4:2 6:6 12:19 170:9 176:7 177:7 178:4 179:1	answer 9:9 10:8 11:15,24 12:1 21:10 48:21 50:18 67:12 71:3 98:14 101:2 141:10 153:7 155:24 158:21 answered 25:20 45:17 72:20 98:17 130:11 135:10 164:14 answering 12:12 27:2 answers 12:3 106:24 anybody 7:7 48:4 50:18 52:18 81:20 82:23 89:11 107:19,24 146:18 155:3 164:24,24 175:17 apart 69:25 apologize 28:10 106:19 130:9,11 158:21 161:16 162:17 apparent 134:4 appear 67:24 88:25 89:1,7 171:14 appeared 176:7	appearing 2:5,9 2:13,17,22 3:5 3:10,14 appears 92:25 168:7 applicable 101:25 applied 102:12 102:13 applies 73:4 158:12 apply 100:12 109:16,22 174:2 approach 31:9 33:5 69:22 156:3 approaching 60:17 79:10 appropriate 46:24,25 163:16 appropriations 33:8,8 approval 26:2,7 26:14 28:1 34:25 35:20 49:14 111:15 134:16 139:22 140:1,18,25 141:17,19,20,23 142:1,5,7 143:8 143:25 160:9 approve 34:18 103:8 137:20 139:3,6 142:11
--	---	--	--

[approved - authorized]

Page 184

<p>approved 25:20 32:1 44:19 66:22 69:18 85:2 93:13,17 93:17 110:13,17 110:21 111:3,6 111:10,18 137:25 139:11 142:14,20,23 144:2</p> <p>approver 51:12 92:9</p> <p>approving 138:6 139:23</p> <p>april 103:11 149:17 168:2</p> <p>area 52:20 61:15 145:1</p> <p>areas 14:18 85:5 120:25</p> <p>arlene 167:9,12</p> <p>arlene's 167:10</p> <p>arrange 125:10</p> <p>arranging 125:21</p> <p>ashley 23:18 41:1 54:21 66:1 66:3 67:1,12 68:16 74:21 88:9,10 99:19 167:7 169:8 170:8,9</p> <p>ashley's 24:19 27:1</p>	<p>aside 123:8 137:19</p> <p>asked 40:19 45:17 104:9 127:21 135:10 143:23 144:9 164:14</p> <p>asking 45:13,15 66:6 98:9 115:6</p> <p>asserting 69:24</p> <p>asses 65:16</p> <p>assess 32:23 98:2,5 106:10 109:7 153:24</p> <p>assessed 151:14 151:22 157:5</p> <p>assessing 109:3 152:13</p> <p>assigned 8:22 52:20 144:21</p> <p>assignments 26:24 76:18 82:4 95:18,19 95:19 115:25</p> <p>assist 27:3,18 28:16 30:3 37:24 85:5</p> <p>assistance 168:15,17 171:12</p> <p>assistant 17:4 81:6 82:8</p> <p>assisted 27:1,13 28:2,4 39:25</p>	<p>assists 26:17</p> <p>associated 8:22 20:18 28:14 94:16 112:19 117:2,7</p> <p>assume 54:14 137:2,5 168:16</p> <p>assurance 167:21</p> <p>atf 103:2 134:8</p> <p>attached 149:12 166:7,15 168:10 178:11</p> <p>attachment 149:9,18</p> <p>attachments 97:15 173:11</p> <p>attend 122:15 124:7,19 125:4 125:11,22,25 126:3 127:2,6 127:10,16,18,25</p> <p>attendance 120:16,18 122:23</p> <p>attended 122:4 122:5,7,8 127:20 134:10</p> <p>attending 122:1 123:18 126:6</p> <p>attends 122:13 123:9</p> <p>attorney 177:12 178:13</p>	<p>attorneys 12:22 46:8 102:19 177:14</p> <p>audience 122:9</p> <p>august 1:4 11:8 13:20 14:10 15:6,12 36:23 39:8 117:13,13 170:8 178:4 179:1</p> <p>author 96:2 148:5,7</p> <p>authoring 148:2</p> <p>authorities 14:4 17:16 70:10</p> <p>authority 14:2 23:18 33:3,13 33:13 51:10 77:8 101:22,24 102:4,12 105:15 154:13</p> <p>authorization 46:20,21 47:4 49:20 62:18 63:3,19 67:6 68:12,18,20 74:13 110:2 174:1,3</p> <p>authorize 49:23 66:24 142:7</p> <p>authorized 26:6 26:13 46:23 62:15,25 66:21 69:24 177:6</p>
---	--	---	--

authorizing 67:9	128:17 149:10 160:20 163:9	behavioral 23:8 23:8 152:5	beneficiaries 56:21
availability 143:7,24	171:18 172:7	believe 15:3 16:23 19:13	benefit 34:7 benefits 28:21
available 27:2 40:11 42:21	background 7:13 13:3	29:10,21 34:9 40:23 43:4	best 11:21,25 156:3
68:17 85:5	badge 176:9	44:23 50:4 53:5	beth 88:1,5,7
86:23 100:16	bandwidth 84:21,22,24	54:6 63:20,23	bigger 18:21
159:12 160:22	86:12,16,24	73:3 77:19 78:8	73:10
160:24 161:2	90:15,16,18	78:23 80:1	biggest 84:23
172:4 178:6	bans 158:4	81:22 83:5	86:25
avenue 2:7,11	baran 3:7	86:10 87:4	bill 40:1,19
2:15,19	based 31:5	88:12 91:3,19	141:24 142:2
average 126:12	56:13 76:25	93:19 94:11	billing 20:18
126:13,16,17	138:12 160:4	97:11 104:18	birth 8:22
aware 20:15	173:11	105:4,6 106:15	bit 8:10 41:23
42:12 45:8,15	basic 6:15	107:1 108:5,7	55:17 64:22
45:16,22 70:25	basis 129:17,22	108:18 109:1	92:4 108:11
71:5,8,15,20	129:24	110:20 111:4,24	block 125:1
91:22 104:16	bates 7:19,21,23	113:14 119:24	blockers 158:5
109:2 118:19	7:25 63:25 64:4	123:2 124:13,20	158:16 163:19
125:20,23,24	64:5 92:17	125:17 126:11	board 29:5,13
126:2 135:13	166:1 170:5,22	127:19 128:25	69:5
147:19,23 157:9	171:7	129:24 132:21	boards 28:14
158:25 159:2,13	beaner 23:7	132:23 134:8	bolded 168:4
159:16,22	24:4	135:22 138:1	bottcher 23:1
b	bear 56:23	144:18 146:3	23:23 24:2,11
b 99:1 162:12	bears 111:15	152:20 153:4	24:14 41:5,6
166:20	beginning 55:19	155:14,20 157:4	70:17 75:21,23
bachelor's 13:5	56:1 64:1	157:19,23	79:1 84:14,14
back 10:1 12:1	153:16	163:17 167:21	90:5 107:14
36:15 39:22	behalf 2:5,9,13	167:24 170:12	145:22 148:7,17
50:21 56:24	2:17,22 3:5,10	172:10 173:20	151:11
61:18 98:1	3:14	173:25	bottcher's 41:10
107:20 128:16			41:18 90:7

[bottcher's - change]

Page 186

<p>107:15,21 bottom 141:15 bound 48:18 brackett 84:3,8 84:17 85:11,24 86:3 87:1 89:14 90:3,25 94:25 108:15 132:7 148:3 151:11 170:9 break 12:9,11 46:1,6 90:20,24 106:20 143:15 145:25 brian 16:11,14 16:22 17:2,6 38:17,21 82:18 brickell 2:15,19 brief 11:11 46:3 90:22 106:22 143:17 175:7 briefed 106:12 briefly 46:5,9 brignardello 136:6 brings 8:4 brit 11:8 broken 18:22 19:13 brought 132:11 budget 33:6,14 151:1 building 122:22 bulk 76:13</p>	<p>bummer 92:19 bureau 13:17,17 13:22 14:2,13 15:10 17:6,9,14 17:15 24:1,17 28:3 37:5,7,9,10 37:14,23,24 38:6,14 39:6 40:17 44:3,4,20 45:6 48:5,11 50:22 51:1 57:2 59:23 60:1,16 61:4 69:15 70:1 70:13,15 71:4,5 71:6,17,18,25 75:20 78:5 79:18 83:10,14 84:17,20 85:6 90:8 92:5,5,6 96:13 101:3 103:11 112:13 113:5 119:10,17 119:18 122:3 140:23 141:3 167:8,15,23 bureau's 17:25 bureaus 37:16 37:20 38:4,10 44:25 busiest 90:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">c</p> <hr/> <p>c 2:1 3:1 6:1 calendar 124:20 124:22</p>	<p>call 35:15 called 7:19 52:6 108:6 170:21 calls 174:21 campbell 23:24 canadian 23:22 25:18,25 26:9 26:12,20 27:21 27:23 28:1 29:22 83:21 85:1 96:5,10,15 131:23 138:2 cantor 136:10 care 1:13 3:11 5:4 9:3,6 10:4 10:16 13:8,13 18:1,2 19:15 20:25 23:20 28:17 41:24 42:3,5,6,9,11,12 42:17,25 43:8 43:24 44:1,7 48:23 49:12 54:10 62:17,25 63:11 72:18 73:4 78:15 79:2 79:24 113:1,12 129:25 146:18 156:19 167:13 169:11 170:21 172:11,12 careful 138:8,19 carful 138:15 carolina 3:4</p>	<p>case 1:3 129:16 129:16,22,22,23 129:23 130:3 cases 21:23 categorical 9:3 10:3,21,23 27:4 27:15 55:15,18 55:20,22,23 56:4,17 categorically 21:7 56:20 categories 99:11 category 14:25 99:4 catherine 3:2 8:2 23:17 24:10 154:14,20 155:1 caused 8:20 cc 170:6 ccm 166:14,16 center 53:16 centers 153:22 certain 110:21 certificate 4:4,5 176:1 177:1 certify 176:7 177:6,11 chain 4:24 5:1 change 34:24 35:5 37:2 38:9 38:18 51:24 52:13,15,16,18 100:9 118:23 121:2 123:16 127:13 172:15</p>
---	--	---	--

[change - comments]

Page 187

<p>179:4,7,10,13 179:16</p> <p>changed 17:2</p> <p>changes 16:16 16:25 36:16 37:21,22 38:11 38:13,22,24 39:24 40:3 52:3 52:7,9,11,13,21 52:23 60:18 88:25 89:1 112:18 118:20 121:5 154:5 165:11,13 178:9</p> <p>chapel 3:4</p> <p>chapter 15:19 31:12 112:5 118:7,20 159:9 160:21 174:18</p> <p>characteristics 8:23 163:6</p> <p>characterize 40:16</p> <p>charge 107:21 107:24 108:8 131:25</p> <p>charged 141:7</p> <p>check 33:15 85:16</p> <p>checklist 4:20 104:24 106:13 106:25 107:6 108:1</p> <p>chelsea 2:10 6:21 7:4</p>	<p>chelsea.dunn 2:12</p> <p>chen 24:23 25:2 25:3,6,12,22 26:18 27:18,21 28:4 29:19,20 30:1 84:3,9 85:20 89:22 90:4 132:7</p> <p>chief 13:17 39:6 39:11 45:6 71:4 71:17,18,19 75:20 79:19 83:14 92:5 101:3 112:13 113:5 119:10,17 119:18 122:3 140:23 141:3</p> <p>child 59:17</p> <p>children 57:16 58:1 158:10,13</p> <p>children's 14:16</p> <p>chip 14:17 17:24</p> <p>chriss 2:6 7:9 149:8 165:22</p> <p>christine 23:4 24:3</p> <p>christopher 39:15</p> <p>chronology 132:9</p> <p>circumstance 71:11 127:22 129:19</p>	<p>circumstances 34:5 36:9 51:7 109:14 111:19 120:5 129:14 130:6 165:18</p> <p>cite 159:8</p> <p>citizenship 162:23</p> <p>civil 178:24,24</p> <p>claims 50:5,7 113:3</p> <p>clarify 75:13 91:7 140:8 152:11</p> <p>class 17:19 20:9 112:2</p> <p>classify 85:23</p> <p>clear 12:3 75:20 84:4 100:11 101:21 102:11 105:14 109:15 109:21 157:1</p> <p>clearly 105:18 105:21 144:19 156:1</p> <p>clients 78:19</p> <p>clinical 66:21 74:4,6,14,17 108:23 109:13 109:16,21,24 110:1 111:25 164:9</p> <p>clinically 164:1</p> <p>closed 116:1</p>	<p>closely 37:20 76:10 86:19 169:9</p> <p>cms 14:6 31:25 36:18 105:18 154:3,7,17,22</p> <p>code 20:19 22:8 112:4 166:18</p> <p>codes 20:18</p> <p>coding 21:21</p> <p>cogal 39:15 40:22 41:9,10 41:17</p> <p>cole 24:8 39:2 122:18 132:10 164:23</p> <p>collaboratively 95:20</p> <p>column 74:3,5 74:17,23 89:4</p> <p>come 56:24 80:23 81:23 90:14 138:7 140:25</p> <p>comes 19:12 48:14 107:7 151:17</p> <p>coming 10:10 83:11 168:16</p> <p>comment 117:6 119:4 147:8,12 147:24 159:6 165:2,7</p> <p>comments 126:16,21,22</p>
--	---	---	--

[comments - contained]

Page 188

161:4 164:11,18 164:21 commission 176:17 committee 35:14,16,19 72:19 committee's 72:23 common 60:17 160:11 communicate 38:9 40:22,23 48:16 82:23 146:14,21,25 147:3,15 160:13 170:15 communicated 48:10,12 133:23 communicates 154:3 communicating 43:8 48:9 communication 169:14 communicatio... 146:1,22 147:7 147:10,11 153:22 174:12 community 56:13 160:4 comparability 60:19 61:9 153:12,15,17	comparable 61:1 compendia 65:18,19 66:20 72:6 110:23 compendium 72:3 compensated 137:3,4 complete 51:15 79:15 completed 75:7 75:15 115:25 150:11,14 171:16 178:16 completing 84:22 completion 145:18 compliance 44:6 45:1,2 57:7 59:20 60:3 complies 57:5 60:6 comply 61:9 computer 9:24 54:9 concern 148:11 concerns 148:17 152:13 154:7 conclude 173:11 concluded 105:13 175:20 conclusion 159:18 174:22	conclusions 155:11 conduct 49:19 120:21 conducted 143:9 conducting 123:1 confirm 54:22 101:23 confused 55:17 168:16 confusing 160:23 conjunction 21:20 connected 177:14 consider 53:10 100:4 111:2 129:16,21 164:18 consideration 151:6 considered 58:2 84:16 99:22 100:2 102:7 111:17 178:17 considering 165:2 consist 39:20 consistent 65:18 consists 24:16 constantly 90:9	consult 101:25 139:21 140:14 141:1,11 142:14 156:7 175:5 consultant 133:6 134:16,20 135:22 136:7 138:1 142:15 consultants 126:11 consultation 101:1 102:17 134:24 136:25 138:20 139:1,11 139:18 consulted 40:3 139:15 141:6 consulting 70:8 133:8 134:21 136:12,23 139:10 140:10 contact 44:9 45:3 156:15 contacts 28:17 156:21 contain 18:4 44:18 53:20 59:13 68:8 145:2 150:17 contained 18:21 18:24 20:6 53:7 54:1 55:21 60:7 66:12 67:17,25 95:23 103:24 148:23 154:24
---	---	---	--

[contained - coverage]

Page 189

174:15 contains 21:21 43:2 content 53:17 53:21 67:21 101:17 120:5 147:16,20 contents 80:21 159:10 context 60:22 74:10 168:12 continue 172:19 174:7 continued 97:9 continues 64:3 64:18 continuing 73:14 continuity 172:10,12 continuous 41:3 162:13 contract 23:21 26:16 28:16,17 28:23,25 29:1 42:10 44:4,5,8 45:20,25 46:19 48:5,9,22 49:4,7 50:4,6,9 62:19 172:13,16,16 174:13 contracted 49:12 136:15 contractor 28:18 63:11	133:11 contracts 17:16 18:1,1 38:6,8 42:4,9 44:15 45:2 49:12 78:14 136:14 156:19 contractually 43:12 contrast 20:25 control 73:8 conversation 40:4 41:22 81:12,19,24 83:3 120:7 132:11 142:13 142:22,25 143:2 143:4 156:4 163:15,17 173:4 173:25 conversations 106:11 116:3 132:4,6,12 134:1 148:10 151:24 154:2,12 154:17,22 155:14,15 coordinate 37:2 37:12,16 39:10 39:11,16 48:1 coordinating 41:9 coordination 41:10	copies 178:14 copy 9:13,19 42:16 58:21,23 58:25 64:2,5,5 65:4 149:7 175:18 correct 29:2 45:24 51:3 58:14 70:3 73:5 99:8 101:4,9 103:14 104:13 108:4,16 111:16 114:22 116:11 120:10 128:1 131:3 134:7 140:11,15 146:2 148:4 151:19 157:2 158:6,8 158:13,19,20 163:1,23 164:7 173:8,15 cost 150:21 counsel 2:6,10 5:9 36:2 55:3,17 55:21 88:14 127:2,6,10,15 127:18,21,25 132:11 146:2,8 146:10 152:23 152:24 153:3,5 153:9 155:15,23 156:4,8,15,21 158:25 160:20 163:18 172:24 175:3 177:12,14	county 176:4 177:3 couple 43:17 96:4 98:25 127:12 160:2 course 127:25 court 1:1 6:2 8:12 11:21 12:4 13:9 64:3 128:15 165:25 175:11,14,17 cover 22:12 31:16 32:19 33:3,24 36:8,13 42:22 43:3 56:8 56:12,15 65:10 65:14 73:16,19 73:24 100:19 105:18 111:16 111:20 130:6,19 158:7 161:8 162:13 coverage 5:2 17:20,21 18:3,4 18:16,17,19,20 18:24 19:6,6,10 19:13,15,16,17 19:19,20,22,25 20:4,8,12,13 21:20 22:1,16 22:18,25 25:13 25:17 27:6 28:9 28:15 30:8,9,13 30:18,22 31:1,6 31:8,10,16 32:4
---	---	--	--

[coverage - day]

Page 190

32:9,10 33:5,7 33:11,12,20,23 34:7,11,16 35:21 36:6,20 37:1,17 39:10 41:4 42:7,8,17 42:23 43:9,11 44:12 47:8,11 47:13,17,17,24 48:2,19,23,25 49:7,15 50:2,21 50:24 51:2,18 51:22,25 52:5 52:19,23 53:1,8 53:11,15,24 54:1,4 57:1,8,9 57:10 58:1,17 59:9,24 60:3,6 60:10,13 61:5 61:13,14 62:9 63:5 64:15 65:3 66:24 67:17,25 68:3,7,23,24,25 69:5,8,10,10,14 69:17,23,23,25 71:10 76:8 77:12,13 98:3,6 99:22 100:2,8 101:4,5,9,12 102:7 103:13 105:7,10,13,19 105:22,24 109:14,15,15,25 110:17,21 112:1 112:16 116:24	120:25 121:9 128:5,12,19 129:1,8,15,20 130:1,4,5 144:20,22 145:2 145:3 151:5 152:5 153:19 154:6,9 156:1 157:1,5,7,18,18 157:25 158:5,15 158:18 161:13 161:17,19,22,24 161:25 162:3,5 162:19 163:13 163:20 166:12 169:2,16 171:1 173:14,18 174:7 covered 22:14 32:11 43:11 53:25 69:18 100:5 106:7 110:11 128:24 129:4 130:21 152:6 172:18,19 covering 44:2 74:1 129:10 covers 21:3 30:10 158:7 create 44:11 created 88:23 121:19 159:18 160:3,9 criteria 14:24 17:22 18:10,12 21:17 43:2	45:18 47:24 48:2 49:15 54:11,12,15,17 67:4,7,9 68:18 68:20 73:17 86:12,13 166:7 166:9,11,15 168:10 criteria.shtml. 55:11 cross 4:14 63:23 64:6 87:10 89:15 91:3 108:16,21 crossed 88:5 current 13:7,16 16:9 17:12 24:8 32:4 105:22 116:20 174:1,3 currently 6:25 16:11 17:3 22:17 24:19 25:14 31:20 67:9 75:11 82:17 93:3 105:17 107:17 107:23 108:4 114:5 167:12 cut 92:17 cv 1:3 d d 4:1 6:1 73:12 d.d. 25:1 84:3 84:10,11,19 86:19 104:12	140:1 141:22,23 142:2 170:6,8 170:11 172:8 173:5,6 d.d.'s 85:12 dalton 1:10 4:2 6:6,11 12:19,20 43:19 46:5 54:14 56:3,24 64:14 105:3 175:9 176:7 177:7 178:4 179:1 data 38:2,2,15 65:17 date 1:11 87:14 89:3 96:23,25 96:25 97:7 120:15,16 167:10,25 173:17 178:12 179:23 dated 4:22 63:23 149:17 168:1,2 170:8 177:17 dates 117:11 137:19 day 79:20,20 81:14 83:10 85:3,3 97:3,5 153:16 156:6,6 156:11 170:10 172:9,20 173:2 173:3,22 176:10
---	--	--	--

177:17	126:20,25	90:6 104:23	58:5,7,12,15,17
days 81:14	128:15,22	105:13 106:13	58:19 59:4,12
156:12,16	131:19 132:24	106:17,25 107:6	61:19 62:3,8
172:19 178:17	133:3 135:12	107:25 112:9,11	63:7,13 64:18
deal 64:10	137:1 138:23	113:24 135:6,9	66:12,16 77:16
dealing 98:16	139:9,14 140:9	139:6 140:13,16	99:5 108:24
debriere 2:2,4	140:22 142:6	140:17,19	127:13 130:22
4:3 6:10,21 7:4	143:18 144:11	141:11,12	130:23 164:1
7:7,10,15 8:4,8	144:13,23 149:7	148:21 150:5,11	definitions
8:12,14 9:11,18	149:10,13,16,23	150:14 151:2,23	47:20 48:15
9:22 10:2,9,14	150:3,9 151:8	152:1 153:23	62:10 66:16
10:17,20 11:7	153:8,17,21	155:17 157:4	degree 13:4,5
13:9,15 21:10	156:5 158:3	165:13,17	dekker 1:4 11:8
21:14 43:16,18	159:21 161:15	decisionmaker	178:4 179:1
45:18,21 46:4	161:18 164:16	50:23 51:6	delay 27:25
49:5 55:5,8,13	165:19,24 166:5	decisions 27:6	168:22
55:14,20 56:2	169:15,20,22	112:13 131:15	delivered 47:2
57:21 58:11,20	170:3,19 171:2	156:7 157:18	delivering 62:24
59:8,11 61:22	171:5 173:1	declare 179:20	delivery 20:22
62:2,6 63:21	174:23 175:5,8	deem 59:17	21:1 42:5
64:13,21,24	175:16 178:1,1	111:6,10	dental 145:24
65:6 71:13	decide 30:25	deemed 162:20	deny 59:16
73:22 77:23	32:23 33:24	def 7:22 166:2	department
78:2,9 88:16,19	124:21 135:7	170:5,22 171:7	15:8 146:15
88:21 90:23	decided 143:19	defendant 1:8	depend 36:3
91:9,12,14	148:22 151:14	64:1	43:10,23 44:23
92:14,19,23	151:21 155:11	defendants 3:10	51:24 61:11,16
93:25 94:4,12	163:16	3:14	102:10 123:15
94:13 98:19,24	decides 161:8	define 72:5	depending 34:6
99:17 100:18,23	deciding 85:13	defined 8:20	34:24 38:9 54:2
101:15 103:1,6	86:5 157:16	55:18 99:1	depends 31:2
104:22 105:2	165:8	definitely 43:16	35:13,25 36:9
106:19,23	decision 4:20	71:8	39:18 41:2 51:7
108:20 111:14	34:18 35:15	definition 8:25	57:9 76:17
123:17 125:15	38:23 40:15	47:15,19 55:25	112:22 117:8

[depends - direct]

Page 192

118:15 120:5 161:11 165:17 deponent 5:9 deposed 11:10 deposing 178:13 deposition 1:10 4:11 5:10 6:11 7:25 13:10 46:6 55:19 102:21 105:23 175:20 177:7 depth 121:5 deputy 16:21 17:4 81:6 82:8 derivatives 34:11 describe 13:2 17:12 18:23 26:4 39:16 92:4 112:10 116:23 described 102:9 120:24 162:1 describes 18:6,8 105:20 description 18:14 20:18 21:23 70:23 122:15 descriptions 122:18 designated 24:13 51:11 designed 159:24 desk 114:15 138:7	detail 121:18 detailed 121:15 details 18:10 33:20 38:22 47:5 63:2 69:1 90:6 109:17 110:18 115:13 115:17 116:12 116:14 121:14 123:3 133:10 136:24 149:5 determination 32:10 33:12,23 34:16 36:7,12 36:20 50:24 51:6 64:7 69:15 71:7 105:7,10 105:14,19,24 111:15 112:15 151:5 168:6,8 169:4 determinations 35:21 37:1,17 39:11 50:21 51:2 determine 20:13 32:10 34:18 43:2 60:6 65:2,9 69:9,10 95:15 105:6 109:12,19 110:6,10 120:15 determined 33:2,3,9 58:9 77:13 120:4,7 156:2 165:10	determining 19:4 20:4 31:6 33:11 37:25 50:2,15 69:12 70:2 106:9 109:3 111:1 151:5 develop 26:7 28:8 30:7,13,18 31:23 58:4 69:14 83:16 101:5,8 139:20 160:14 developed 31:13 32:2,4 68:23 71:15 72:17 93:9 96:11 105:6 119:8 121:13 developing 22:18 27:6 31:7 31:14,15 37:17 51:22 57:8 60:3 60:9 61:5 69:25 73:1 77:6 101:4 131:12,14 156:2 development 15:19 17:20 31:23 32:5 38:3 40:2 59:24 76:13 83:23 114:13 118:10 118:12,23 121:1 133:20 135:24 136:7 145:14	146:1,23 147:1 152:19 156:23 157:14 developments 69:2 76:7 develops 72:21 devices 99:3 devona 23:21 103:22 diagnoses 158:18 diagnosis 163:25 164:7,9 168:25 diagnostic 11:4 99:2 difference 105:9 118:6,16 differences 113:19 118:20 different 18:16 21:1 27:3 31:4 34:13 44:25,25 67:23 68:8,25 69:2,6 70:10 71:11 93:8,9 110:14 112:14 113:18,21 118:7 118:8,21 137:6 137:10,12,17,18 137:19 161:12 167:12 direct 4:3 6:9 16:10,11 23:12 23:14,15 65:11
---	--	---	--

66:4 71:22 82:2 141:22 143:12 149:2 175:8 directing 149:25 direction 78:18 directly 20:23 20:24 23:2 38:15,17,19 director 35:18 36:17 51:11 discomfort 8:20 discrepancy 8:21 discuss 7:20 39:21 41:18 46:6,8 71:24 76:2,20,23,25 163:10 discussed 7:16 72:1 81:3 152:21 159:11 163:14 discusses 42:17 discussing 29:7 76:16 92:1 113:6 115:9,13 148:7 discussion 81:8 81:17 116:1 120:2 152:2,8 152:17 153:4 155:23 173:13 discussions 34:22 39:20	75:8 115:16 143:11 152:12 152:23 153:9 distinct 36:6 47:10 distinction 155:8 distinguish 158:9 distress 8:20 district 1:1,1 division 1:2 35:15 37:20 38:10 45:1 167:13,18,20 dme 32:7,9,12 32:13 document 20:17 22:4 34:24 35:1 35:4,22,24 36:4 42:17,23,25 47:20 52:6,9 72:12 74:24 88:5,6,22,24 92:24,25 94:7,8 94:14 103:8 105:3 123:4,6 133:4 134:14 143:2,4 168:21 168:23 170:20 171:6,8,20 179:20 documentation 95:22	documents 12:25 18:4 22:9 35:9,12 43:5 60:9 92:6 93:9 93:10 115:22 116:25 121:15 156:18 doe 11:9 doea 15:20 117:15,17 122:6 122:8 125:16,18 doing 34:20 36:20 38:1 85:8 132:1 136:20 156:22 dollar 137:9 donor 34:11 39:25 dosages 66:19 dr 4:19,21 39:15 40:22 41:9,10 41:17 132:22 133:9 134:9,10 135:16,16,18,21 136:5,5,6,10 137:3 141:16 142:8 draft 69:23 88:6 171:9 drafted 43:5 69:17 91:2,5,8 114:22 115:5,8 115:12,14 118:14	drafting 18:1 52:4 99:14 117:17 148:12 148:18 drafts 51:19,20 51:23 52:1 116:24 drive 1:14 3:12 95:24 driven 33:7 105:20 130:2 driving 69:22 drug 23:23 25:19 26:1,9,12 26:20 27:22,23 28:1 29:5,23 49:23 54:4,11 54:12,15,17 55:10,11 65:10 65:15,17 66:10 66:20,20,24 69:7,11,13 72:3 72:11,13,14 73:11,23 83:21 85:1 96:5,10,15 108:25 109:4 110:16,21 111:2 111:3,5,6,9,10 111:16,18,20 131:24 138:2 drugs 30:16 54:7 65:3 67:6,9 68:11,20 70:7 72:17 99:4,10 108:12 109:7
--	---	---	---

[due - equated]

Page 194

<p>due 76:18 85:4 168:24</p> <p>duly 6:7 176:8</p> <p>dunn 2:10 6:19 6:24 7:5,8,12 8:2 58:25 59:3,7 92:16,20 149:14 169:18,21 171:4</p> <p>dur 28:13 29:4 69:4 73:1</p> <p>durable 32:14</p> <p>duties 28:12,19 28:23 38:8</p> <p>duty 19:16 56:9 56:16</p> <p>dysphoria 5:3 8:19 10:18,22 10:24 27:5,16 28:6 30:5 80:11 89:20 91:1,5,16 91:23 94:10,17 96:8,17 113:9 114:21 115:2 116:13 118:24 119:9,23 120:9 128:3,21,24 129:5,11 131:3 134:12 136:8 137:24 139:25 141:5 147:5 148:3,13,19 149:20 154:18 154:23 157:6,10 157:22 158:6,8 158:17 162:3,9</p>	<p>163:2,23 164:3 164:6 168:9,23 169:1,3,17 171:1 173:12,15 173:19 174:4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">e</p> <p>e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1 6:1,1 73:12 178:24,25 179:3 179:3,3</p> <p>earlier 51:1 70:15 87:15 91:17,21 92:1 100:13 105:20 105:23 108:11 110:24 114:24 145:2 163:14 168:1</p> <p>early 11:3</p> <p>easier 26:4</p> <p>easily 98:17</p> <p>easy 121:24</p> <p>editing 89:4</p> <p>editor 89:7</p> <p>educational 13:2</p> <p>effective 72:13</p> <p>effectiveness 150:22</p> <p>either 22:15 66:18 79:1 103:1 104:21 122:6 154:14</p> <p>elder 15:8</p>	<p>elica 168:2</p> <p>eligibility 14:18 14:20,23 19:4 21:17 50:15 73:17 76:9 162:22</p> <p>eligible 15:2</p> <p>elliott 167:9,12</p> <p>email 4:24 5:1 81:3 124:13 131:2,4 165:21 165:22 167:10 168:2 170:4,5,8 171:24 172:7 173:17 178:13</p> <p>emailed 178:15</p> <p>emailing 166:6</p> <p>emails 124:17 169:19</p> <p>emergency 162:14,17,18,20 162:21</p> <p>employed 121:7 121:10 126:8</p> <p>employee 122:6 177:12,13</p> <p>employees 126:10 144:16</p> <p>encompasses 10:6</p> <p>endeavor 71:20</p> <p>ended 59:8</p> <p>engage 131:2</p> <p>engaged 25:22</p>	<p>english 24:5 79:1 90:17 151:12</p> <p>enrollee 42:20</p> <p>enrollment 14:17 76:9</p> <p>ensure 46:22 57:7 60:13 71:20 76:11 161:2 173:25</p> <p>ensuring 56:25 57:4 60:2 61:8</p> <p>entail 14:3,14 33:18 110:3 121:17</p> <p>entails 28:12 109:18</p> <p>entered 98:19</p> <p>entire 90:11 94:8</p> <p>entitled 64:6 94:7</p> <p>epsdt 11:2,5 57:1,5,11,13,18 58:10 59:16,21 59:24 60:3,6 130:19,21 152:17 153:10</p> <p>epsdts 155:6</p> <p>eqhealth 46:15 47:23 48:5,17 63:16</p> <p>equally 158:12</p> <p>equated 22:8</p>
---	--	--	---

[equipment - experimental]

Page 195

equipment 32:14	examined 6:7	exclusion 9:3,4	92:21 94:1,2
errata 4:6	example 19:9	9:5 10:4,21,23	103:3,4 104:23
178:11,13,16	32:1,3 33:5 34:1	27:5,15 28:5	104:25 128:9,10
escorted 122:21	34:8 35:13	30:4 55:15,18	132:20,25 133:1
especially 71:7	36:11,15 39:22	55:21,22,23	134:9,14 141:16
113:2 123:21	45:25 47:14	56:4,18 119:22	149:11,15,17,21
esquire 2:2,6,10	48:14 51:9 56:4	120:8 128:2,4	165:20 166:1,3
2:14,18 3:2,6,11	56:5,6 68:5 70:9	128:13 134:11	169:24 170:1,17
178:1	90:17 91:3	136:8 144:15	170:21
establish 32:8	100:13 105:16	147:4 153:24	exhibits 4:9,10
157:24	105:24 108:14	154:23 157:17	7:16,18 169:23
established 96:6	108:16,18	158:12,23	exist 128:12
estradiol 73:12	110:13 121:2	161:25 162:2,7	130:5
73:15,18 74:15	124:23 160:3	162:24,25	existing 98:3,6
et 1:4	161:23 162:12	163:12 164:6	144:20 157:7,9
events 131:22	examples 39:23	169:12 174:15	157:18
everybody 6:20	100:17 156:20	exclusions	exists 43:25
96:24 122:21	160:2,14,16	18:15 128:7	expectation
evidence 98:20	161:21 163:5	161:12,14	60:11,15 63:3
exact 14:22	exceed 137:8	excuse 73:17	134:3 140:25
16:17 17:1	except 96:24	94:12	141:5,9
58:15 62:19	97:1 162:23	executive 143:8	expected 49:13
78:18 102:10	exchange	143:10	expedited 78:23
113:11 131:22	134:19 165:22	exhaustive 20:6	113:13,17
143:1,1 167:25	168:1 172:7	20:7 54:17,23	155:16
172:16,21	exclude 21:7	exhibit 4:11,12	experience
exactly 33:21	56:20 100:5	4:13,14,15,16	40:17 87:1
39:5 83:10	119:9 158:17	4:17,18,20,21	89:22 90:1
134:2 136:14	164:5 173:18	4:22,24 5:1,2,4	98:12 104:7
151:25 161:23	excluded 110:7	7:25 8:6 9:18,20	112:12 117:22
167:4	162:9 174:7	58:22 59:1	123:8,18 125:7
examination 4:3	excludes 158:15	61:18 63:22	127:1,5 159:24
6:9	excluding	64:11 66:13	experimental
	118:24 173:14	77:24,25 87:11	57:19,23 58:2
		88:4 92:15,16	58:14 59:14,18

[experimental - find]

Page 196

63:11 69:11,13 69:16 70:2 109:12,20 110:6 110:10 111:2,6 111:10 130:20 130:24 153:25 expert 52:20 61:15 97:17,20 120:23 121:3,9 126:3 132:22 137:2 144:21,24 145:4,7,10 160:23,24 expertise 40:6 104:8,13 137:21 137:23 experts 120:20 126:5,7 132:12 132:13,17,19 135:4,7,13 136:13 138:25 139:7,11,16,21 139:24 140:5,11 140:14 141:1,7 141:11 144:14 145:20 159:6,10 159:15 expires 176:17 explain 18:13 161:3 explained 90:3 156:1 explanation 134:5	explicit 129:15 129:20 express 148:11 148:17 extensive 86:22 extent 27:12 40:11,12 41:12 50:17 63:14 91:24 109:22 129:6 139:17 external 19:24 160:6,12 170:15 f f 73:8 face 115:6 facing 20:2 29:7 fact 4:18,21 73:15 85:11 133:4 137:20 factor 69:22 85:13 86:5 106:9 factors 84:16,23 86:15,25 157:3 157:4 165:16 facts 179:20 fails 178:20 faint 89:5 fair 168:24 fall 15:1 37:6 98:17 99:5,10 falls 37:13,14 familiar 24:23 55:1 56:5 61:1,3 61:4 68:19 77:3	77:6,7 78:25 99:7 169:5 174:17 far 20:10 108:10 124:11,17 fault 58:24 98:22 fax 2:4,8,12,16 2:21 3:4,9,13 fda 26:8,15 110:13,16,21 111:3,5,9,15,18 february 114:10 federal 14:6 17:15,17 26:6 26:14 28:1 31:25 33:19 36:18 51:10 57:5,12 60:7,11 60:21,23 61:10 64:15,17 68:6 69:21 72:7 83:11 105:18 110:20 111:21 111:23 153:18 154:13 160:5 178:18,24 federally 25:20 60:14 85:2 fee 19:25 20:16 20:17,20,21,22 21:2,6,15,19,21 22:3,4,5,8,12,15 32:7,20,24 33:25 34:3,14	35:5 37:25 46:20 49:24 62:24 63:15 73:6 76:10,13 77:15 113:1 feel 12:10 21:12 98:14 133:20 160:11 figure 10:11 filing 165:11 fill 95:13,14 106:16 filled 107:1 filter 52:21 final 36:4,4 50:22 51:6 92:8 97:7,9,12,15,20 113:4,24 114:1 164:19 165:1,6 168:5,7 169:4 171:13,19,21,23 172:1,2 finalization 114:11 finalized 79:19 112:13 114:7 115:25 152:1 finals 172:5 finance 37:23 38:14 financially 177:15 financing 76:12 find 81:1 112:3 161:24
--	---	--	---

finds 63:10 fine 10:13,19 88:19 98:23 130:12 finish 11:24 12:12 88:19 155:17 firm 146:12 first 6:7 19:11 40:1 41:20 44:9 45:3 48:14 76:18 85:16 94:6,14 99:1 141:6 143:6 fiscal 33:4,14 38:1 76:11 150:17,20 five 23:12 122:11,12 fl 178:14 flip 54:8 florida 1:1,15 2:2,3,7,11,16,20 3:8,11,13 5:4 13:4 17:18 22:18 41:24 42:13,16,18 43:2,9 44:2 49:20 50:3 51:2 56:8,15 58:6 63:18 65:2,9 67:5 68:6 69:3 73:16,19,24 87:19 99:22 100:4 112:4	117:22 128:24 129:5,10,12 130:19 137:16 141:21 146:15 162:5,8,13,22 170:21 176:3,6 176:16 177:2,5 178:18,24 floridahealthj... 2:4 178:1 focus 27:22 84:22 folks 6:22 7:12 follow 9:24 134:3 143:21 following 44:7 65:18 83:22 132:4 follows 6:8 67:7 foods 105:25 106:2,14 forbes 24:21 foregoing 177:9 179:20 forgot 84:9 158:21 forgotten 58:23 form 4:16,18,21 5:4 9:8 10:7 21:9 48:20 54:25 55:16 57:20 58:3 61:21,25 62:5 71:2 73:20 78:7 91:6 92:15 93:1	93:2,2,6,11,16 93:20,22 94:8 94:15 95:6,10 95:14 96:7 97:7 97:13 99:12 100:6,21 101:14 108:17 111:12 123:14 125:12 126:18 131:17 133:5,5,14 136:18,19,21 137:21 138:17 139:8,12 140:2 140:20 141:15 142:3 143:24 144:7,17 149:4 150:1,7 151:3 158:1 159:20 161:10 165:15 170:22 174:21 175:1 formal 35:6 40:14 42:25 49:14 140:3 142:5 formally 40:19 97:25 format 93:14 forms 93:5,8 95:18 138:6 143:19 forth 8:16 49:7 128:8 158:23 forward 85:3	found 22:6 66:19 68:5,9 four 163:4 franklin 3:3 free 12:10 21:12 front 45:23 77:21 153:9 full 126:10 144:15 function 46:19 functions 24:1 funding 143:7 143:25 160:9,10 160:10,18 further 18:13 102:12 177:11
			g
			g 6:1 8:13 gainesville 2:7 2:11 gap 82:13 gapms 4:14,16 4:17,20 8:9 24:12,13 27:13 27:14,19 28:5 30:4 41:7,11,18 63:22 64:7 69:9 70:4,6,11,16,18 70:20 71:1,15 71:17,19,21 75:5,11,22 76:2 76:20 77:3,10 77:16,18 78:3 78:11,21 79:6 79:19,23,25

80:4,9,10 83:22	148:3,12,18,21	164:5 166:18	go 6:15 7:24
84:6,13,15,19	148:22 149:3,18	168:9,23,25	11:11 21:10
84:22 85:8,8,13	149:25 150:11	169:3,17 171:1	34:20 39:22
85:17 86:22	150:14,16 151:1	173:12,15,19	54:9 56:1 66:3
87:4,8,11 89:14	151:7,10,15	174:3	69:1 80:24 94:8
89:19,23 90:1	152:9 154:17	general 33:7,8	96:13 105:10
90:13,18,25	155:12,16,19,21	33:11 36:2	107:4 110:15
91:2,11,16,20	157:21 159:19	71:12 95:17	116:25 160:15
91:22,24 92:2	garner 118:18	98:1 105:13	171:10 174:12
92:11,14 93:12	gary 3:6 10:10	162:4	goes 77:6 105:7
93:13,18,20,23	55:6 64:21 94:5	generalities	105:12 124:11
94:1,9,16 95:2,7	gd 173:7,10	109:9	142:9 169:1
95:11,16 96:1,7	gears 41:23	generally 8:15	going 6:14 7:15
96:16 98:1,2,5	gender 5:3 8:19	57:13 77:9	7:18,22 8:9 9:2
98:12,19 99:7,9	8:21,22 9:3,6	85:12 109:6	9:8 10:7,21 11:2
102:23 104:5,7	10:4,16,18,22	112:25 118:13	11:11,23 12:11
104:10,13,17,23	10:23 27:5,16	120:13	22:6 25:16,18
105:8,11,19	28:6 30:4 80:10	generated 107:6	31:11 39:22
106:2,3,10,13	89:20 91:1,5,16	getting 79:11	48:20 53:24
107:3,7,22,23	91:23 94:10,17	172:24 175:3	54:8 55:16
107:24 108:1,9	96:8,17 113:9	gg933698	61:17 66:9 71:2
108:12,15 109:3	114:21 115:2	176:17	71:24 72:9 73:7
109:6,8,10,11	116:13 118:24	giering 24:8	83:20,24 88:14
110:5,9 112:10	119:9,22 120:9	39:2 132:10	99:20 100:6
113:6,11,13,17	128:3,20,24	164:23	111:12 131:12
113:18,25 114:1	129:5,11 131:3	giering's 24:9	136:21 137:5
114:7,11,20	134:11 136:8	give 6:3 19:9	152:22 153:6
115:1,4,8,9,20	137:24 139:25	53:14 60:22	160:20 163:9
116:5,7,12	141:5 147:5	64:20 86:13	171:18
130:2,10 131:2	148:3,13,19	156:20	good 7:2 103:14
132:1 133:12	149:19 154:18	giving 108:14	104:3,6 115:11
134:22 135:14	154:23 157:6,10	glasses 167:3	governing 60:12
139:20,25 140:7	157:22 158:6,8	glucose 41:4	government
140:24 141:4	158:17 162:2,9	gnrh 168:22	17:17 26:6
145:15 146:2,16	163:2,22 164:3		69:21 83:12

108:7 governor 87:19 146:22 governor's 147:1,4 gperko 3:9 graphic 121:19 121:23 great 169:22 greg 1:16 176:6 176:15 177:5,20 grossman 135:18 grossman's 135:21 gs 89:7,11 guess 55:17 138:18 guidelines 44:11 45:9,18 60:2,5 60:11 157:7,18	handful 122:10 handing 94:5 170:20 handle 90:18 handled 105:8 108:15 happen 79:17 125:16 happened 119:1 127:23 131:21 169:14 happening 71:6 hard 98:14 harris 82:11 head 29:16 49:2 49:11 51:16 53:13,18 58:16 67:22 68:10 89:13 123:4 136:9,11 150:18 health 1:13 2:2 3:2,11 5:4 13:8 13:13 14:16 19:12,15 23:8 54:10 58:1 78:4 78:17 79:4,12 79:24 99:1,21 100:1 102:6 146:15,18 152:5 167:13,21 170:21 healthlaw.org 3:5 hear 104:20	heard 6:16 104:18 122:22 130:10 hearing 118:3 118:11,17 119:13 120:10 120:14 121:12 121:15 122:16 122:19 123:1,9 123:20 124:19 125:4,10,11,22 125:25 126:3,6 126:12,17,22 127:7,9,11,12 127:20 134:11 136:2 147:8,11 147:13,16,17,20 147:21,25,25 158:22 159:1,7 159:13 160:22 164:10,25 165:5 168:24 hearings 122:1 122:4,5,7,9,14 122:15 123:18 123:19,21 124:7 127:3 159:4 held 15:25 82:18 118:22 158:22 help 21:5 128:9 helped 26:25 helpful 7:14 77:20	helps 7:20 hesitating 79:14 hierarchy 92:8 96:12,21 141:19 highest 76:17 77:1 hill 3:4 historic 104:10 historical 84:21 86:2,18,24 91:10,19 hit 73:14 hold 15:22 holtzman 3:7 146:12 holtzmanvoege... 3:9 home 19:12,14 56:12 160:4 honor 83:16 hope 33:14 hormone 4:14 63:23 64:6 87:6 87:7,10 89:15 108:16,21,22,25 109:10 hormones 91:3 158:5,16 horrible 11:19 hospital 53:19 145:5,8,21 host 28:13 29:17 hosted 125:18 hosting 125:9
h			
h 179:3 habit 11:20 hall 45:7 handbook 19:5 19:7,9,12 31:21 31:22 53:4,5,23 53:25 54:2 124:24 handbooks 18:17,18,21,24 19:1,4 42:19,20 43:4 53:4,7			

[hot - information]

Page 200

<p>hot 166:20 hour 71:24 hours 81:14 126:15 172:25 175:4 housed 44:6 48:5 housekeeping 102:18 https 55:10 72:11 huge 64:10 huh 12:5,5 human 34:11 39:24 hysterical 91:15</p>	<p>identity 8:21 ii 108:7 impact 12:16 33:4 38:1 124:14 impacted 112:20 123:16 124:17 impacts 71:9 implement 25:18 26:11 40:3 101:8,12 140:18 169:12 implementation 26:15 36:6 85:4 112:10,15 implemented 60:21 174:15 implementing 27:11 44:12 important 151:2 160:12 173:22 importation 23:23 25:19 26:1,5,8,10,12 26:20 27:22,24 28:2 29:23 83:21 85:1 96:6 96:10,16 131:24 138:2 improvement 46:10,14 inbox 78:5,6,17 79:4,12,24 80:2</p>	<p>include 22:5,18 34:17 41:7,11 47:17 56:25 57:11 58:8 69:15 70:2 94:9 100:8 116:21 121:25 122:20 146:8 152:23,24 153:3 161:14,22 161:25 162:5 163:9,20 170:10 included 22:15 32:11 34:14 97:18 120:16 123:4 126:6 150:24 152:3 161:9 163:13 168:3 173:3,22 includes 26:14 32:15 52:14 58:13 63:7 104:12 130:23 including 36:2 69:4 91:18 145:24 162:5 inclusive 20:3 53:10 incorporate 32:24 33:24 34:3 42:13 47:13 62:9 incorporated 32:19 42:8 47:21</p>	<p>incorporates 48:23 incorporating 57:11 77:15 incur 142:2 index 4:9 indicate 97:1 134:15 indicates 133:13 indicating 133:5 indications 66:19 individual 44:5 75:25 76:24 102:24 individuals 22:22 43:22 56:9,16 121:10 125:11,21 inform 113:21 informal 40:18 43:1 information 18:5,6,23 19:5 19:10 20:18 22:14 43:1,4 49:11 61:6 65:13 67:1,15 67:16,24 68:5,8 68:9,17 95:23 137:14 148:25 160:7,9,12 161:9 162:5 170:15</p>
i			
<p>ibudget 31:21 36:16 39:24 124:24 idea 89:9 135:1 135:3 identification 8:7 9:21 59:2 64:12 78:1 92:22 94:3 103:5 105:1 133:2 149:22 166:4 169:25 170:2,18 176:9 identified 84:8 134:6 148:2 identify 35:4 80:14,19 83:24 84:2 96:2</p>			

[ing - keep]

Page 201

<p>ing 170:6 initial 81:19 initially 69:22 initials 89:7,11 89:12 94:20 initiate 142:5 initiated 77:13 77:18 78:3 98:2 140:4 initiating 139:4 inpatient 34:12 34:12 53:11,19 145:8,11,20 input 40:7 118:19 instance 32:8 109:2 146:6 151:18 instruct 153:6 155:24 instructed 95:13 instructions 6:15 11:11 insurance 14:16 interaction 156:15 interested 177:15 interim 87:23 internal 107:11 107:13 123:2 135:6 166:7,9 166:11,15 168:9 168:21</p>	<p>interplay 70:9 73:25 interpret 96:18 99:15 101:19 106:24 interpretation 99:25 100:25 101:1 interpreted 101:13 introduce 6:20 introduces 6:22 investigation 84:6 invite 124:6,9 124:25 invited 124:24 125:2 invites 124:19 124:20,22 invoice 136:12 138:1,9,11 invoices 137:6 141:21 involve 26:20 50:15 66:6 involved 25:2 27:4 31:14,15 36:19 39:23 40:5,14 41:12 41:15 50:12 75:5 89:14 91:22,25 119:19 119:21 120:1,3 132:8,22 135:18</p>	<p>138:20 143:14 145:14 146:5 155:17 158:22 165:16 involvement 40:13 89:17 involves 44:1 issue 113:15 157:2 160:17 issues 6:15 items 32:9</p> <p style="text-align: center;">j</p> <p>j 2:2 178:1 jacksonville 2:3 james 136:10 january 1:11 15:6,12 36:24 36:25 72:13 75:2 176:8,10 177:17 178:3 jason 1:7 16:13 81:5,23 170:6 jason's 81:22 jeff 24:5 151:12 jeffrey 79:1 jennifer 2:18 7:9,10 jesse 22:25 23:23 24:2,14 41:5,6 70:17 75:21,23 76:2,6 76:15 79:1 84:13,14 90:7 104:21 107:14 107:15,21</p>	<p>145:22 148:9,11 151:11 jesse's 70:21,24 70:25 71:8,14 71:18,20 76:4 jessica 24:21 job 28:12 70:23 83:13 115:24 122:15,17 156:7 156:22 178:4 179:1 john 23:5 24:3 145:22 johnson 93:21 93:22,23 joined 6:25 8:2 88:10 josefiak 3:7 july 158:22 159:13 164:10 164:25 165:5 june 15:21,23 94:9,11,12 96:24,25,25 114:8,20 148:23 149:18 justice 2:2 justin 87:24</p> <p style="text-align: center;">k</p> <p>k.f. 11:9 katherine 2:2 178:1 katy 11:7 keep 71:5</p>
---	---	--	---

kelly 24:21 kentucky 13:6 kidder 88:1,5,7 kids 159:22,22 kind 14:3 18:21 21:24 33:11 40:6,7 83:13 86:24 133:8 134:18 kinds 154:3,5 king 168:3 knew 86:20 89:18 138:21 142:14,19 144:8 know 7:6 8:10 11:5,10,14 22:13 24:5,20 25:16 27:12,12 30:6,20 33:6 38:22,25 40:3,4 40:9,11,12,18 40:20 41:12,16 41:24 42:15 44:13,14 45:11 45:12,12,24 46:11,18 47:5 48:10 49:1,10 49:16,18,21,25 50:1,1,5,8,17,18 50:20 53:17,21 53:25 54:19,20 55:6,15 57:22 59:22 60:8,20 62:1,22 63:2,3 63:14,16,20	65:22,23,24 66:8,25 67:1,11 67:12,21 68:2,9 68:14,15,21 69:1,12,13 70:9 72:3,6,14 73:3 73:21,25 74:7,8 74:9,18,20,22 75:1,10,11,19 79:9 80:2,8,25 85:10 87:13,19 88:10,25 89:11 89:13,17,18,21 89:24 90:2,15 90:16,17 91:10 91:24 92:20 93:24 94:19 95:5,12,17,20 95:21 96:3,12 96:21 98:7,8,11 99:6,13 100:22 100:24 102:25 103:16 104:5 105:5,12 106:18 106:24 107:4,5 107:8,9,10,10 107:11,12,16,18 107:25 108:2,3 108:10,13 109:6 109:13,13,17,22 110:4,12,18,19 110:23,25 111:13,21 113:23 114:4,6 114:13,17 115:5	115:16 116:7,7 116:9 118:25 119:23 120:11 121:13,14 122:17,24 123:3 125:5,14 126:4 126:9,13,13,19 126:24 127:8 129:6,23 131:23 132:9,14 133:10 133:15,17,18,25 134:10,13,23 135:1,3,8,11,15 136:1,3,9,11,19 136:22,24 138:25 139:4,17 140:13,16,21 141:13,14 142:9 142:17,18,21,23 142:24 143:13 143:20 144:3,25 145:9 146:4,9 146:20,24 147:14,22 148:24 150:8,12 150:15,18,24 151:4,4,7,9,10 151:16,24 152:4 154:1 155:4 158:2 159:2 163:8,21 164:1 164:10,12,13,15 164:17,20,20,23 164:23 165:9,19 166:10,13,17,19	166:20 167:5,18 167:22 168:12 168:19 169:6,8 169:9 171:15 172:14 174:9,10 174:11,14,16 175:2 knowing 108:9 knowledge 15:13,16 16:3,5 41:14 61:15 84:21 86:2,19 86:21,22,24 89:18 91:11,15 91:19 104:10 109:8 121:5 145:16 154:21 155:2 157:8 159:17 161:1
I			
			I 2:10 5:7 73:12 label 67:6,10 labeled 165:20 labeling 66:20 laid 60:20 105:22 lakeva 23:24 language 15:19 28:16 34:10 49:1,10 57:5,11 57:12 62:19 78:15 98:25 103:24 118:13 128:25 129:3 137:18 156:1,2

159:8 168:4 169:1 170:10 172:9,16,20 173:2,3,22 large 59:9 lately 41:2 law 3:2 146:12 laws 101:25 layout 121:20 lead 48:8 74:21 leadership 35:18 36:2 75:16 83:12 134:2 142:23 143:8,10,25 144:1 leave 92:6 led 84:13 left 167:22 legal 2:6,10 100:25 102:2,17 127:2,6,10,15 127:18,21,25 132:11 146:2,5 146:8 156:7,15 156:19,21 158:25 174:21 178:23 legislation 40:1 legislative 33:2 33:13 34:9 40:1 83:12 length 86:11,16 126:12 162:16 164:20	leon 176:4 177:3 leslie 167:1,3 168:8 letter 4:7,22 81:2,7,10,11 83:2 131:10 149:1,6,16,24 150:5 level 31:23 40:17 89:17 levels 96:13 lied 73:15 life 31:23 lifescience 26:16 likely 40:22 43:12 44:9 limit 52:8 limited 98:13 104:7 109:8 132:6 linda 166:23 line 7:12 8:3 73:13 96:5 163:22 179:4,7 179:10,13,16 links 20:10 list 20:7 53:12 54:15,17,24 72:13,14,17 122:21 132:15 listed 21:2,15 72:6 73:12,18 73:23 88:3 96:19 128:5 129:2 132:19	137:2 144:15 153:24 174:5 listing 70:22 lists 65:19 67:7 literature 65:20 little 67:23 68:25 69:6 92:4 120:24 live 112:1 location 120:15 120:16 logistics 26:16 long 12:23 13:19 14:9 15:4 15:9,11 25:6 27:25 36:19 39:4 81:10,11 84:17,20 85:20 85:24 116:3 164:17 longer 19:7 167:18 look 33:12 59:12 65:15 68:21 92:18 94:6 102:23 171:6 172:2 looked 33:22 looking 34:7 53:22 54:9 67:15 88:24 94:14 95:21 96:22 128:8 136:18 137:5 150:16 163:12	170:4,7 looks 39:17 73:13 88:6 170:25 171:9 lot 18:19 28:12 61:13 62:8 85:12 90:8,13 95:19 115:22 126:21 140:6 164:15 lunch 88:15 102:18 lupron 168:24 169:2
			m
			m 2:6 8:13 made 34:16 38:23 52:11 71:7 76:11 112:16 135:9 140:13,16,17 151:23 159:2 165:14 168:6 169:4 maf 1:3 magellan 4:24 28:18 29:2 49:17,19,22 50:1 165:21,23 166:6,11 168:3 168:17 169:10 mahan 1:14 3:12 main 46:18 170:14,14

maintaining 14:5	156:19 168:14 168:17 169:11	166:1,3 169:24 170:1,17,20 171:11	mckee 3:2,5 8:2
majority 22:24 57:10 123:22 143:11 156:12	management 15:9,24 16:7 23:15 34:25,25 35:7,10 38:4,16 44:3,8,21 45:5 46:22 72:1 96:13,21 102:1	market 137:16	mco's 49:6
make 9:12,15 33:6,12 38:23 52:9,18 61:17 62:10 72:24 73:10 100:15 102:13,16 115:24 118:19 155:7 171:25	manager 14:1 23:6,7 28:21 70:17 81:3,4 84:10 90:10	marketplace 141:21	mcos 44:11 45:9 48:18
makes 34:15 47:4 62:13	managers 44:5 71:22 76:1,6 174:13	marstiller 4:22 80:17,24 81:8 82:23 83:2 131:2 149:1,17 149:24 150:4 178:4 179:1	mean 9:6 11:5 13:13,24 14:20 18:12 21:3,7,16 22:2 42:2 46:11 46:13,21,25 47:7 50:7,11 57:22 60:20 62:1 66:14,15 73:16,19,24 74:6 80:10,16 84:24 93:21 94:24 97:6 99:24 100:3 118:13 120:19 120:22 122:11 123:11 128:23 129:4 138:19 141:18 154:5 156:10 166:14 166:18
making 33:17 37:1 39:10 45:4 52:16,22 80:14 80:19 103:19,21 112:19 122:20 122:21 156:6	managing 26:16 48:8	master's 13:4	materials 44:17 44:18,23 45:4
manage 17:17 23:10	map 121:17 123:3	matson 23:5 24:3 145:22	mat 84:3,16 86:20,20 94:25 104:21 148:3 151:11 170:9
managed 17:25 18:2 20:25 22:25 23:20 28:16 41:24 42:3,5,6,9,11,12 42:17,25 43:8 43:24 44:1,7 48:23 49:12 62:17,24 63:11 72:17 73:4 78:15 79:2,24 113:1,12 129:25	maps 121:13	matt 84:3,16 86:20,20 94:25 104:21 148:3 151:11 170:9	matter 43:1 52:20 61:14 103:2 120:20,22 121:3,9 126:2,4 126:7 144:14,21 144:24 145:4,7 145:10,20 159:5 159:10,15
	march 176:17	maximum 74:5 74:16,25	meaning 168:25
	mark 7:15,17,18 7:24 9:18 58:20 58:22 63:22 77:23 92:15 103:3 104:23 132:24 149:14 149:16	mcgrath 23:17 24:10 154:14	means 41:25 55:15,18 74:11 74:18,22 102:8 164:9
	marked 8:6 9:20 59:1 64:11 77:25 92:21 94:2 103:4 104:25 133:1 134:8 149:21	mcgriff 165:21	meant 75:14 118:18
			medicaid 5:4 13:17,23 14:2,5 14:6,13,24 15:1 15:2,14 16:3

17:6,14,15,17 17:19 20:8,14 20:19 21:2,3,6,7 21:15,16 22:2 22:11,19 30:11 30:11,12,19,21 31:1 33:10,16 33:18 35:15,18 36:8,17 37:7,23 38:2,6,14,14 41:24 42:6,18 42:25 43:3,9,13 44:1,2 45:1,10 47:3 48:6,11 49:20,22 50:3 51:2,11,12 53:1 54:12 55:10 56:4,8,13,15,17 56:21 57:2,18 60:7,13,14,21 60:23,24,25 61:10 62:13,14 62:23 63:10,18 64:17 65:3,9 66:10,20 67:5 68:13 70:13,14 72:7,11 73:16 73:24 76:12 78:5 82:9 83:9 83:14 92:5 94:7 94:15 95:9 98:3 98:6 99:23 100:4 101:3 103:11 109:12 110:6,10 111:23	119:10 125:2 128:24 129:5,10 129:12 130:19 153:18,19,23 154:9 158:4,7 158:15,17 162:4 162:6,8,13,18 162:22 166:12 167:8,15,19,23 170:21 medicaid's 42:13 medical 8:16 32:14,15,17 39:11 40:8 47:15,19 58:5 58:12,19 59:13 59:17 61:19,20 61:23 62:4,17 63:7,13 64:18 65:20 99:2 105:24 127:13 130:22,23 168:15,17 171:12 medically 57:15 58:5,9 59:12 62:11,15 63:1 66:11,15,19 medicare 42:16 73:19 153:23 medications 12:15 meet 12:23 29:17 34:21	57:1 71:22,23 75:20,23,25 76:2 130:22 138:21 162:22 meeting 41:1 76:15,23 81:25 82:21 83:2 105:21 114:19 114:25 115:4 120:11,21 122:19 124:25 125:18 131:16 131:20 146:9,11 148:6 159:11 meetings 28:13 28:14 29:7,17 39:20 76:24 82:1 117:1 118:7,18 122:23 126:14 meets 21:17 33:19 63:12 105:15 member 42:19 members 29:24 95:12 107:15 147:24 memo 159:19 memorized 8:17 memory 12:16 115:11 meno 32:6,17,24 106:1,2,14 mention 104:18 104:20	mentioned 38:11 79:2 91:1 92:2 111:25 145:25 153:16 155:5 mentions 53:23 172:8 met 12:22 41:18 41:21 73:17 83:15 86:8 115:7 131:23 meter 4:19 103:2 134:9,17 135:16 136:5,20 136:22 137:3,13 meter's 136:18 method 62:23 109:11 110:5,9 methodologies 38:1 methodology 22:7,9 meyer 16:11,22 38:17 82:18 meyer's 16:14 17:2 38:21 mfmp 137:14,15 miami 2:16,20 7:8 middle 65:8 milk 34:11,11 39:25 million 6:16 mind 19:12 48:14 115:19
--	--	---	---

minimum 74:5 74:16,22	n	99:15 101:1 139:6 143:15 167:3 169:3	north 3:4
minute 106:20	n 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:7 6:1 59:8	needed 18:5 33:10 35:5 37:3 85:6 112:22 138:8 154:14 155:20,21 156:25 165:11	northern 1:1 13:6
minutes 12:24 126:14	nai 24:23 84:3	needs 21:22 36:1 51:8 105:10 160:24	northwest 2:7 2:11
mma 168:9,11 168:14	name 11:7 12:18 88:7 113:11 133:6 172:8 179:23	negotiated 143:20 144:4	notary 176:6,16 177:5
mmis 76:12	named 24:23 134:17	negotiation 28:24	note 61:17 102:16 167:10 178:10
model 21:1 42:5	names 24:20 53:6 86:9 132:14	negotiations 72:19	noted 168:9
mol 4:21 103:2 132:22 133:7,9 134:10 136:5 137:4 141:16 142:8	narrowing 152:2	neither 149:7	notes 36:22 177:10
moll 135:16	national 3:2	neutrality 33:15 151:1	notice 4:11 7:24 8:4 20:10 120:17 124:11 124:16 125:3,5
monique 93:21	nature 40:8 133:22	never 106:15 128:23	noticed 119:13 124:12
monitoring 41:4 57:3	necessarily 78:16 89:16	new 31:15,23 32:1 38:21 52:13 83:11 100:8,17	notices 117:2
monitors 38:6	necessary 57:16 58:5,9 59:13 62:11,15 63:1 66:11,15	neutral 33:6	notification 4:7
monroe 3:7	necessity 47:15 47:19 58:6,12 58:19 59:13 61:19,20,23 62:4,18 63:8,13 64:19 127:13 130:22,23	neutrality 33:15 151:1	notified 81:24 169:13
moore 166:23 167:2,3	need 10:9,11 11:20 12:9,10 18:7,8 25:16,18 64:25 71:8 73:9	never 106:15 128:23	notify 112:17
morning 7:2		new 31:15,23 32:1 38:21 52:13 83:11 100:8,17	notifying 112:17 113:24
move 7:18 12:4 85:2		nice 121:20	number 7:8,19 7:21,23,25 14:22 64:20 128:10 171:16
moved 19:5		noise 7:14	numbering 92:17
moving 19:10 50:21		non 5:2 169:16	nursing 19:16 56:9,16
multiple 51:19 51:20,23 52:1		norethindrone 73:14	
music 13:4,5		normally 127:6 146:6 168:14	
mute 7:12			
muted 6:25			

[o - okay]

Page 207

o	office 81:22,23 82:2 93:7 147:1 147:4	51:13,18 52:8 52:16,25 53:9 53:19 54:4,8,23	112:7,9 113:17 113:25 114:4,10 114:15,19
o 5:7 6:1 73:12	officer 39:12	56:7,12,15	115:11 116:9,17
oath 4:4 176:1	official 42:22 92:6 97:4,6	58:12,20 59:20 60:19 61:7,17	117:6,11,19 118:12,16,22
object 9:8 10:7 21:9 48:20 54:25 55:16 57:20 58:3 61:21,25 62:5 71:2 73:20 78:7 91:6 99:12 100:6,21 101:14 108:17 111:12 123:14 125:12 126:18 131:17 136:21 138:17 139:8,12 140:2 140:20 142:3 144:7,17 149:4 150:1,7 151:3 152:22 158:1 159:20 161:10 165:15 174:21 175:1	officially 13:20 51:9	63:21 65:14 66:6,18 67:4 68:11,19,22 69:20 70:6 72:3 73:1,7,11 74:3 74:14 75:17,24 76:20 77:3,9,18 77:23 78:10 79:2,22 80:4,7 80:19 81:17,21 81:23 82:12,15 82:18,21 83:6 83:15 84:8 85:20 86:11 87:23 88:10,13 89:4,19 90:16 91:12 92:11,14 92:24 93:16,20 93:25 94:21 95:2 96:1,4,22 97:12 98:1,8,22 99:20 100:24 101:19 102:15 102:15,23 103:1 103:10,19,23 104:5,16,22 105:9 106:9,16 107:3,15 108:11 109:11 111:1	119:4,17,25 120:22 121:11 121:22 122:13 122:24 123:8 125:2,9 126:12 126:16 130:4,12 130:16,19 131:1 131:20 132:3 133:13,19 134:5 134:14,18 136:1 136:4 137:10,17 138:6 140:23 141:14 142:1,11 142:16 143:3 144:5,11 145:10 145:25 146:11 148:11 149:13 151:9 154:16 155:5,11,16 156:10,10,13,24 158:15 160:20 161:2,7,19 162:2 164:10,17 164:25 165:19 167:6,9 168:1 168:13 169:11 169:15 171:2,15 171:18,22 172:3 172:7 173:13
objecting 10:15	oh 64:21 98:21 149:10		
objection 126:23	okay 6:14,18 7:10 8:18 9:1 10:17 11:1 12:1 12:7,15,23,25 13:2,21 14:25 15:4,20,22 16:2 16:24 17:6,9 18:17 19:18 22:2,17 23:13 24:15 25:12 27:14 28:4 29:1 29:4,12,15,19 30:3,25 32:23 34:15 35:9,17 36:11,22 37:5,8 37:16 38:11,23 39:1,7 40:10,21 41:14,23 43:14 43:16,17,19,24 44:11,16,20 45:8,22 46:1,10 46:21 47:7,10 47:14,23 48:7 48:18 49:6,9,14 49:19 50:14		
obligation 49:6			
obtained 143:8			
occurred 81:8 97:5			
occurrence 103:10			
occurs 118:11			
odd 64:9,9			

[okay - part]

Page 208

<p>174:14,20 old 52:12 117:10 once 25:19 34:16 112:9,15 114:25 ones 23:16 37:19 131:25 162:11 ongoing 71:25 onus 115:23 opened 48:15,16 operate 49:13 122:25 operating 104:16 operationally 74:7 operations 17:5 38:5,16 44:3,8 44:21 45:5 76:11 85:3 opportunity 78:18 opposed 152:10 152:15 option 123:12 123:22 optional 105:16 order 15:1 21:22 26:7 62:14,25 66:10 99:15 102:7 130:21 139:19 139:19,20 164:5</p>	<p>175:14,18 ordering 178:15 organization 46:14 organizations 46:11 organize 69:23 76:25 original 99:14 133:25 originally 39:25 outline 17:22 30:9 outlined 29:14 42:10 70:5 118:8 129:1 144:19,20 outlines 14:23 109:25 outpatient 145:5,20 outside 79:11 91:17 96:14 98:17 113:19 125:5 127:2,6 127:10,15,18,21 127:25 135:4,7 135:13 137:21 139:7,11,15,21 139:24 140:11 141:1,7 143:13 146:8,10 152:6 158:25 159:14 overall 33:2</p>	<p>oversee 17:14 17:18,20 22:20 28:13,15,17 57:2 101:5 overseeing 44:1 69:5 101:7 overseen 71:17 113:5 oversees 23:5 38:7 39:1 44:4 76:8 140:23 141:3 oversight 145:12 overwhelmed 85:8 own 42:6,13 44:11 45:9,16 47:24 58:5 64:2 owners 44:25</p>	<p>103:7 121:24 132:20 149:8 159:25 160:6,9 179:4,7,10,13 179:16 pages 7:20 64:14 65:12 160:3,15 177:9 paid 125:25 126:3 141:1 pam 45:7 pandemic 93:6 panel 159:5,15 papers 7:17 paragraph 143:6 parameters 21:23 44:17 47:2,7,8,16 58:8 172:21 pardon 73:8 part 17:25 19:8 25:25 31:21,24 32:12 35:19 38:3 58:7 60:10 62:11 70:4 79:20 82:3 83:13 84:4,14 99:13 100:10 102:17 115:24 122:15 133:11 134:22 136:23 139:18 140:7,10 141:10,12,14 145:17 146:3,4</p>
		p	
		<p>p 2:1,1 3:1,1 5:7 6:1 8:13 p.m. 1:12 175:20 pa 74:4,6,10,12 74:14,17 pace 14:7 package 38:3 95:1 97:8,10,19 page 10:1 19:24 54:9 55:2 59:4 64:20,22 65:7,8 65:15 66:9,9 74:3 94:6,14</p>	

<p>151:24 157:14 participate 117:1 124:25 127:21 136:2 159:15 participated 124:1,2,3 125:17 159:1 participating 110:1 particular 111:5,9 161:9 parties 5:9 177:12,13 178:15 partners 14:6 31:25 past 25:22 26:18 48:10,13 81:11 87:4 91:2,5 156:3 157:18,21 159:4 pay 21:16 141:24 payment 142:8 pays 20:23 pbm 28:18,20 29:1 pbms 28:23 pdf 72:12 pdl 72:21 73:2,4 73:15,18,23,25 pdl.pdf. 72:12 peer 65:19</p>	<p>penalties 179:20 pending 75:9,12 75:13 90:13 114:5,18 115:20 116:5 people 11:20 23:3 24:20 40:21 52:8 70:25 81:18 88:3 123:13,19 123:24 124:19 124:21 132:8 147:8,12,19 166:6 period 172:14 periodic 11:3 perjury 179:20 perko 3:6 9:8 10:1,7,13,15,19 21:9 45:17 48:20 54:25 55:3,7,12,16,25 57:20 58:3 61:21,25 62:5 64:20 65:4 71:2 73:20 78:7 88:14,17 91:6 94:11 98:23 99:12 100:6,21 101:14 106:21 108:17 111:12 123:14 125:12 126:18,23 131:17 135:10 136:21 138:17</p>	<p>139:8,12 140:2 140:20 142:3 144:7,17 149:4 149:12 150:1,7 151:3 152:22 153:1,3,6,13 155:23 158:1 159:20 161:10 164:14 165:15 172:24 174:21 175:1,3,10,19 permission 141:6 142:11 person 6:24 7:5 7:11 24:12,23 59:21 60:1 82:14 95:5,6,9 121:7,7 123:23 123:25 141:3 144:25 145:9,11 148:2 151:9 154:11 person's 8:21,22 16:12 personal 19:15 98:12 personally 30:24 48:3 55:1 125:8 127:17 132:16 138:19 146:17,25 147:3 147:15 150:10 154:1 176:7 persons 124:6</p>	<p>pertaining 18:15 petersen 136:6 peterson 23:18 24:15 41:1 54:21 66:1 67:1 67:12 68:16,19 74:21 88:9,10 99:19 167:7 169:8 170:9 pharm 72:12 pharmaceutical 110:13 pharmaceuticals 29:10 pharmacist 29:20,22 30:3 pharmacists 24:17,18 27:19 29:25 65:25 66:2,7 pharmacy 23:19 24:16 25:2,3,13 25:21 26:2,19 27:6,18,20,22 28:11,15,17,21 29:7 31:8 37:12 37:13 50:2 54:6 68:18,22,24 69:4,6,10 74:1 157:9 163:20 167:7,15 phone 6:20,23 phones 7:13</p>
---	--	---	---

<p>phonetic 23:4 23:24</p> <p>phrase 9:2,14 10:3,5,23 50:10</p> <p>pick 85:13 86:8</p> <p>picking 86:5,13</p> <p>pickle 5:1 23:21 25:1 84:3,10,11 84:19 85:23 86:3 89:25 90:4 97:2 98:11 103:22 104:13 132:7 140:1 141:22,23 142:2 170:6,11</p> <p>pillsbury 2:14 2:19</p> <p>pilsburylaw.c... 2:17,21</p> <p>pittman 2:14,19</p> <p>place 1:13 81:21 137:16</p> <p>plaintiff's 4:10 8:6 9:20 59:1 64:11 77:25 92:21 94:2 103:4 104:25 133:1 149:21 166:3 169:24 170:1,17</p> <p>plaintiffs 1:5 2:5,9,13,17,22 3:5 11:8</p> <p>plan 14:6 18:1 26:6 30:10,11</p>	<p>32:3 33:3,14 38:4,15 41:24 42:9,17,25 43:24 44:1,3,7,8 45:5 51:12 56:11,17 63:12 78:15 79:24 83:16,19,21 84:4 105:17 113:12 131:12</p> <p>plan's 45:2</p> <p>planned 44:20 127:9</p> <p>planning 120:13 121:12,14</p> <p>plans 42:3,6,11 42:12,19 43:6,8 44:5,10 48:23 48:24 49:12 62:17 72:18 73:4 78:14 79:2 112:18,18 113:1 113:24 129:24 129:25 130:1 169:11 171:12 172:13,15 174:6 174:14</p> <p>plays 50:2</p> <p>please 11:24 12:10 21:11 178:12</p> <p>pmt 72:19,23</p> <p>pnt 28:13 29:8 69:4</p>	<p>point 35:15 44:9 45:3 88:18 94:23 107:25 114:12 131:23 138:3 154:11</p> <p>pointed 160:21</p> <p>polacheck 23:4 24:3</p> <p>polices 18:19</p> <p>policies 17:21 18:3,4,20 19:13 19:14,19,20,23 19:25 20:1,2,3,8 20:9,12 22:18 22:25 25:13,15 27:23 28:9,15 30:8,9,13,18 31:11,16 42:7,8 42:13,14 44:12 47:8 48:19,24 49:1,3,7 51:18 51:19,20,25 52:4,19 53:1,12 53:15,20 54:5,7 57:1,3,8,11 59:25 60:3 61:5 61:14 62:9 63:6 68:3,10,23,25 69:14,17 70:1 76:8 100:8 101:4,6,9,12 105:22 121:10 128:5,12 145:2 145:13 157:10 161:14,15,17,19</p>	<p>161:20,22 163:10,14</p> <p>policy 5:2 13:18 13:23 14:2,13 14:15,17 17:7 17:14,15,20 18:2,16,25 19:6 19:6,10,15,16 19:17 21:20 22:1,16 23:19 24:16 25:3,3,17 27:20 28:11 30:23 31:8 32:9 37:7,12,13 38:16 39:19 42:23 43:13 47:11,13,18,20 48:11,15 51:22 52:5,12,23 53:8 53:11,16,17,21 53:24 54:1 57:2 57:5,9 58:17 59:9 60:6,10,13 60:18,18 61:9 61:13 62:10 63:4 64:15 65:21 66:16 67:17,25 68:7 68:18 69:8,23 70:14 71:4,10 76:9,9 78:5 82:9 83:9,14 92:6 93:1 94:7,15 95:9 98:18 99:15 101:1,3</p>
---	---	--	---

103:12 109:14 109:16,21,24 112:1,1 116:24 117:17 119:10 121:1 128:20,25 129:3,8,15,20 130:4 144:19,20 144:22 155:25 156:2,23 157:1 157:5,14,25 160:3 161:11,12 161:24 162:1,3 162:4 163:17,20 167:8,15,16,23 169:16,18 171:9 171:16 172:3 173:7 portion 10:6 portions 63:12 portrayal 65:2 position 13:7,16 15:4 16:14,17 16:18,19,23 23:21 24:13 25:6,12 38:21 39:4 70:13,17 82:6,10,15,17 82:19 84:18 101:23 107:23 108:3,6,8 122:9 167:22 positions 15:22 15:25 16:2,5 86:20	possession 123:5 possible 74:14 88:22 95:25 129:9,12 134:4 possibly 77:14 109:23 posted 124:12 166:8,16 posting 124:16 potential 71:9 potentially 124:17 practice 60:17 preceding 128:17 predetermined 65:17 preferred 72:13 72:14 preliminary 6:15 prepare 12:20 95:2,10 prepared 93:20 93:21,22,23 94:23,25 95:16 96:16 prepares 95:5,7 95:9 prescribed 54:12 55:10 66:18 72:11 108:25	prescription 23:23 25:19,25 26:9,12,20 27:22,23 28:1 29:23 30:16 49:23 54:4,7 65:3,10,15 66:24 68:11,20 69:7,11,13 70:7 83:21 85:1 96:5 96:10,15 99:4 99:10 108:12 109:4,7 131:24 138:2 present 121:4 press 160:17 pretty 138:11 160:11 preventative 23:6 preventive 145:23 previous 86:21 87:2,2 89:22,25 91:2,11,15,20 117:14 122:9 previously 18:24 38:19 84:12,18 90:12 90:24 100:5 107:19 172:18 primarily 22:24 28:12 70:18,19 71:19 75:22 84:13,18 85:7	85:17 93:7 118:9 primary 8:23 23:6 26:11 28:18 37:24 70:22 84:25 145:23 148:5 163:6 printed 92:17 prior 13:21 14:11 15:7 36:18 37:22 46:20,21 47:4 49:19 62:18 63:18 66:21 68:12 74:13 82:10,21 93:6 128:4,7,11 138:24 139:11 143:9 147:11,16 147:20,25 148:14 150:5 159:2 priorities 82:4 priority 76:17 77:1 private 19:16 56:9,16 probably 6:16 7:21 8:17 13:9 37:23 84:23 99:19 problem 11:17 11:23 12:11
---	---	---	--

[procedure - providers]

Page 212

procedure 104:17 178:24 178:24 procedures 99:2 121:14 145:3 163:5 proceed 77:14 process 15:18 30:25 31:4,5,7,9 31:10,20,24 33:11 35:6,7 36:19 39:17 40:5,7,15,20 41:7,11,19,20 41:21 43:25 47:5 49:14 50:8 50:13,14,17 51:15 52:4,24 60:10 62:18 63:3,17 66:8 69:5,9 70:4,6,12 70:16,18,20 71:1 74:10 77:4 77:7,8,10,12,16 77:18 78:21,21 78:24,25 79:10 79:15,20 80:5 83:22 84:7 86:22 92:7,10 92:12 98:2,2,5 98:13,14,16 100:10 102:23 104:11,14,17 105:8,19 106:3 106:3,16 107:11	107:13,22,25 108:1,9,12 109:18 110:14 110:15 112:10 112:16,17,19 113:17,18,25 115:15 116:14 116:17,21 117:1 117:3,18,21,21 118:8,10,21 120:13 121:13 121:16,17,21 123:2,3 125:6 126:9 131:14 133:12,19 134:3 134:22 135:19 136:24 140:4,7 146:5,6 149:3 149:25 150:11 150:14 151:7,25 152:9,14 156:4 169:5,6 174:18 174:25 processes 75:5 produced 176:9 production 111:2 products 32:6 106:8 professional 8:16 program 3:2 14:1,4,8,12,15 14:17,17,24 15:24 17:17,24	18:2 22:19 23:2 23:14,18,24 25:19 26:1,5,8 26:12,17,21 27:24 28:2 29:23 37:23 39:2 47:3 49:24 52:20 60:13,14 65:16 67:5 74:10 76:12 85:1,4 96:6,11 99:23 138:2 168:18 progress 116:2 project 2:2 41:3 136:23 143:9 145:18 projects 26:25 27:3 28:3 41:2 71:24 promise 87:20 promulgated 17:21 19:1,7 20:9 25:14,15 51:18 58:6,16 119:11 promulgates 17:18 promulgating 31:10,21 52:23 promulgation 14:7 15:18 16:6 17:18 35:6 51:15 116:25 117:18,20	156:17 proposal 26:5 34:22 161:3 proposed 36:3 40:2 52:7 118:11,14 119:13 121:6 prospective 63:4 protocol 122:25 122:25 protocols 121:11 provide 18:9 31:1 40:7 42:4 42:11 56:3 57:15,17 58:1 69:19,24 71:25 133:9 134:5,18 147:20,24 172:14 provided 20:24 63:5 100:14 130:2 133:11 134:23 138:13 138:13,21,22 147:8,12,16 162:21 168:23 provider 14:17 21:21 76:9 117:2 124:10,17 170:12,13,23,25 171:18 172:1,4 providers 18:5 18:7 20:23
--	---	--	---

<p>21:24 113:2 124:10 129:6 170:15 provides 18:6 20:17 providing 12:1 129:7 provision 38:7 57:4 161:5 172:17 puberty 158:5 158:16 163:19 168:22 public 19:20 20:2 28:13 29:7 99:21 100:1,1,3 100:25 102:6 112:17 113:1,22 117:1,6 118:7 118:18,19,19,22 119:4 120:8,10 120:11,21 122:19,23 123:10,13,18,20 124:7,12,16 125:3,5,11,18 125:21,24 126:13,21 147:8 147:12,16,20,24 147:24 151:17 159:6,11 164:11 164:21 165:2,6 176:6,16 177:5 public's 100:19</p>	<p>publicly 166:8 166:16 pull 64:1 149:14 puro 32:6,17,24 106:1,2,14 purpose 61:18 61:20,24 62:3 174:20,24 purposes 67:6 67:10 110:17 put 34:23,24 35:22 58:23 121:24 131:25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">q</p> <p>qio 46:15 49:12 49:17 63:16 109:17,19 qio's 49:15 qios 46:11 47:23 48:1,18 49:9 62:21 qualified 66:21 quality 38:6,16 46:10,14 48:6 82:9 167:21 quarterly 29:17 question 11:13 11:15,17,24 12:7,13 19:19 20:5 21:4 39:19 42:24 43:10,23 43:25 44:6 45:8 50:19,25 51:21 54:3 56:22 57:25 61:12</p>	<p>66:4 67:13,23 71:12 82:25 91:13 95:8 98:4 98:18 100:10 102:2,10,18 110:8 111:8 115:5 119:6,8 127:4 128:14,16 128:17 129:18 130:9,13,13,16 130:17 139:13 142:20 147:9 163:11,19 165:4 173:16 174:12</p> <p>questioning 6:17 questions 27:1,2 29:19 41:4 43:17,21 98:16 98:25 143:22,23 144:5,9 161:4 175:10 queue 115:22 116:8,10 quick 88:20 quickly 52:25 134:4 160:20 quintan 134:17 quinton 137:13 quite 8:10 17:1 156:20</p> <p style="text-align: center;">r</p> <p>r 2:1 3:1 6:1 73:12 179:3,3</p>	<p>raised 152:14 range 126:14 rates 37:25 reach 40:15 124:14 154:7 165:13 reached 131:15 reaches 112:9 reaching 151:1 read 7:17,19 33:22 54:2 55:4 55:8 64:25 65:12 92:18 99:9 128:15,17 175:11,13 178:8 179:20 reading 5:10 67:8 102:5 167:3 reads 74:4 ready 97:8 114:11 real 88:20 121:20 really 31:2 39:18 41:2 61:11,16 86:25 115:23 123:15 126:24 141:10 165:17 reason 12:9 52:13 68:3 75:1 96:1 103:10 115:20 138:10 138:15 156:25</p>
--	--	---	---

[reason - related]

Page 214

<p>178:10 179:6,9 179:12,15,18 reasonable 178:17 reasons 104:8 reassignment 162:25 163:12 rebate 28:24 72:18 recall 26:24 43:5 52:17 53:12 58:15 62:19 78:17 79:22 80:21 90:6 113:23 127:22 137:25 139:23 140:3 144:12 148:6,20 149:5 151:23,25 152:4,16 153:20 157:19 163:15 169:14 172:15 172:21,23 173:2 173:21,24 receipt 178:17 receive 18:9 21:24 60:25 81:7 126:17,22 received 79:10 79:16 82:22 83:1 100:15 101:21 102:3 103:12 114:25 131:1,5 160:8 168:24</p>	<p>receives 77:11 receiving 81:10 81:11 138:24 recent 16:16,25 19:9,11 32:1 37:21 38:11,12 39:23 79:25 80:9 recently 32:6 48:15 recess 46:3 90:22 106:22 143:17 175:7 recipient 21:17 30:22 49:23 57:18 62:13 168:25 recipients 14:24 18:5 60:25 110:1 125:3 recitation 66:23 recite 108:23 recognize 72:4 92:24 105:3 recommendati... 34:23 64:8 106:12 recommendati... 72:24 recommended 72:19 104:9 record 12:5 52:16 55:3 177:10</p>	<p>recount 115:13 115:19 refer 62:9 reference 10:14 13:11,12 42:9 47:13,21 48:24 57:12 58:21 62:10 165:25 referenced 91:21 105:20 178:6 referencing 153:15 referred 91:17 referring 10:4 10:24 28:24 65:12 92:10 116:18 118:1,4 130:13 155:8 168:20 169:7 170:11,23 172:10 refresher 11:12 refusing 79:15 regard 178:19 regarding 26:2 34:10 48:1 53:1 59:23 60:18 61:12 68:18 121:12 128:5,12 129:20 130:5 131:2 137:14 157:21 158:23 160:6 161:4 170:25</p>	<p>regardless 62:23 registration 137:14 regular 83:22 regularly 71:23 72:1 75:25 regulation 57:12 68:4,6 regulations 33:19 60:12,20 64:16,17 74:1 101:25 111:22 reimburse 20:19 reimbursed 18:7,8 21:22,25 66:10 68:12 126:4 129:7,12 136:1,6,15,16 reimbursement 17:23 19:25 22:7,9 34:13 50:12,14 62:12 134:19 136:19 137:21 138:10 138:12,16,25 144:3 174:1 reimburses 20:23 162:6 related 14:25 18:2 25:21 26:2 26:19 27:6,15 30:12 53:24 68:22 80:10</p>
---	--	--	--

[related - request]

Page 215

89:15,19 91:5 91:16,23 96:8,9 114:20 116:13 129:9 136:7 137:24 142:16 149:19 150:21 154:8,18 155:7 156:22 157:10 163:7,14 168:7 relates 59:24 110:23 113:8 relating 30:4 169:16 relation 16:18 relative 177:11 177:13 releases 160:17 relied 60:5 62:8 139:1 relies 20:4,13 54:18 109:11 110:5,9 rely 19:3 40:6 47:24 60:9 62:17 139:7 166:11 relying 139:24 140:5 remember 14:19,22 25:7 31:18 41:22 42:22 53:6 81:2 81:13,16,19 82:1,3,5 85:22 93:14 97:18,21	97:23,25 102:18 104:21 106:11 112:14 113:10 113:15 114:17 115:2,16 116:3 116:12 119:24 120:2,6,12 131:4,7,8,22 132:9,14 133:25 134:2 136:14 138:3,4 139:5 142:4,9,13,22 143:1,2,3,5,23 144:9 146:3,9 146:11 148:9 152:7,18 153:2 153:11 155:13 157:3 159:3 160:1,19 161:23 165:9 173:4 remind 45:6 117:11 153:14 repeat 50:25 51:21 56:22 60:4 68:4 82:25 91:13 95:8 98:4 110:8 111:8 114:23 119:6 127:4 128:14 129:18 139:13 141:2 142:18 147:9 163:11 165:4 173:16 rephrase 21:12	rephrase 11:16 replaced 88:7 report 4:14,17 23:2,15 27:13 27:14,14,19 28:5 44:25 63:22 64:7 66:4 71:15 79:25 80:9,10 82:2 83:23 85:14,18 90:18,25 92:11 93:23 94:9,16 95:2,7,11,13,16 96:1,2,7,11,16 97:3,11,12,15 114:20,21 115:4 115:8,9,12,14 115:18 116:2,4 116:13 132:10 133:21 135:14 135:24 139:20 139:25 140:10 140:24 141:4 143:13 145:15 146:2,4,16,23 147:1 148:3,7 148:13,18,23 149:19 150:16 151:15 152:12 152:19 154:17 155:7,9,12,22 177:7 reported 1:16 reporter 4:5 6:2 8:12 11:22 12:4	13:9 64:3 128:15,18 165:25 175:11 175:14,17 177:1 reporting 38:15 38:16,19 44:24 reports 23:12 23:15 71:21,23 75:17 97:17,20 113:4 115:20 116:5 151:10 157:21 represent 11:7 request 4:18,21 26:7 34:7 47:4 49:22 62:13 77:11 78:4,6,10 78:16,19 79:3,3 79:5,11,23 80:1 80:13,15,20,22 80:23,23 82:22 82:24 83:1,4,6 83:17 84:5,5 87:4,8 90:13 98:15 99:21,23 100:1,4,15,19 101:21 102:6,11 102:14 103:12 103:19,21 105:7 107:7 113:12 114:25 129:16 129:22,25 130:1 130:1 131:1,5 133:5,15 134:1 137:21 138:24
---	---	---	---

<p>139:3,4 141:15 151:17,18 168:24 requested 47:1 78:20 80:5 143:22 177:8 requester 52:14 requests 30:22 86:21 87:2,3 91:4 103:13 104:2 108:15 114:4 168:5 169:2 require 15:13 15:16 16:2,5 63:18 68:12 139:21 140:1,18 156:18 168:5 required 43:12 45:13 58:10 61:4 65:16 74:4 74:6,17 141:17 141:23 142:1 159:9 requirement 57:14 58:13 59:14 60:24 61:2,5,8 62:12 130:24 139:10 153:17 160:24 172:13,22 requirements 42:10 44:7 49:2 57:6 68:4 69:3,3 105:21 110:20</p>	<p>110:22 162:23 requires 69:8 rescue 160:8,18 research 26:25 27:3,13 33:21 60:18 66:4,6 77:5 78:5 79:12 83:22 99:16 140:6 145:17 researching 70:8 reserved 5:11 resource 40:12 resources 42:20 respective 5:9 respiratory 121:2 respond 159:6 161:3 responded 142:19 responding 12:7 response 130:16 responsibilities 14:3,14 17:13 22:17 25:13 26:19 29:13 34:17 56:25 70:1 76:4,14 92:2 115:24 116:20,23 117:15 121:25 122:20 responsibility 17:25 26:11</p>	<p>70:23 84:25 101:16 responsible 14:4,16 17:15 22:20,24 41:8 43:7 59:20,22 70:11,16,18,20 71:19 75:22 76:7 84:13,18 84:19 85:7,17 96:20 101:4,7 101:11 104:9 121:1 145:1,12 145:23 151:10 restrictive 48:25 retain 135:3,7 retained 159:14 retaining 135:13 returned 178:16 returning 93:7 review 12:25 29:5 35:23 36:7 40:19 43:15,21 45:1,19 49:22 60:11 63:12,14 63:15 78:16,19 78:20 84:7 97:22 101:24 114:16 116:24 117:2,6 138:8 138:15,19 156:18 157:17 157:21 164:18 177:8 178:7</p>	<p>reviewed 35:22 42:19 44:19,24 65:19 66:13 92:7 96:23 97:2 97:16,17,20,23 97:25 164:21,22 reviewer 92:9 reviewers 92:8 reviewing 34:17 36:12 39:19 45:25 65:8,14 69:7 165:1,6 reviews 35:23 revision 52:10 revisions 52:6,9 rh 1:3 richmond 2:3 rick 87:21 right 37:3,14 52:11 55:21 58:25 59:3 72:10 85:14 89:16 90:14 114:1 115:12,14 116:5,6,8,10 117:16 123:12 125:19 128:2,8 130:14 131:5 140:14 149:8 164:3 166:14 167:4 168:18 170:4 173:6 175:5 rivaux 2:14 7:2 7:3</p>
---	--	---	---

[role - secretary]

Page 217

role 8:23 13:19 13:21 14:9 15:11,13,16 16:9 17:2,3,12 41:20 44:22 50:2 72:23 73:1 117:10,12 119:17,18 135:21 roles 117:14 room 99:25 rothstein 11:8 roughly 131:7 route 93:3,15,16 156:18,19 routed 35:7,10 115:25 routinely 76:3 76:20,23 routing 4:16 35:6 77:7 92:2,7 92:10,11,15 93:1,8,11 94:7 94:15,25 95:6 95:10 96:7,12 96:20,23 97:4,6 97:8,9,13,19 141:19 142:10 rubin 24:21 rule 4:12,13,15 9:14 10:6 14:18 14:18,20,22,23 15:19 16:6 17:19,22 19:1,7 19:8,10 25:18	27:9,10,11 31:11 35:6 36:1 36:3 37:2 47:9 47:10,16,22 48:15,16 51:14 53:2 54:5,6 58:7 58:21 67:17 68:1 70:5 77:7 77:19,20,24 98:19 99:1,7,9 99:14 100:19 101:17 102:13 105:20 109:16 109:25 112:2,7 116:25 117:7,25 118:3,9,11,13 118:13,23,23 119:5,8,11,13 119:13,14,19,21 120:6,14 121:12 122:1,4,7,8,13 122:15,16 123:19,20 124:7 124:13 125:4,9 126:17 127:2,6 127:9,20 128:3 128:8,11 133:21 134:11 146:4 154:24 155:9,12 155:18,20,21 156:17,25 157:17 158:22 159:4,25 160:1 160:4,5,5,7,22 161:4 164:19	165:1,3,6,8,10 165:11 173:14 173:17 174:5,7 174:8,15 178:24 178:25 rulemaking 15:17 52:24 116:17,21 117:15,23 121:21 132:10 146:5 152:19 153:5 155:7 rules 14:7 17:19 17:20 20:10 22:7,9 33:10,16 33:19 37:2,5 39:1,3 47:12 52:22 60:12 63:6 67:21 74:1 101:8,12 105:16 112:20 119:5,19 120:3 123:5 178:18	160:21 161:1 162:24 166:7 168:4,8,21 scenario 102:3 schedule 20:16 20:17 21:3,6,16 21:21 22:3,4,5 22:12,15 29:17 32:7,20,24 33:25 34:3,14 35:5 77:15 schedules 19:25 21:19 37:25 76:10,13 scope 46:18,23 50:5,8 100:9,11 scott 87:21 scratch 73:17 screen 72:9,10 screening 11:4 scroll 9:23,23 74:15 second 53:14 56:23 73:8 76:21 99:20 101:20 102:5 111:25 152:22 155:5 175:6 secondary 8:23 163:6 secretary 4:22 16:21 17:4 36:3 51:17 80:1,5,14 80:14,16 81:6,7 82:8,22 83:2
		s	
		s 2:1 3:1 5:7,7 6:1 8:13 73:12 179:3 save 59:10 saw 106:15 saying 11:15 says 65:16 66:9 67:4 74:17 93:20 96:5 99:25 103:11 107:3 143:7	

87:23 115:1 131:1 149:1,24 150:4,10,13 151:19,21 secretary's 83:17 84:5 section 14:2,4 14:12,15 23:5,7 23:9,18,20 24:19 90:11 96:4,11,19,19 96:20 129:2 161:14,23 162:18 167:15 see 9:13 33:15 73:11 79:15 83:6 89:5 93:25 100:12 109:9 150:20,21 seeing 45:24 53:12 54:14,15 171:24 seeking 26:14 seem 19:18 59:5 seems 115:5 138:11 seen 42:16,20 42:22,24 94:21 97:24 104:19 105:4 107:1 159:23 select 86:16 selected 90:4,5 selection 90:25	send 171:25 sender 166:25 sending 124:21 150:6 senior 15:9,23 16:7 87:24 sense 34:15 87:16 sensitive 90:8 103:12 133:13 133:14,16,22 134:6 sent 43:13 81:2 124:10,20,25 167:10 171:11 171:13,19,21 sentence 99:21 99:24 100:3 101:20 102:5,8 142:16 143:6 166:15 separate 18:20 69:25 82:4 149:15 169:23 serves 168:21 service 18:6,8,9 18:9,10,11,12 18:14,16,22 19:4 20:20,21 20:22,24 21:2,6 21:15,18,24,25 22:2 30:12,19 30:22 31:1,3,4,6 31:18,24 32:1,3 32:11,12,19	33:17,24 36:8 36:13 42:18 43:3,11,12 44:2 46:20 47:1 49:24 50:15 56:9,21 57:10 57:17,18 58:2,8 58:13 59:14,17 61:15 62:9,11 62:14,14,24,24 63:10,12,15 69:16,24 70:2 71:10 73:6 76:7 77:16 99:22 100:1,5,9,11,14 100:15,16,17,20 102:6,24 103:13 103:14 104:3,6 105:15,17 109:12 110:6,10 113:1,15 129:16 129:21,22 130:5 130:7,20,20,21 130:24 151:18 152:10 161:9,13 161:19,22 162:3 162:15,16,17,20 163:10 services 11:3,4 15:9 17:22 19:15,15,16 20:14 22:6,11 22:11,14 23:5,7 28:8 30:7,10 31:16 38:7 42:4	42:11 43:9 45:10 46:20,23 49:20,23 50:3 51:3 53:1,8,11 53:16,19 55:24 56:13,16 57:4 57:16 61:1 63:4 63:18 68:24 69:4,6,18 74:2 78:4,17 79:4,12 79:24 98:3,6 99:1 100:9 121:3 128:5,13 128:20,23 129:1 129:4,7,9,10,21 133:6,8 134:16 134:18,20 135:23 136:7,15 138:12,20,22 139:5,18 141:7 142:5,15 144:4 144:15,19 145:5 145:8,21 151:14 151:22 152:3,5 152:9,13,15 153:19,23,24 157:2 158:8,18 162:8,8,13,21 163:2,3 166:12 172:14,18 173:14,18 174:5 174:7 session 34:9 set 8:16 9:4 47:8 49:7 55:23
---	--	--	---

[set - specific]

Page 219

<p>122:18 128:7 152:9,14 158:23 175:8 sets 47:16 setting 37:25 settings 160:4 seven 172:24 175:3 several 26:25 36:1 81:14 84:16 101:22 122:8 132:4 166:6 sex 4:14 8:22,23 63:23 64:6 87:10 89:15 91:3 108:21 162:25 163:12 sexual 163:6 shani 2:14 7:1,2 shani.rivoux 2:17,21 share 72:9 shared 95:24 shaw 2:14,19 sheeran 3:11 sheet 4:6 178:11 178:13 shevaun 82:11 shorthand 10:12 show 123:20,25 showing 7:16 63:25 72:10</p>	<p>shtml 54:13 sign 5:4 51:9 103:23 136:18 170:22 178:12 signatory 36:4 51:8 92:9 signature 97:4,8 176:15 177:20 signed 35:8,10 36:1,15,17 51:16 75:16,18 97:3 103:18 104:1 138:14 143:2,4,12 171:17 176:10 178:21 significance 110:16 166:20 significant 74:23 signing 5:10 silly 115:5 similar 86:21 87:2,3,8 91:2,4 106:7 108:14 similarly 68:7 137:3 simone 2:6 149:17 166:23 178:4 179:1 simone.chriss 2:8 simons 167:2,3 simple 19:18</p>	<p>simultaneously 152:9 single 103:14 104:3,6 152:10 152:15 sip 26:2,3 sister 124:12,14 124:18,24 sit 45:23 situation 30:21 35:13 98:15 situations 34:2 six 23:15 skills 31:23 slogan 159:18 159:22 160:15 small 73:9 smith 1:16 176:6,15 177:5 177:20 smmc 5:2 169:15 solutions 178:23 somebody 89:12 somewhat 68:7 98:13 sorry 6:19 28:9 37:10 52:10 56:23 80:16 87:20 91:9 95:13 98:22 107:20 114:23 128:6 135:17 147:9 166:25 170:7</p>	<p>sort 156:14 sounds 61:3 south 3:7 southern 2:6,10 southernlegal... 2:8,12 space 107:3,4 speak 11:21 31:9 32:25 33:21 45:11 51:8 61:6,7,8 65:13,24 66:8 68:2 102:19 109:8 125:13 132:16 145:16 159:10 162:7 164:8 173:5 speaker 122:21 speakers 122:22 speaking 11:19 11:20 33:2 37:22 57:13 70:15 95:17 112:25 131:9 162:19 173:6 speaks 162:12 162:24 specialist 66:21 specialized 23:5 specialty 171:12 specific 17:9,22 18:22 19:24 22:8,8 26:24 28:15 31:18 32:9 33:1 34:10</p>
---	--	--	---

[specific - strike]

Page 220

35:1 36:9,14 39:18 40:4 41:22 43:5,22 43:22 45:9,25 47:17 49:1,10 52:5,25 53:5 54:3,6 55:23 57:9,10 59:21 60:1,8,8 61:12 61:13,14 62:9 69:17 70:9 71:10 74:24 76:8 80:21 92:25 102:2 119:14 120:6,25 124:6,19,23 128:19 129:3,25 130:1,3,5,10 134:23 136:24 137:13 142:13 142:22 147:19 147:23 151:6,18 152:18 155:13 157:6 159:8 160:1,6 161:14 161:20,22,25 162:3,15,21 163:10,15 165:9 165:18 171:11 172:15 specifically 27:8 41:16 66:3 68:22 69:12 79:11 80:2 84:5 95:21 98:7	121:15 124:15 126:5,6 129:1,9 129:23 152:4,7 152:16,20 162:25 170:7 specifics 31:2 65:13 78:24 110:4,12 157:20 164:8 169:13 173:24 specify 127:11 156:14 157:11 spoke 114:24 spring 167:24 staff 40:23,25 60:15 71:5 104:8 120:21 122:14 160:22 161:1,2 stage 75:17 118:10,11 stages 114:2,13 118:8,21 134:23 stakeholders 170:16 stalled 85:4 stamp 170:22 stamped 63:25 64:4,6 166:1 170:5 171:7 stand 26:3 28:20 29:9 74:12 168:14 173:10	standard 61:20 61:23 62:18 65:2,8 66:23 78:21,21 86:22 104:16 127:24 standards 8:16 57:1 65:17 standing 82:1 stands 8:10,15 168:11 start 6:19,22 7:22 15:20 22:22 55:9 96:23 99:19 155:17 started 6:17 starting 64:14 73:13 170:22 starts 64:15 65:7 state 12:18 13:5 14:6 20:23 30:10,10,11 32:3 33:3,8,13 33:19 51:12 56:11,17 57:15 57:17,25 58:4 60:14,24 105:17 122:6 125:9 127:1,5 146:14 159:5 174:6 176:3,6,16 177:2,5 stated 48:22 68:3 179:21	statement 55:22 62:10 65:20 67:8 71:12 103:15 104:2 states 1:1 26:6 141:16,17 143:24 170:9 status 116:2 statute 29:14 60:12 68:6 72:24 174:20 178:18 statutorily 26:13 statutory 69:3,3 steering 35:14 35:16 stenographic 177:10 stenographica... 1:16 177:7 step 131:9 165:12 steps 32:25 33:1 101:22 102:9,12 112:14 113:23 sticks 115:19 stipulated 5:8 stop 11:16 12:10 88:15 straightforward 138:12 street 2:3 3:3,7 strike 20:4 21:4 27:20 28:9 69:8
---	---	---	---

[strike - team]

Page 221

128:6 138:7 structure 37:22 38:12 structured 68:7 subject 52:20 61:14 76:22 120:20,22 121:9 126:2,4,7 128:13 144:14 144:21,24 145:4 145:7,10 159:5 159:10,14 163:22 173:7 submission 36:18 51:10 submit 26:7 36:15 78:6,15 79:3,3 submitted 26:8 45:4 78:4,11 79:5 113:2 164:11 submitting 14:5 45:3 99:23 subpart 9:4,23 9:24 10:5,24 101:20 102:5 128:10,12 158:4 162:24 163:22 substances 12:16 suggest 86:9 121:3 145:20 suggested 178:16	suite 2:15,20 3:3 3:8 summer 167:24 supervise 16:22 24:11,15 31:15 supervisor 16:10,11,12 24:7,8,9,25 141:17,22 143:12 supplies 32:15 supply 32:17 105:24 supports 15:10 supposed 172:19 suppression 109:10 sure 9:12,15 16:16 17:1 25:11 33:6,17 39:5 45:4 52:14 67:18,19 78:23 78:24 79:21 89:12 91:7 102:2,13 106:21 112:19 113:19 115:24 122:20 122:21 126:5 128:8 138:18 146:4 155:6 167:25 171:25 surface 62:25 surgeries 30:14 53:15 145:23	163:1,13,14 surgery 53:2,20 53:23 54:1,1,3 144:24 158:5,16 163:7,9,10 surgical 53:10 53:16 145:3 surprised 83:6 susan 11:8 24:21 167:6 swear 6:2 switching 41:23 52:25 sworn 6:7 176:8 system 20:22 76:12	82:4 90:24 110:24 155:6 164:2 tallahassee 1:2 1:15 3:8,13 team 14:1 22:24 22:25 23:15,23 24:16 26:1 27:1 28:2 29:24 31:14 33:21 34:21 40:12 41:5,6,8,10,18 48:4 54:21 67:2 67:14 68:16 70:9,11,21,24 70:25 71:8,14 71:18,20,25 72:2 74:21 75:21,23 76:5,8 76:16 83:20,21 83:25 84:8,12 84:14,25 85:5,7 85:12,13,16 86:6,8,13,17,23 90:4,7 91:1 95:12 102:1 104:9 106:12 107:16,17,19,21 122:18 131:24 138:21 139:19 139:24 140:24 141:4 145:12,14 145:19,19 148:12,18 171:25 175:6
		t	
		t 1:16 3:11 5:7,7 73:12 176:6,15 177:5,20 179:3 179:3 take 12:9,11 36:22 46:1 64:25 81:21 90:20 94:6 100:19 101:22 102:4,12 106:19 150:19 taken 6:12 151:6 takes 44:21 talk 38:4 41:21 talked 145:2 talking 9:13,16 27:9,10 51:1	

<p>teams 22:20,23 23:10,11 70:15 70:16,19 76:1 93:9 101:5,8</p> <p>technologies 99:3</p> <p>telephonically 2:17,22 3:5</p> <p>tell 11:16 12:10 65:1 73:9 75:14 92:16 101:19 105:9 128:9 171:20,22</p> <p>template 52:6 52:17</p> <p>ten 124:5</p> <p>term 8:19,24 11:2 15:9 18:18 18:23 22:4 41:24 42:2 46:10,13 56:6 60:19 72:4</p> <p>terms 38:12 57:4 70:22 77:5 83:22 86:13 164:9</p> <p>test 87:20</p> <p>testified 6:8 84:12 90:12</p> <p>testimony 4:2 6:3 76:21 178:8 178:17</p> <p>tests 99:2</p> <p>text 47:21 99:14</p>	<p>thank 6:21 36:25 39:9 55:12 64:21 65:5 84:11 165:24 175:9</p> <p>thanks 7:14</p> <p>thera 72:12</p> <p>therapeutic 99:2</p> <p>therapeutics 29:11</p> <p>therapy 4:14 63:23 64:7 87:11 89:15 108:16</p> <p>thing 9:16 12:12 67:4 153:13</p> <p>things 7:21 12:5 39:21 48:12 76:18 96:4,9 127:25 154:4,5 156:22</p> <p>think 6:24 10:9 10:11 11:22 21:4,13 26:13 27:1 29:6,16 34:8 36:11 55:5 55:8 56:5,6,7 61:11 64:9 65:7 65:12 66:17 67:3,14,23 68:11,16 71:10 72:4 79:9 82:11 82:13 83:9,13 86:14,18,23</p>	<p>90:9,12 100:7 100:16 107:17 108:23 111:17 111:21 117:5 120:24 122:5,10 123:7,11 126:21 130:9 134:2 142:19 144:1 150:4 153:15 160:2,16,23 161:1,11</p> <p>thinking 87:14 124:23 161:7,21</p> <p>thought 98:21 173:21</p> <p>three 19:13,14 23:1 24:19 65:19 67:7 76:6 86:23 163:3</p> <p>throwing 67:19</p> <p>tim 23:7 24:4</p> <p>time 1:12 11:19 11:21 31:13 35:2 36:21 64:25 71:17 79:18 81:5 82:6 83:1 84:17,20 85:9,12,18,25 86:11,16 87:5 87:15,16,24 88:1 90:8,13 93:13 97:19 103:12 104:1 115:7,23 121:20 125:1 126:10</p>	<p>133:13,14,15,19 133:22 134:6 138:3,4 144:15 148:15 150:19 157:9,11,15 159:14,17 162:16 164:20 167:14 172:14 175:9,15</p> <p>timeframe 113:20</p> <p>timeline 131:22</p> <p>timelines 96:23</p> <p>times 6:16 13:12 51:25 80:7 137:23</p> <p>tiny 64:22</p> <p>title 16:17 17:1 35:1,2 72:12</p> <p>titled 14:15 42:23 54:10</p> <p>titles 35:12</p> <p>today 8:5 9:5 12:16,21 45:23 113:7 159:3</p> <p>together 34:23 34:24 35:22 37:21 169:21</p> <p>tom 16:18,19 38:15,19 143:12 149:1,2</p> <p>took 33:1 41:20 46:5 82:15 113:24 164:17</p>
---	--	---	---

[tool - under]

Page 223

tool 46:22 top 29:16 49:2 49:10 53:12,18 58:16 64:22 65:7 66:9 67:22 68:9 74:3 89:13 103:7 123:3 136:9,11 150:18 166:25 topic 107:4 torchinsky 3:7 touched 108:11 town 119:24,25 track 7:20 52:3 88:24 89:1 93:3 93:3 tracked 97:13 tracking 93:1,8 93:11 94:8,15 95:6,10,14 96:7 97:13 tracks 52:7,10 52:12 traditional 113:18,25 traditionally 68:4 train 112:24 training 112:22 transcript 5:11 177:8,9 178:6 178:20 transcripts 178:14	transmittal 5:2 169:16 171:3,9 171:16 173:7 transmittals 172:3 transplant 53:8 transportation 125:10,21 treat 128:24 129:4 158:6,16 158:18 treatment 10:17 10:22,23 11:4 27:5,16 28:5 30:4 55:24 87:6 87:7 89:20 94:10,17 96:8 96:17 113:9 114:21 115:1 116:13 118:24 119:9,22 120:9 128:3,20 129:11 131:3 134:11 136:8 137:24 141:4 147:4 148:13,19 149:19 154:18 154:23 157:6,10 157:22 158:8 162:2,9 163:2 164:2,5,6 169:17 171:1 173:15,18 174:3 treatments 5:3	tree 4:20 104:23 106:13,17,25 107:6,25 148:21 150:5,11,14 trees 59:10 trial 109:21 110:1 trials 109:13,16 109:24 112:1 trigged 102:17 true 136:13 177:9 179:21 trust 104:8,13 truth 6:4,4,4 try 11:14,15,20 33:6 71:5 trying 36:22 56:7 59:10 155:13 tuesday 1:11 turning 61:18 98:1 128:2 172:7 twice 71:23 two 25:10 39:23 64:14 75:7,7,16 84:23 93:13,17 96:9 106:20 112:13 113:4 126:14 137:6 type 54:3 67:15 67:16,24 110:2 types 25:17 28:8 30:7 33:9 39:21 45:9 48:12	65:19 93:9 123:13 152:3 typical 71:14,16 typically 27:24 52:6 77:2,12 102:23 103:13 104:2,6 121:4 123:19,24 126:14 151:17 161:8 172:1 174:12
			u
			u 5:7 uh 12:5,5 ultimately 143:12 under 15:1 24:2 24:14 32:4 33:13,18 34:12 37:5,7,8,10,13 37:14 40:21 50:3 56:4,17,21 57:1,16,17,18 58:1,10 59:16 59:17 60:23 61:9 66:2 67:5 70:15 74:17 76:6 92:2 99:1,4 99:10,22 100:14 103:10 111:19 128:3 133:5 143:6 145:22 153:18 160:25 162:8,18,24 174:18 178:18

[under - versions]

Page 224

<p>179:20 underlined 168:4 underlying 169:1 underscore 7:22 understand 9:6 9:12 10:10 11:14,14,17 12:4 21:11,11 21:13 66:14 74:9 101:16 102:7 116:18 117:25 118:3 understanding 101:11 understood 102:13 130:17 undertake 78:11 79:6 84:6 84:15 102:8 115:1 148:22 149:2,25 165:7 undertaken 50:22 71:1 undertakes 24:12 106:16 undertaking 51:2 102:24 152:8,14 undertook 150:5 unique 83:9 98:13,16</p>	<p>unit 16:1 23:25 25:4 26:10 27:18,20,22 28:11 29:23 37:2,6,8,13,13 39:1,3 43:7 52:22 96:16 123:5 167:8,17 united 1:1 units 76:6 university 13:5 13:6 unrelated 27:23 unusual 150:13 update 38:5 100:8 154:13 155:20,21 160:11 updated 89:3 112:20 updates 31:22 33:9,15 71:25 76:10,11 116:1 160:3,6 upper 34:25 urgency 133:20 url 55:4,6 72:10 use 8:19,24 9:14 9:15 10:15,21 10:22 11:2 12:6 18:18,23 21:22 22:5 30:25 31:7 34:12 41:23 46:10 50:10 52:6 60:19 62:7</p>	<p>65:17 69:9 70:6 77:9 86:15 93:3 93:5,11 106:2,3 108:12 111:5,7 111:9,11 118:9 135:1 168:22 used 18:18 21:19 29:6 36:15 43:2 51:9 65:2,9 77:17 78:21,22 85:11 91:3 93:6,15,16 95:18 98:5 100:13 104:6,17 105:5 108:1 109:3,6 144:6,8 148:21 160:10 160:16 178:20 uses 66:24 67:9 using 8:9,25 9:2 10:3 13:10 106:10 168:12 usually 34:21 76:18 92:7 124:11 127:24 utilization 29:5 46:22 utilized 67:5</p>	<p>vaguely 61:3 value 115:6 van 4:19,21 103:2,2 133:7,9 134:9,10,17 135:16,16 136:5 136:5,18,20,22 137:3,4,13 141:16 142:8 variance 174:17 174:24 variations 93:8 various 15:25 28:2,23 38:8 86:20 165:16 vary 31:5 34:6 venders 109:19 vendor 26:17 48:9 133:6 134:16 vendors 49:13 63:16 109:17 verbal 12:3 verification 143:7 verify 178:9 veritext 178:14 178:23 veritext.com 178:14 version 63:24 74:8,15 172:2 versions 73:13 171:23</p>
		v	
		<p>v 3:6 178:4 179:1 vacant 23:20 82:17 107:23 108:4</p>	

[versus - written]

Page 225

versus 155:9 vetted 169:3 vetting 168:5 virtual 123:22 123:23 vogel 3:7 146:12 von 132:22 vs 1:6	way 10:11 35:19 60:25 73:14 78:10 79:16,22 80:4,6 95:15 124:18 137:10 170:14 ways 27:10 78:13 79:5,8,9 137:7,17 170:14 we've 69:18 121:13 126:4 164:2 web 19:24 160:3 160:6,9,15 website 20:3,7 20:10,13 55:7 68:17 112:2,6 159:23,25 160:12 172:5 websites 42:21 week 71:23 76:19 81:15 weekly 75:21,23 76:15 weeks 127:12 weida 1:7 5:1 16:13 81:5,12 81:18 82:16,21 83:3,15 86:8,9 86:12 97:2 114:19,25 115:7 131:10,16,20 148:6 170:6 weida's 82:6	weigh 42:21 weird 64:4 went 80:2 156:4 157:3 williams 24:22 167:6 willing 21:16 wilson 168:3 winthrop 2:14 2:19 witness 6:5 9:10 21:13 45:19 48:22 55:1 58:4 59:5 62:1 64:23 71:4 73:21 78:8 88:18 91:7,10 91:13 97:17 99:13 100:7,22 108:18 111:13 123:15 125:13 126:19,24 128:19 131:18 135:11 136:22 138:18 139:13 140:3,21 142:4 144:8,12,18 149:5 150:2,8 151:4 152:25 153:2,4,6,14,20 155:25 158:2 161:11,17 164:15 165:16 175:2,13 178:8 178:10,12,20 179:23	wondering 115:18 words 12:6 74:9 work 25:3 37:20 52:22 79:20 83:20 85:12 90:8 131:14 134:3 143:9 169:9,11 worked 13:19 15:8 31:24 84:17 86:19 working 41:3 90:9 workload 90:15 works 24:2 40:20 47:6 66:2 70:10 76:10 126:9 167:7 workshop 117:25 118:9,17 118:22 119:22 120:8 121:4 122:19 127:14 127:15,16,18 workshops 119:5,19 120:3 write 139:25 writing 140:10 150:5 151:10 152:12 155:8 written 60:2,5 71:21 75:18 121:11 122:25
w			
waive 175:12 waiver 31:21,25 36:14,16,16 39:24 56:13 174:17,24 waivers 14:5 30:11 walk 6:14 walkthrough 34:22 wall 121:24 wallace 16:18 38:20 96:24 97:1 143:12 149:2,2,25 150:6 wallace's 16:19 want 6:22 9:12 9:15 46:1 88:16 88:17 90:20 94:5,23 140:5 169:18,21 wanted 140:7 173:3 wants 170:10			

[wrote - zero]

Page 226

wrote 103:17 139:20 140:24 141:4
x
x 4:1
y
yeah 9:17 46:15 59:3 95:20 114:24 116:15 127:12 143:16 150:19 year 25:8,22 26:18 years 25:10
z
zero 74:16

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 30

(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.

(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:

(A) to review the transcript or recording; and

(B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.

(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate.

The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.